

For my *Wife* and *Children*

2016

Preface

My Love,

You are my whole world. I deeply wish for your understanding, support and love. Please let our love help you overcome the fear of the unknown.

I am writing this letter to explain in detail how I came to the conclusion that the Church is not all it claims to be. You know that throughout my life I have been intellectually excited about a long list of subjects. I would investigate every piece of information and exhaust all resources to satisfy my curiosity. One day I came across an *Ensign* article discussing the new Joseph Smith Papers project that caused my curiosity to extend into Church history. I soon became captivated. I began reading every Church-approved historical resource I could find. I pored over the Journal of Discourses, The History of the Church and early Mormon periodicals. It was all so fascinating! My intent was to feed my interest and use any discoveries to strengthen my testimony, but every so often I would run across bothersome events that did not match the narrative I was taught growing up in the Church. This letter is a collection of these events and inconsistencies.

I would like to address something before you continue reading. This information will be new to you - it was to me. You may feel uncomfortable learning things we were never taught in church. We have been told that this feeling is the Spirit warning us, but please consider that any information that seriously challenges our worldview will make us feel this way. My only request is that you read this with an open mind about the possibility that anything is possible in this crazy world. Please try to understand my journey of discovery through all of this.

"In general it is true that nothing which cannot stand up under discussion and criticism is worth defending." (Elder James E. Talmage, Improvement Era, January 1920)

Table of Contents

Preface.....	<i>i</i>
Introduction.....	<i>ii</i>
Part 1 - The Early Church.....	1
1. The First Vision.....	1
2. The Translation.....	11
3. The Witnesses.....	17
4. The Kinderhook Plates.....	24
5. The Word of Wisdom.....	29
6. The Endowment.....	35
7. Polygamy.....	44
8. Blacks and the Church.....	52
9. Blood Atonement.....	59
10. Prophecies.....	62
Part 2 - The Book of Mormon.....	64
11. DNA.....	64
12. Reformed Egyptian.....	71
13. Anachronisms	76
14. The Jaredites	81
15. Source Material.....	86
16. Expert Views.....	100
Part 3 - The Book of Abraham.....	110
17. Facsimile #1.....	111
18. Facsimile #2.....	113
19. Facsimile #3.....	115
20. The Rosetta Stone.....	116
21. The Translation.....	117
22. Expert Views.....	121
Part 4 - The Modern Church.....	125
23. Tithing.....	125
24. Church Spending.....	133
25. Scientific Evidence.....	141
26. Spiritual Confirmation.....	145
Conclusion.....	149

Introduction

Current Church Historian, Elder Steven E. Snow, was interviewed by BYU's *Religious Educator* program about the Church's recent increasing openness with regard to history. He stated,

*"My view is that being open about our history solves a whole lot more problems than it creates. We might not have all the answers, but if we are open – and we now have pretty remarkable transparency – then I think in the long run that will serve us well. I think **in the past there was a tendency to keep a lot of the records closed or at least not give access to information.** But the world has changed in the last generation—with the access to information on the Internet, **we can't continue that pattern;** I think we need to **continue to be more open.**"* (Steven E. Snow, Start With Faith: A Conversation with Elder Steven E. Snow, *Religious Educator* 14, no.3, 2013. <http://rsc.byu.edu/tre>)

What pattern can't they continue?

"I have a hard time with historians because they idolize the truth. The truth is not uplifting; it destroys...Historians should tell only that part of the truth that is inspiring and uplifting." (Boyd K. Packer, Pillars of My Faith, Sunstone Symposium, Salt Lake City, August 19, 1994)

Elder Packer counsels that not all truth should be shared. What determines which historical events need to be shared and which should be censored? At what point do partial truths become lies? Elder Packer's caution and Elder Snow's reveal shows that the brethren have regularly suppressed information about the Church's troublesome history. Yet now they see the need to finally be truthful due to the Internet's free flow of information. I feel that a *true* church should not have a troublesome past to hide and be open to full disclosure if there is any.

Let's take a closer look at what Elder Snow says have been kept closed all these years.

PART 1: THE EARLY CHURCH

Chapter 1 - The First Vision

President Gordon B. Hinckley presents the truthfulness of the Church as an all-or-nothing proposition when he states, *"Our whole strength rests on the validity of that [First] vision. It either occurred or it did not occur. If it did not, then this work is a fraud. If it did, then it is the most important and wonderful work under the heavens"* (Gordon B. Hinckley, The Marvelous Foundation of Our Faith, General Conference, October 2002).

When studying the circumstances surrounding the First Vision, issues arise that are not taught to members of the Church. These issues involve: the timeline, common First Vision-like accounts, Joseph's multiple accounts, contemporary statements, discrepancies with the official version, and continued concealment.



- **Timeline**

Joseph Smith claimed to have experienced the First Vision in 1820, yet there appears to be no record before 1832. The Church confirms this fact when it states, *"The oldest account, written in 1832, was part of an autobiography. This account emphasized Joseph's quest for religious truth and his desire to be forgiven of his sins. Therein, Joseph stated that the Lord said to him, "Joseph my son thy sins are forgiven thee."* (<https://www.lds.org/topics/first-vision-accounts?lang=eng>). If this event occurred in 1820, then it was 12 years later when Joseph decided to first make a record of it; 2 years after the organization of the Church.

No contemporary periodicals in the 1830s mention Joseph Smith, none of the publications of the Church in that decade, and no journal or correspondence from that time mention the story of the First Vision.

Former Church Historian James B. Allen, acknowledged that the story of the First Vision was not known in the 1830's. Elder Allen stated that in the 1830s *"the general membership of the Church knew little, if anything, about it."* (*Dialogue: A Journal of Mormon Thought*, Autumn 1966) According to the historical record, there is no reference to the First Vision in any published or hand-recorded material in the 1830s.

In 1833 the Church published the *Book of Commandments*, a predecessor to the *Doctrine and Covenants*. The first printing of the *Book of Commandments* also contained the *Lectures on Faith*, a series of seven lectures outlining the doctrine and theology of the Church up to that point; no reference was made of the First Vision. The first periodical to be published by the Church was *The Evening and Morning Star*, but it never tells the story of the First Vision. Nor do the pages of the Latter-day Saints *Messenger and Advocate*, printed in Kirtland. In this newspaper Oliver Cowdery, who was second only to Joseph Smith in the early organization of the Church, published a series of letters dealing with the origin of the Church. These letters were written with the approval of Joseph Smith, but again, they contained no mention of any vision.



The first missionary pamphlet of the Church was the *Voice of Warning and Instruction to All People*, published in 1837 by apostle Parley P. Pratt. The book contains long sections on items important to missionaries of the 1830's, such as fulfillment of prophecy, the Book of Mormon, external evidence of the book's authenticity, the resurrection, and the nature of revelation, but again, nothing on the First Vision.

The *Times and Seasons* began publication in 1839, but the story of the vision was not printed in its pages until 1842. There are no records of transcribed sermons by Joseph or the other elders of the Church, no personal journal entries by Joseph or journals entries by any of his family or followers, and no LDS periodicals or publications describing this historic event. By its first publication in 1842, not a single one of

the 23,564 members of the Church ever recorded hearing about it. It appears that not a single person knew about this event until some 20 years after it was said to have happened. From all this it would appear that the general membership did not receive information about the First Vision until the 1840's and that the story certainly did not hold the prominent place in common knowledge that it does today.

- **Common First Vision-like Accounts**

Several religious publications in the New England area demonstrate that such visions were common during the early Church.

1. Norris Stearns published his own vision in Greenfield, Massachusetts in 1815; not far from where the Joseph Smith Senior family lived in Vermont.

*“At length, as I lay apparently upon the brink of eternal woe, seeing nothing but death before me, suddenly there came a sweet flow of the love of God to my soul, which gradually increased. At the same time, there appeared a small gleam of light in the room, **above the brightness of the sun**, then at his meridian, which grew brighter and brighter... At length, being in an ecstasy of joy, I turned to the other side of the bed, (whether in the body or out I cannot tell, God knoweth) there **I saw two spirits**, which I knew at the first sight. But if I had the tongue of an Angel I could not describe their glory, for they brought the joys of heaven with them. **One was God**, my Maker, almost in bodily shape like a man. His face was, as it were a flame of Fire, and his body, as it had been a Pillar and a Cloud. In looking steadfastly to discern features, I could see none, but a small glimpse would appear in some other place. **Below him stood Jesus Christ** my Redeemer, in perfect shape like a man—His face was not ablaze, but had the countenance of fire, being bright and shining. His Father’s will appeared to be his! All was condescension, peace, and love!”* (Norris Stearns, *The Religious Experience Of Norris Stearns*. 1815)

2. Minister Elias Smith published a book in which he told of his conversion in 1816.

“... I went into the woods ... a light appeared from heaven.... My mind seemed to rise in that light to the throne of God and the Lamb.... The Lamb once slain appeared to my understanding, and while viewing him, I felt such love to him as I never felt to any thing earthly.... It is not possible for me to tell how long I remained in that situation ...” (Elias Smith, *The Life, Conversion, Preaching, Travels, and Sufferings of Elias Smith*. 1816)

3. Asa Wild claimed to have a revelation that was published on October 22, 1823.

"It seemed as if my mind ... was struck motionless, as well as into nothing, before the awful and glorious majesty of the Great Jehovah. He then spake ... He also told me, that every denomination of professing Christians had become extremely corrupt..."

(Asa Wild, *Wayne Sentinel*, 1823. <https://ojs.lib.byu.edu/spc/index.php/BYUStudies/article/viewFile/6482/6131>)

4. Billy Hibbard, a New York preacher, published his memoirs in 1825 and included an experience with meeting Jesus and God the Father when was a young boy.

*"...when I came to the place of prayer, had kneeled down and closed my eyes, with my hands uplifted toward the heavens, I saw Jesus Christ at the right hand of God looking down upon me, and God the Father looking upon him. The look of Jesus on me removed the burden of my sins, while he spoke these words, "Be faithful unto death and this shall be thy place of rest." (Billy Hibbard, *Memoirs of the Life and Travels of B. Hibbard: Minister of the Gospel*. 1825)*

5. John S Thompson, a New York minister, published another similar account in 1826.

*"I dreamed Christ descended from the firmament, in a glare of brightness, exceeding ten fold the brilliancy of the meridian Sun, and he came to me saying, 'I commission you to go and tell mankind that I am come; and bid every man to shout victory.'" (John S. Thompson, *The Christian Guide to a Right Understanding of the Sacred Scriptures*. 1826)*

6. Solomon Chamberlin, an early member of the Church, published a pamphlet of his experience before he met Joseph Smith.


*"Dissatisfied with the religions he had tried, Chamberlain prayed for further guidance, and in 1816, according to his account, "the Lord revealed to me in a vision of the night an angel," whom Chamberlain asked about the right way. The angel told him that the churches were corrupt and that God would soon raise up an apostolic church. Chamberlain printed up an account of his visions and was still distributing them and looking for the apostolic church when he stopped in Palmyra." (John Taylor, *Nauvoo Journal*, Jan-Sept 1845, *BYU Studies* 23 no.3, p.45. Referring to *A Sketch of the Experience of Solomon Chamberlin*, Lyons, New York, 1829)*

It appears that when Joseph Smith initially wrote his First Vision experience in 1832, many people related stories of visionary experiences with Heavenly Father and Jesus. Joseph's story turns out to be quite a common claim in his day.

- **Multiple Accounts of the First Vision**

Josephsmithpapers.org records 4 separate accounts of the First Vision by Joseph between 1832-1842.


1. Earliest account - Joseph Smith's journal. Letterbook 1A, 27 November 1832.



(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/letterbook-1?p=9>)

In his first account written in 1832, Joseph mentions that he had already concluded that the world had apostatized from the faith and that “there was no society or denomination built upon the Gospel of Jesus Christ as recorded in the New Testament.” He then has an encounter with “the Lord,” but makes no mention of two separate personages. Joseph then writes that his sins are forgiven and the Lord agrees with Joseph’s conclusion about the corruption of Christianity.


2. Second account - Joseph's 1835 account notes that while one of the two personages testifies that Jesus is the Son of God, neither personage is specifically identified as God or Jesus. Also sees "many angels."



with joy unspeakable, a personage appeared in the midst, of this pillar of flame which was spread all around, and yet nothing consumed, another personage soon appeared like unto the first, he said unto me thy sins are forgiven thee, he testified unto me that Jesus Christ is the son of God; ⁷⁰ <and I saw many angels in this vision> I was about 14. years old when I received this first communication; When I was about 17 years old I saw another vision of angels, in the night season

(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/journal-1835-1836&p=25>)


3. Third account - 1838 (draft 2) account adopted as the official version.



When the light rested upon me I saw two personages (whose brightness and glory defy all description) standing above me in the air. One of <them> spake unto me calling me by name and said (pointing to the other) "This is my beloved Son, Hear him."

(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/history-circa-june-1839-circa-1841-draft-2?p=2#!/paperSummary/history-circa-june-1839-circa-1841-draft-2&p=3>)

4. Fourth account - 1842 account from the Wentworth Letter notes two personages; again neither identified as God or Jesus.



heavenly vision and saw two glorious personages who exactly resembled each other in features, and likeness, surrounded with a brilliant light which eclipsed the sun at noon-day. They told me that all religious denominations were believing in incorrect doctrines, and that none of them was acknowledged of God as his church and kingdom. And I was expressly commanded to "go not after them," at the same time receiving a promise that the fulness of the gospel should at some future time be made known unto me.

(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/church-history-1-march-1842?p=1#!/paperSummary/church-history-1-march-1842&p=2>)

- **Contemporary Accounts**

The details of Joseph's experience appear to have changed when communicating to his followers. Even the elders of the Church, who labored with him closely, did not know that Joseph saw two personages.

1. Brigham Young

"The Lord did not come with the armies of heaven ... but He did send his angel to this same obscure person, Joseph Smith jun., who afterwards became a Prophet, Seer, and Revelator, and informed him that he should not join any of the religious sects of the day, for they were all wrong." (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol. 2, 1855, p.171)

2. Wilford Woodruff

"The same organization and Gospel that Christ died for ... is again established in this generation. How did it come? By the ministering of an holy angel from God, out of heaven, who held converse with man, and revealed unto him the darkness that enveloped the world ... He told him the Gospel was not among men, and that there was not a true organization of His kingdom in the world ... Joseph was strengthened by the Spirit and power of God, and was enabled to listen to the teachings of the angel. ... The man to whom the angel appeared obeyed the Gospel." (Wilford Woodruff, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.2, 1855, pp.196-197)

3. George A. Smith

"He [Joseph Smith] went humbly before the Lord and inquired of Him, and the Lord answered his prayer, and revealed to Joseph, by the ministration of angels, the true condition of the religious world. When the holy angel appeared, Joseph inquired which of all these denominations was right and which he should join, and was told they were all wrong." (George A. Smith, *Journal of Discourses*, 1863, vol.12, pp.334)

4. John Taylor

"How was it, and which was right? None of them was right, just as it was when the Prophet Joseph asked the angel which of the sects was right that he might join it. The answer was that none of them are right. What, none of them? No. We will not stop to argue that question; the angel merely told him to join none of them that none of them were right." (John Taylor, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.20, 1879, pp.158-171)

The above statement from 3rd president of the Church, John Taylor, reveals that as late as 1879 (35 years after Joseph Smith's death; 59 years after the event) the Church was still not teaching that he saw two personages but only an "angel." This makes it seem that the official version in the Pearl of Great Price must be a much later revision.

- **Which Is One Correct?**

In 1902 The Church decided to adopt the 1838 version of Joseph's First Vision as the official account now contained in The Pearl of Great Price - Joseph Smith History.

Pearl of Great Price - Official account.

15 After I had retired to the place where I had previously designed to go, having looked around me, and finding myself alone, I kneeled down and began to offer up the desires of my heart to God. I had scarcely done so, when immediately I was seized upon by some power which entirely overcame me, and had such an astonishing influence over me as to bind my tongue so that I could not speak. Thick darkness gathered around me, and it seemed to me for a time as if I were doomed to sudden destruction.

16 But, exerting all my powers to call upon God to deliver me out of the power of this enemy which had seized upon me, and at the very moment when I was ready to sink into despair and abandon myself to destruction—not to an imaginary ruin, but to the power of some actual being from the unseen world, who had such marvelous power as I had never before felt in any being—just at this moment of great alarm, I saw a pillar of light exactly over my head, above the brightness of the sun, which descended gradually until it fell upon me.

*17 It no sooner appeared than I found myself delivered from the enemy which held me bound. When the light rested upon me **I saw two Personages**, whose brightness and glory defy all description, standing above me in the air. One of them spake unto me, calling me by name and said, pointing to the other—This is My Beloved Son. Hear Him!*

18 My object in going to inquire of the Lord was to know which of all the sects was right, that I might know which to join. No sooner, therefore, did I get possession of myself, so as to be able to speak, than I asked the Personages who stood above me in the light, which of all the sects was right (for at this time it had never entered into my heart that all were wrong)—and which I should join. (Pearl of Great Price, Joseph Smith History 1:16-18)

Summary from Joseph's First Account

- Already thought all churches were not true
- Desired for mercy
- Prayed
- Saw a pillar of light
- **Saw the Lord**

Summary from Pearl of Great Price

- Desired to know which church was true
- Prayed
- Overcome by power of Satan
- Saw a pillar of light
- **Saw 2 personages**

"I saw the Lord" vs "I saw two Personages"

The difference is quite significant, especially in the most important piece of information they are communicating. If they are both supposed to be of the same event, then why would the official account say he spoke to God and Jesus, while Joseph's journal say he only saw the Lord? Also, his motivation for praying seem to be different and his experience with Satan is missing. No priesthood or Sunday school manual has ever mentioned that Joseph himself originally wrote that he only saw one personage, not two.

- **Continued Concealment**

Using the vast resources of the Church education system, members are not informed of the inconsistencies relating to Joseph's visions. It also appears that average members are not the only ones surprised by this evidence. President of the First Quorum of the Seventy, S. Dilworth Young, published a statement in the Improvement Era on this subject.


"I cannot remember the time when I have not heard the story, concerning the coming of the Father and the Son to the Prophet Joseph Smith. I am concerned however with one item which has recently been called to my attention on this matter. There appears to be going about our communities some writing to the effect that the Prophet Joseph Smith evolved his doctrine from what might have been a vision, in which he is supposed to have said that he saw an angel, instead of the Father and the Son. According to this theory, by the time he was inspired to write the occurrence in 1838, he had come to the conclusion that there were two beings.

This rather shocked me. I can see no reason why the Prophet, with his brilliant mind, would have failed to remember in sharp relief every detail of that eventful day. I can remember quite vividly that in 1915 I had a mere dream, and while the dream was

prophetic in nature, it was not startling. It has been long since fulfilled, but I can remember every detail of it as sharply and clearly as though it had happened yesterday. How then could any man conceive that the Prophet, receiving such a vision as he received, would not remember it and would fail to write it clearly, distinctly, and accurately?" (S. Dilworth Young, *Improvement Era*, General Conference edition, June 1957)

Considering that First Vision-like accounts were common in the New England area, considering that it took at least 60 years for even the leadership of the Church to know that Joseph was visited by two personages, and considering the active suppression of this information, it seems hard to feel confident in the truthfulness of the First Vision.

Chapter 2 - The Translation



The Church has always taught that the translation process of the Book of Mormon looked like this: Joseph Smith read the golden plates like a book, translating the text out loud to Oliver Cowdery, who served as scribe. The context surrounding the translation process raises issues that are not evident to members of the Church. These issues involve the actual translation, Joseph's use of seer stones and folk magic, and his trouble with the law regarding these circumstances.

- **The Actual Method**

Contrary to general Church teachings, Joseph did not read the gold plates like an open book at all. Rather, during the entire translation process he buried his face in a hat that contained a common rock. The gold plates were either covered by a cloth where no one, including Joseph, could see them or they were in a different location altogether.



*“Joseph Smith would put the **seer stone** into a hat, and put his face in the hat, drawing it closely around his face to exclude the light; and in the darkness the spiritual light would shine. A piece of something resembling parchment would appear, and on that appeared the writing. One character at a time would appear, and under it was the interpretation in English. Brother Joseph would read off the English to Oliver Cowdery, who was his principal scribe, and when it was written down and repeated to Brother Joseph to see if it was correct, then it would disappear, and another character with the interpretation would appear. Thus the Book of Mormon was translated by the gift and power of God, and not by any power of man.”* (Russell M. Nelson, A Treasured Testament, *Ensign*, July 1993. Quoting David Whitmer’s *Address to All Believers In Christ*. 1887, p.11)

If the Church knew the true method Joseph used to dictate the Book of Mormon, why would they commission works of art and film and use the education system to teach otherwise?

- **The Seer Stone**

David Whitmer mentions a *seer stone*, but other than this more than 20 year-old *Ensign* article, the existence of this method using a stone in a hat has never been mentioned. In December, 2013 the Church released an essay addressing the translation of the Book of Mormon. Finally the seer stone is again mentioned for a new generation of members.

“The other instrument, which Joseph Smith discovered in the ground years before he retrieved the gold plates, was a small oval stone, or “seer stone.” As a young man during the 1820s, Joseph Smith, like others in his day, used a seer stone to look for lost objects and buried treasure.” (Book of Mormon Translation, LDS.org/topics)

On August 4th, 2015, LDS.org published an article titled, *Joseph the Seer*. It contains the first ever, official image of one of Joseph Smith’s seer stones. It is the very same stone Joseph found while digging a well on the property of Willard Chase in 1822. For nearly 200 years the Church has had this object in their physical possession, yet has never taught about it; and in a few cases denied its existence.



(<https://www.lds.org/ensign/2015/10/joseph-the-seer?lang=eng>)

- **Folk Magic**

Were Joseph Smith's spiritual experiences originally products of his family's practice of magic and the occult?

"Like many other New Englanders, they were familiar with searches for lost treasure by supernatural means. Joseph Smith's father was reputed to be one of these treasure-seekers, and Joseph Smith himself had found a stone, called a seer stone, which reportedly enabled him to find lost objects. Treasure-seekers wanted to employ him to help with their searches. One, a man named Josiah Stowell, hired Joseph and his father in 1825 to dig for a supposed Spanish treasure near Harmony, Pennsylvania. The effort came to nothing, and the Smiths returned home, but the neighbors continued to think of the Smiths as part of the treasure-seeking company." (http://eom.byu.edu/index.php/Smith,_Joseph)

"By 1825, [19 yrs old – 5 years after the First Vision] young Joseph had a reputation in Manchester and Palmyra for his activities as a treasure seer, or someone who used a seer stone to locate gold or other valuable objects buried in the earth." (Elder Steven E. Snow, Church Historian, *Ensign*, September 2015)

"Yet on that visit there was an attempt to reconcile Joseph and his father-in-law, for an invitation was extended to Joseph and Emma to make their home in Harmony. Isaac, with evident paternal concern and with some compassion, indicated to Joseph that if he would move to Pennsylvania and work, giving up "his old practice of looking in the stone," Isaac would assist him in getting into business. Isaac claims, "Smith stated to me he had given up what he called 'glass-looking,' and that he expected and was willing to work hard for a living." (Isaac Hale, father of Emma Hale Smith, *History of the Church*, Vol.1. Ch.2)

Ronald W. Walker, Director of Center for Western Studies at BYU and president of the Mormon History Association, does a great job of putting the Smith family's treasure hunting activities in historical context.

"From Colonial times to at least the age of Jackson [1776-1837] Americans dug for magical treasure. There were hundreds and probably thousands of these money diggers all seeking troves of fabled coins, mines, jewels and other valued prizes."

"The money diggers placed faith in conjuring elemental spirits, thrice spoken dreams, seer gifts and enchanted treasure."

"Clearly the ideas of hidden but guarded treasure with their secondary and accompanying motifs of ancient texts, animals, boxes, devils, caves, gold, incantations, mountains and even the ratifying number three were an ancient bequest."

“A treasure-finding device used by adepts was the “peep” or “seer,” whose acclaimed gifts excelled even those of the divining rod. Such stones seemed to be everywhere and were of every possible description. Joseph Smith’s various stones reportedly included a smooth grey egg shaped rock found in a neighbor’s well, a second which he reportedly dug up near Lake Erie after spying it in his neighbor’s stone and still others collected from the Mississippi River sands near Nauvoo, Illinois.”

“With most village seers requiring that the light be secluded this stone in the hat procedure was standard by this method an adept could see within the stone crystal a helpful spirit or the precise locality of the underground treasure.”

“While finding the right moment to dig was important, the need to circumvent the treasure’s guardian was crucial. Like its Old World antecedents, the American treasure keeper might be demonic or divine. Or it could be a cat, dog, snake or some other protecting animal. But generally the American treasure guardian was a murdered youth or man whose body had been left with the buried valuables to ensure their protection. Guardian Indians were a frequent motif while a murdered pirate protected Captain Kidd’s troves.”

“Vermont’s embrace of the cunning arts probably exceeded any other region. Within this area twenty-seven towns or a tenth of Vermont’s communities dug for treasure with rural areas also joining the pursuit. As Vermont’s early nineteenth century emigration swept into upstate New York the money digging frenzy came with it. Such superstition was frequent in the new settlements. The Palmyra Reflector labeled the New York money hunting mania, “Men and women without distinction of age or sex became marvelously wise in the occult sciences, many dreamed and others saw visions disclosing to them, deep in the bowels of the earth, rich and shining treasures”. (Palmyra Reflector, February 1, 1831)

“Rumors constantly swirled about hunters’ smiling fortunes, which excited still others to further digging. Smith family reportedly found objects as a cannon ball, a cache of gold watches and according to the viewpoint of some of their neighbors the golden plates which produced the book of Mormon. Indeed in ways that are yet to be explored, money digging may have influenced two of the nineteenth century’s major social and religious movements Mormonism and Spiritualism. Its touch on American society was not light.”


(Ronald W. Walker, The Persistent Idea of Treasure Hunting in America. <https://ojs.lib.byu.edu/spc/index.php/BYUStudies/article/viewFile/5447/5097>)

Apparently seer stones were a common item used in folk magic in New England. The Smith family’s use of these stones does not appear to be a unique practice.

- **Trouble With The Law**

Not only was Joseph employed as a practitioner of folk magic to hunt for buried treasure, he had also been taken to court for defrauding those he promised fortunes. Josiah Stowell requested Joseph's assistance in a mining operation looking for old coins and precious metals. This effort was fruitless and ended in charges being brought against Joseph by the Stowells for being a "glasslooker." Josephsmithpapers.org displays one of the trial bills for Joseph's court hearings in Bainbridge, New York where he was charged for fraud, a misdemeanor in 1826.

Trial Bill of Albert Neely, 20 March 1826 [People v. Smith, 1826]



People vs Samuel May	Assault & Battery	
March 22. 1826	To my fees in this cause—	\$2.6 \$1.0
same vs Joseph Smith The Glass looker	Misdemeanor	
March 20. 1826	To my fees in examination of the above Cause	2.6

(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/trial-bill-of-albert-neely-20-march-1826-people-v-smith-1826>)

Members have always been taught that Joseph's times in court were because Satan was stirring up the hearts of those who would stop Joseph from the Lord's work. Court documents make it apparent that he was in and out of courts on at least 3 occasions before organizing the Church for fraud.

- **Context**

BYU Professor Ronald Walker writes that the events surrounding Joseph and the gold plates appear to be very typical of early 19th century folk magic.

1. Common motifs for treasure hunters in New England in the early 1800s include the following: the placement of seer stones in hats to see visions, buried boxes of gold, devils, belief in divine treasure keepers - usually Native Americans, and visions that recur three times.
2. Joseph possessed several of these seer stones, which he used it to sell his service as a buried treasure hunter. He had practiced the same thing growing up with his family.
3. Later, Joseph reportedly had a divine vision and was overcome by a devil. Then he was visited by an angel three times in one night and directed to the location of gold plates, which were buried in a stone box. When he arrived, there was a Native American angel protecting the gold plates from Joseph so he could not take them that day.
4. Eventually Joseph used his same treasure-seeking seer stones to dictate the Book of Mormon.

Considering that Joseph did not even use the plates during the translation, considering that folk magic was common in the New England area, and he had been in trouble with the law for treasure hunting, it seems hard to feel confident in the authenticity of the gold plates.

If Joseph was using the same tool to defraud people as he later used to write the Book of Mormon, then it speaks to the credibility of his endeavor. This realization hit me like a ton of bricks - He used a seer stone to sell treasure hunting services and when that didn't turn out well for him, he used the same stone to sell religious services.

Chapter 3 - The Witnesses

Losing confidence in the truthfulness of Joseph's claims made me think of the witnesses to the plates and how their testimonies lend credibility to the entire narrative; however, research turns up issues of their own. These included the fact that no scribe ever saw the plates, the 3 and 8 witnesses only saw the plates with their spiritual eyes, the printed testimony did not reflect literal events, and nearly all the witnesses left the Church.

- **The Scribes**

During the translation process, Joseph was either behind a curtain or the plates sat on a table underneath a cloth in another room. No scribe to the translation process (Oliver Cowdery, Martin Harris or Emma Smith) was ever allowed to see the plates. Emma only felt the plates through a cloth on the table. Why wouldn't Joseph want anybody to see the plates? (By the Gift and Power of God, Elder Neal A. Maxwell January 1997 Ensign quoting David Whitmer Interviews: A Restoration Witness, ed. Lyndon W. Cook, [1991], p173) and (Joseph Smith III, "Last Testimony of Sister Emma," pp289-90).

- **The 3 Witnesses**

The History of the Church records the event where Martin Harris, David Whitmer and Oliver Cowdery became the first witnesses to the gold plates after Joseph Smith. Joseph describes how the men gained their witness of the plates in a purely visionary setting.

"Not many days after the above commandment was given, we four, viz., Martin Harris, David Whitmer, Oliver Cowdery and myself, agreed to retire into the woods, and try to obtain, by fervent and humble prayer, the fulfilment of the promises given in the above revelation—that they should have a view of the plates. We accordingly made choice of a piece of woods convenient to Mr. Whitmer's house, to which we retired, and having knelt down, we began to pray in much faith to Almighty God to bestow upon us a realization of these promises.

According to previous arrangement, I commenced by vocal prayer to our Heavenly Father, and was followed by each of the others in succession. We did not at the first trial, however, obtain any answer or manifestation of divine favor in our behalf. We again observed the same order of prayer, each calling on and praying fervently to God in rotation, but with the same result as before.

Upon this, our second failure, Martin Harris proposed that he should withdraw himself from us, believing, as he expressed himself, that his presence was the cause of our not obtaining what we wished for. He accordingly withdrew from us, and we knelt down again, and had not been many minutes engaged in prayer, when presently we beheld a light above us in the air, of exceeding brightness; and behold, an angel stood before us. In his hands he held the plates which we had been praying for these to have a view of. He turned over the leaves one by one, so that we could see them, and discern the engravings thereon distinctly. He then addressed himself to David Whitmer, and said, 'David, blessed is the Lord, and he that keeps His commandments;' when, immediately afterwards, we heard a voice from out of the bright light above us, saying, 'These plates have been revealed by the power of God, and they have been translated by the power of God. The translation of them which you have seen is correct, and I command you to bear record of what you now see and hear.'" (Joseph Smith, History of the Church, vol.1, pp.54-55)

Joseph Smith, David Whitmer, and Oliver Cowdery saw an angel and the plates after Martin Harris withdrew from the group. Joseph goes on to tell how he, *"...left David and Oliver and went in pursuit of Martin Harris, whom I found at a considerable distance fervently engaged in prayer."* Then they both joined in prayer, and according to Joseph, *"the same vision was opened to our view."* Remember, the word "vision" means *dream* not *reality*.

It is important to note that Joseph never claimed to have carried the plates into the woods where they prayed. Did the angel go and get them from Joseph's house and bring them into the woods? Praying to see the plates in the woods seems rather odd if Joseph actually possessed physical plates. Why was prayer necessary to see the plates if they were in fact, a physical object? Martin Harris' behavior also seems strange if the plates actually existed.

- **The 8 Witnesses**

On March 25, 1838, Martin Harris testified in public that none of the 3 or 8 witnesses saw or handled the physical plates. This statement caused apostles Luke S. Johnson, Lyman E. Johnson, John F. Boynton, high priest Stephen Burnett and LDS Seventy Warren Parish to leave the church. A letter on Josephsmithpapers.org dated April 15, 1838, Stephen Burnett wrote the following to Lyman Johnson:

"I have reflected long and deliberately upon the history of this church and weighed the evidence for and against it – loth to give it up – but when I came to hear Martin Harris state in public that he never saw the plates with his natural eyes only in vision or imagination, neither Oliver [Cowdery] nor David [Whitmer] and also that the eight witnesses never saw them and hesitated to sign that instrument for that reason, but were persuaded to do it, the last pedestal gave way, in my view our foundations was sapped and the entire superstructure fell a heap of ruins, ... I was followed by W. [Warren] Parish, Luke Johnson and John Boynton, all of who concurred with me. After we were done speaking, M[artin] Harris arose and said he was sorry for any man who rejected the Book of Mormon for he knew it was true, he said he had hefted the plates repeatedly in a box with only a tablecloth or handkerchief over them, but he never saw them only as he saw a city through a mountain. And said that he never should have told that the testimony of the eight was false, if it had not been picked out of air but should have let it passed as it was."
(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/letterbook-2?p=69>)

On April 5, 1839 member of the Church, Theodore Turley, challenged John Whitmer, one of the 8 witnesses, to either affirm or deny his testimony regarding the gold plates. Whitmer responded by saying *"I now say, I handled those plates...they were shown to me by a supernatural power."* (History of the Church, vol.3 p307). Why would a supernatural power be necessary if the plates actually existed? Couldn't Joseph just invite the men he wanted to be witnesses over to his house, take the plates out of the box where he kept them and pass them around? Why are visions and supernatural means necessary to see these plates?

Josephsmithpapers.org published the original source document for the statements by the 3 and 8 witnesses that are printed in the beginning of the Book of Mormon. Half way down the first page marks the beginning of the testimony of the 3 witnesses and concludes on the top of the second page with their signatures. The second page then contains the entire testimony of the 8 witnesses and their signatures.



Josephsmithpapers.org states that both statements and all signatures are in the handwriting of Oliver Cowdery. The official statements printed in the Book of Mormon are not dated, signed with original signatures, or given a specific location where the events occurred. These are not 11 legally sworn statements; rather it seems possible that they are simple accounts pre-written and agreed upon at some later time.

Oliver Cowdery
David Whitmer
Martin Harris

Christiaan Whitmer
Jacob Whitmer
Peter Whitmer jun
John Whitmer
Hiram Page
Joseph Smith sen.
Hyrum Smith
Samuel H. Smith

Consider this fact in conjunction with the statement by Martin Harris:

"...and also that the eight witnesses never saw them and hesitated to sign that instrument for that reason, but were persuaded to do it."

Reportedly this source document is printer's manuscript and the original was partially destroyed; however no remains can be found.

- **Where Are The Plates Now?**

After completing the Book of Mormon Joseph had no more use of the plates and he gave up possession of them. Wilford Woodruff records,

"President Young said in relation to Joseph Smith returning the plates of the Book of Mormon, that he did not return them to the box from where he had received them. But he went into a cave in the Hill Cumorah with Oliver Cowdery and deposited those plates upon a table." (Wilford Woodruff, *Leaves From My Journal*, December 11, 1869)

In an interview David Whitmer recalls:

"Interviewer - Where are the plates now?"

Whitmer - In a cave, where the angel has hidden them up till the time arrives when the plates, which are sealed, shall be translated. God will yet raise up a mighty one, who shall do his work till it is finished and Jesus comes again.

Interviewer - Where is that cave?"

Whitmer - In the State of New York."

(David Whitmer, *Deseret Evening News*, August 16, 1878)

No one has ever seen the plates, yet it seems as though they are still here on the Earth in a cave in the Hill Cumorah. Surely the Church must be in possession of the plates as there is a visitor's center at the Hill Cumorah.



- **The Testimony of The 3 Witnesses is Not Accurate**

The printed testimony is not an accurate account of the events, but a reconstruction of multiple experiences.

“And we also testify that we have seen the engravings which are upon the plates... And we declare with words of soberness, that an angel of God came down from heaven, and he brought and laid before our eyes, that we beheld and saw the plates, and the engravings thereon; and we know that it is by the grace of God the Father, and our Lord Jesus Christ, that we beheld and bear record that these things are true.” (Testimony of the Three Witnesses, *The Book of Mormon*)

The language in this statement makes it seem as if all four men experienced this event together. However, the History of the Church passage clearly shows that the Martin Harris’ vision happened separately. Not only that, but later statements made by David Whitmer and Martin Harris show that the angel brought additional objects for them to see. This is curiously left out of the signed Testimony of the Three Witnesses.


*“We not only **saw the plates of the Book of Mormon** but also **the brass plates, the plates of the Book of Ether**, the plates containing the records of the wickedness and secret combinations of the people of the world down to the time of their being engraved, **and many other plates** ... there appeared as it were, a table with many records or plates upon it, besides the plates of the Book of Mormon, also **the Sword of Laban**, the Directors i.e., **the ball** which Lehi had-and **the Interpreters** [Urim and Thummim].”*

(David Whitmer, interview by Orson Pratt, *Book of Mormon Compendium*, 1878, pp.55-56)

Why fail to mention the other plates and important Nephite artifacts? Does the Church have these other objects in possession?

- **The Succession Crisis**

For roughly six months after Joseph Smith's death, several people competed to assume his role as president. The leading contenders were: Sidney Rigdon, Brigham Young, James Strang, Samuel Smith and William Smith. James Strang asserted that unlike the others, he had physical proof of his prophetic calling. Strang produced a 3 page letter, supposedly written by Joseph Smith to him, naming Strang as his successor.



Kauvee June 18th 1844
 My dear son Your epistle of may 24th proposing the planting
 a stake of zion in wisconsin and the gathering the saints there
 was duly received & I with most of the brethren whose advise I called
 in were of opinion that you was deceived by a spirit not of this world

While the wording of the letter is somewhat ambiguous and the handwriting does not match Joseph's, it still convinced many members of the Church to follow Strang. Included were all the members of the 3 and 8 witnesses, and all members of the Smith family (including Emma), except Hyrum, Samuel, and Joseph Sr.

It is important to note that of the 3 witnesses, Oliver Cowdery, David Whitmer and Martin Harris all left the church. Also, of the 8 witnesses, Christian Whitmer, Jacob Whitmer, Peter Whitmer Jr., John Whitmer and Hiram Page all left the church. Joseph Smith Sen. and Hyrum Smith did not. It is important to note that the Church was finically supporting the Smith family. Samuel Smith contended that he, like the others, was also the new prophet. By 1847, not one of the surviving eleven witnesses was part of the Church anymore.

- **Context**

1. No scribe was allowed to see the plates.
2. All of the witnesses saw the plates spiritually, not physically.
3. Signatures of the witnesses are the handwriting of Oliver Cowdery.
4. All eleven witnesses left the Church; save the Smith family.
5. Several of the witnesses testified to be the new prophet after Joseph's death.

How much credibility can we give the testimonies of the plates when the records show that it was seen in visions, not physically as the Church teaches? How much trust can we give these men when nearly all ended up leaving the Church? If they truly saw the plates and knew the Church was true, why would they all decide to leave?

Chapter 4 - The Kinderhook Plates

The Kinderhook Plates are six brass plates engraved by men from Illinois for the purpose of exposing Joseph Smith as someone who could not divinely translate ancient writings. This chapter covers the background, Joseph's translation, the forgery claim, the 1953 test results, and the 1980 test results.

- **Background**

"On April 23, 1843, a group of men excavated an old earth mound just outside the town of Kinderhook, Illinois, and came up with a most interesting find. The excavation was headed by Robert Wiley, a local merchant. After digging down about twelve feet, they came upon "fire burned rock, charcoal, ashes, and badly decomposed human bones. Near the [corner] a bundle was found that consisted of six plates of brass of a bell shape, each having a hole near the small end, a ring through them all and clasped with two clasps. The plates appeared to have some kind of writing on them but were so badly oxidized they could not be clearly distinguished until Dr. W. P. Harris, MD, treated them with a dilute solution of sulphuric acid which made them perfectly clear. They were completely covered with "hieroglyphics" on both sides. A certificate stating the facts of the find was drawn up and signed by nine of the men present and sent to nearby newspapers." (Welby W. Ricks, The Kinderhook Plates, The Improvement Era, September 19, 1962)



- **Translation**

This passage details Joseph's experience with the plates.

"I insert facsimiles of the six brass plates found near Kinderhook, in Pike county, Illinois, on April 23, by Mr. Robert Wiley and others, while excavating a large mound. They found a skeleton about six feet from the surface of the earth, which must have stood nine feet high. The plates were found on the breast of the skeleton and were covered on both sides with ancient characters.


I have translated a portion of them, and find they contain the history of the person with whom they were found. He was a descendant of Ham, through the loins of Pharaoh, king of Egypt, and that he received his kingdom from the Ruler of heaven and earth." (Joseph Smith, *History of the Church*, May 1, 1843, vol.5 p.372)

Joseph claimed to translate the characters on the plates. He stated that the body they were found with was descended from Ham. Brigham Young was also with Joseph at the time he was studying the plates and recorded in his own journal:

"May 3rd 1843 I took this [sketch at right] at Joseph Smiths house found near Quincy."

- **The Forgery Claim**

In a letter, Wilbur Fugate, one of the men present during the excavation, claimed to have helped craft the plates.



"I received your letter in regard to those plates, and will say in answer that they are a humbug, gotten up by Robert Wiley, Bridge Whitton and myself...None of the nine persons who signed the certificate knew the secret, except, Wiley and I. We read in Pratt's prophecy that "Truth is yet to spring up out of the earth." We concluded to prove the prophecy by way of a joke. We soon made our plans and executed them, Bridge Whitton cut them out of some pieces of copper; Wiley and I made the hieroglyphics by making impressions on beeswax and filling them with acid and putting it on the plates. When they were finished we put them together with rust made of nitric acid, old iron and lead, and bound them with a piece of hoop iron, covering them completely with the rust.

Our plans worked admirably. A certain Sunday was appointed for digging. The night before, Wiley went to the Mound where he had previously dug to the depth of about eight feet, there being a flat rock that sounded hollow beneath, and put them under it. On the following morning quite a number of citizens were there to assist in the search, there

being two Mormon elders present (Marsh and Sharp). The rock was soon removed, but some time elapsed before the plates were discovered. I finally picked them up and exclaimed, "A piece of pot metal!" Fayette Grubb snatched them from me and struck them against the rock and they fell to pieces. Dr. Harris examined them and said they had hieroglyphics on them. He took acid and removed the rust and they were soon out on exhibition." (Letter of Wilbur Fugate to James T. Cobb, 8 April 1878, Schroeder Collection, Wisconsin Historical Society, Madison, WI)

Faithful LDS members disregarded Fulgate's story and held to Joseph's translation of the plates and maintained that the plates were in fact ancient artifacts.

- **1953 Test Results**

Addressing the forgery claim of Wilbur Fugate, the Church used two professional engravers to examine the Kinderhook plates. The *Improvement Era* article goes on to state:

"Mr. Fugate said Wiley and he had etched the plates. Yet two professional engravers were invited to view the plates in 1953 and give their unbiased opinion about them - which they did freely and without charge. They stated clearly that the plate was engraved with a pointed instrument."

"The plates are now back in their original category of genuine. What scholars may learn from this ancient record in future years or what may be translated by divine power is an exciting thought to contemplate. This much remains. Joseph Smith, Jun., stands as a true prophet and translator of ancient records by divine means and all the world is invited to investigate the truth which has sprung out of the earth not only of the Kinderhook plates, but of the Book of Mormon as well." (Welby W. Ricks, *The Kinderhook Plates*, The Improvement Era, September 19, 1962)

The assessment was that the plates were authentic and Joseph was a true prophet. As late as the printing of this 1962 article, every prophet from Joseph Smith to David O. McKay believed the plates to be authentic.

- **1980 Test Results**

It wasn't until 1980, that LDS professor Stanley P. Kimball was able to secure permission to perform more scientific testing on the plates. In the August 1981 Ensign, the Church published an article detailing the processes, results and conclusion.

"These tests, involving some very sophisticated analytical techniques, were performed by Professor D. Lynn Johnson of the Department of Materials Science and Engineering at Northwestern University.

Dr. Johnson used a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to examine the grooves that form the characters on the plate to determine whether they were cut or scratched with a tool or whether they were etched with acid. A scanning Auger microprobe (SAM) was used to detect any nitrogen residues that might have been left in the grooves as a result of etching with nitric acid.

The irregular, grainy texture characteristic of acid etching is evident, not a striated surface that would have been produced by an engraving tool. A thorough SEM examination of the characters on the plate brought Dr. Johnson to the conclusion that the characters on the plate were indeed prepared by acid etching, not by any form of tooling, scratching, or cutting.

It became apparent during the SEM study that a residue of some kind was present in some of the grooves. The scanning Auger microprobe (SAM) was used to analyze these residues. A clear indication of nitrogen was detected, which would be consistent with a copper nitrate residue and could indicate that nitric acid was used in the etching, as those who reportedly originated the deception had claimed."

"A recent electronic and chemical analysis of a metal plate (one of six original plates) brought in 1843 to the Prophet Joseph Smith in Nauvoo, Illinois, appears to solve a previously unanswered question in Church history, helping to further evidence that the plate is what its producers later said it was—a nineteenth-century attempt to lure Joseph Smith into making a translation of ancient-looking characters that had been etched into the plates. (Stanley P. Kimball, Kinderhook Plates Brought to Joseph Smith Appear to be a Nineteenth-Century Hoax, Ensign, 1981)

- **Context**

1. Wilbur Fugate, Robert Wiley, and Bridge Whitton fashioned fake ancient plates out of copper and iron. Using acid, they etched counterfeit hieroglyphics.
2. The plates were taken to Joseph Smith where he pronounced them genuine and translated the "ancient characters."
3. The entire body of the Church believed in the authenticity of the plates.
4. Wilbur Fugate claimed he, Wiley and Whitton made the plates as a joke.
5. A 1953 observation claims the plates are genuine; reinforcing the Church's position.
6. After further scientific testing, it was concluded that the Kinderhook Plates were absolutely not genuine.

The fraudulent Kinderhook plates raise several troubling concerns. How could the prophet Joseph Smith believe they were authentic and claim to have translated the symbols as an account of a descendant of Ham through the loins of Pharaoh, King of Egypt? This also puts into question Joseph's translations of the Book of Mormon and Book of Abraham characters.

Chapter 5 - The Word of Wisdom

In studying all aspects of early Church history, I often thought about the Word of Wisdom and how much the revelation means in verifying Joseph Smith's prophetic abilities. However, the more I researched the historical context of Word of Wisdom the more I learned that it was not unique in its instructions for health during that period. In fact, conventional ideas, movements, and additional influences likely shaped what we have come to know as "the Lord's law of health."



• The Word of Wisdom

The Word of Wisdom was written by Joseph Smith in Kirtland, Ohio on February 27, 1833. It is currently published in section 89 of the Doctrine and Covenants.

2. To be sent greeting; not by commandment or constraint
5. That inasmuch as any man drinketh wine or strong drink among you, behold it is not good, neither meet in the sight of your Father, only in assembling yourselves together to offer up your sacraments before him.
6. And, behold, this should be wine, yea, pure wine of the grape of the vine, of your own make.
7. And, again, strong drinks are not for the belly, but for the washing of your bodies.
9. And again, hot drinks are not for the body or belly.
11. Every herb in the season thereof, and every fruit in the season thereof; all these to be used with prudence and thanksgiving.
12. Yea, flesh also of beasts and of the fowls of the air, I, the Lord, have ordained for the use of man with thanksgiving; nevertheless they are to be used sparingly;
13. And it is pleasing unto me that they should not be used, only in times of winter, or of cold, or famine.
17. wheat for man, and corn for the ox, and oats for the horse, and rye for the fowls and for swine, and for all beasts of the field, and barley for all useful animals, and for mild drinks, as also other grain.

There are several things mentioned in The Word of Wisdom that aren't commonly observed in modern LDS culture.

- Verse 2 - Clearly states that the Word of Wisdom is not a commandment; yet modern members are asked if they follow it during temple interviews and will not be issued a temple recommend if the interviewer feels that they do not properly adhere.
- Verse 6,7,9,17 - Makes the distinction between 4 different types of drinks: wine, strong, hot and mild. Wine = ok for the sacrament if you make it yourself. Strong drinks = not ok. Hot drinks = not ok. Mild drinks = ok.
- Verse 9 - Mentions "hot drinks." What exactly does "hot drinks" refer to? Apostle George Q. Cannon stated, *"We are told, and very plainly too, that hot drinks - tea, coffee, chocolate, cocoa and all drinks of this kind are not good for man." "We must not permit them to drink liquor or hot drinks, or hot soups or to use tobacco or other articles that are injurious."* (George Q. Cannon, General Conference, April 7, 1868, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.12)
- Verse 11 - Only eat produce in their proper season.
- Verse 12,13 - Meat should only be eaten in the winter or during a famine.
- Verse 17 - Mild drinks made from barley area ok. What drinks are made from barley? Beer.

It seems that the modern Church has settled on coffee, tea, alcohol, tobacco and illegal drugs as the new interpretation. But according to the Word of Wisdom, members should currently refrain from hot drinks of all kinds, chocolate and soups included. Alcohol is not outright banned, but only strong drinks (hard liquor). In addition, according to the Word of Wisdom, all members should be vegetarian most of the year. These parts have been reinterpreted to change the original intent.

Additionally, the Lord's Law of Health does not seem to have anything to do with physical health at all. Extremely obese members are given temple recommends, while active, healthy, and fit members who drink coffee and tea are not. It seems that if God really wanted to give the saints a code of health that would continue until this modern day, he should have included things like daily cardiovascular exercise, and the avoidance of fast food and excess sugar.

In fact, the Church has long taught that coffee and tea were unhealthy; however, this reputation appears to be mistaken. Studies involving over 1.5 million participants who consumed 3-5 cups of coffee a day were at the lowest risk for cardiovascular diseases, stroke, prostate, breast and lung cancers, compared with those who drank none. Similar research show that polyphenol, a powerful antioxidant found in black, green and white teas, combat free-radicals that contribute to cancer, heart disease, kidney damage, diabetes, and helps lower cholesterol. (<http://www.webmd.com/diet/features/tea-types-and-their-healthbenefits#1>) and (<http://www.nytimes.com/2015/05/12/upshot/more-consensus-on-coffees-benefits-than-you-might-think.html>)

- **Possible Influences**

1. Popular Misconceptions Regarding “Hot Drinks”

One common misconceptions in this era said that hot liquids were not good for the body. A popular book titled, *Wholesome Advice against the Abuse of Hot Liquors*, particularly targeted tea, coffee and hot chocolate labeling them as unhealthy. This book argued that while moderate consumption could be beneficial, an excess of hot drinks caused the blood and insides to heat up and that *“Excess of heat is the most common cause of sickness and death.”* Medical science at the time was so basic that the evidence presented in the book was based largely upon crude anatomical knowledge and references to classical Greek and Roman texts.

A few decades later in 1748, John Wesley, the founder of Methodism, was arguing for complete abstinence from tea, on the grounds that it gave rise to *“numberless disorders, particularly those of a nervous kind”*. He placed emphasis on the religious importance of self-denial. (<http://www.tea.co.uk/a-social-history#temperance>) Interestingly, Joseph Smith became very familiar with Methodist teachings when in June 1828 he became a member of minister Nathaniel Lewis’ Harmony, Pennsylvania congregation. It is important to note that Joseph became a member after the First Vision where he was told that all religions were incorrect.

2. The Temperance Movement

Alcohol had been socially acceptable during the colonial era, but a social shift in the early 19th century initiated the belief that drinking was no longer acceptable. The temperance movement was an organized effort to encourage moderation in the consumption of alcohol or press for complete abstinence.

Marcus Morton founded the American Temperance Society in 1826 and it benefited from a renewed interest in religion and morality. Groups began taking positions on moral issues and advocating temperance with alcohol rather than abstinence. At this point the movement began to grow exponentially. Within 12 years it claimed more than 8,000 local groups and over 1.5 million members. By 1839, 18 temperance journals were being published. The movement split along two lines in the late 1830s: moderates who allowed some drinking and relied on moral persuasion alone, and radicals who demanded prohibition laws to restrict or ban alcohol. Prohibitionists dominated many of the largest temperance organizations after the 1830's, and temperance eventually became synonymous with prohibition.

“On October 6, 1830, the Kirtland Temperance Society was organized with two hundred thirty nine members.... This society at Kirtland was a most active one.... it revolutionized the social customs of the neighborhood. The Temperance Society succeeded in eliminating a distillery in Kirtland on February 1, 1833, just twenty-seven days before the Latter-day Saint revelation counseling abstinence was announced, and that the distillery at Mentor, near Kirtland, was also closed at the same time.” (Brigham Young University Studies, Winter 1959, pp.39-40)

3. Emma Smith

Joseph Smith started a training school called the School of the Prophets for the elders of the Church, which opened in Kirtland on the second floor of the Newel K. Whitney mercantile store in January 1833. Brigham Young stated that the Word of Wisdom was given in response to problems encountered while conducting those meetings:

“I think I am as well acquainted with the circumstances which led to the giving of the Word of Wisdom...When they assembled together in this room after breakfast, the first they did was to light their pipes, and, while smoking, talk about the great things of the kingdom, and spit all over the room, and as soon as the pipe was out of their mouths a large chew of tobacco would then be taken. Often when the Prophet [Joseph Smith] entered the room to give the school instructions he would find himself in a cloud of tobacco smoke. This, and the complaints of his wife at having to clean so filthy a floor, made the Prophet think upon the matter, and he inquired of the Lord relating to the conduct of the Elders in using tobacco, and the revelation known as the Word of Wisdom was the result of his inquiry.” (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.12, p.158)

- **Timeline**

1826 – American Temperance Society founded.

1828 – Joseph Smith becomes a member of the Methodist church that discouraged hot drinks.

1830 – Kirtland Temperance Society founded.

1833 – (Jan) Joseph Smith hears complaints from Emma about tobacco.

1833 – (Feb. 1) The Kirtland Temperance Society eliminates the distillery in Kirtland.

1833 – (Feb. 27) Joseph writes the Word of Wisdom limiting hot drinks, tobacco and strong drinks.

Even after all that, the History of the Church records that Joseph taught the Word of Wisdom but did not practice it. If the Lord really gave this revelation to Joseph, one would think he would at least follow it himself.

1. *“We then partook of some refreshments, and our hearts were made glad with the fruit of the vine. This is according to the pattern set by our Savior Himself, and we feel disposed to patronize all the institutions of heaven.”* (Joseph Smith, *History of the Church*, January 14, 1836, vol.2, p.369)

2. *“Ordinance on the Personal Sale of Liquors - Section 1. Be it ordained by the City Council of Nauvoo, that the Mayor of the city be and is hereby authorized to see or give spirits of any quantity as he in his wisdom shall judge to be for the health and comfort, or convenience of such travelers or other persons as shall visit his house from time to time. Passed December 12, 1843. Joseph Smith, Mayor. Willard Richards, Recorder.”* (*History of the Church*, December 12, 1843, vol.6, p.111)

3. *“Before the jailor came in, his boy brought in some water, and said the guard wanted some wine. Joseph gave Dr. Richards two dollars to give the guard; but the guard said one was enough, and would take no more. The guard immediately sent for a bottle of wine, pipes, and two small papers of tobacco; and one of the guards brought them into the jail soon after the jailor went out. Dr. Richards uncorked the bottle, and presented a glass to Joseph, who tasted, as also Brother Taylor and the doctor, and the bottle was then given to the guard, who turned to go out.”* (History of the Church, June 27, 1844, vol.6, p.616)

I have never been taught in Church that abstinence from alcohol and other hot drinks were already popular concepts of time leading up to the revelation. It appears that the Word of Wisdom may not be unique instruction, but well within the context of 19th century assumptions. Perhaps it was spurred by Emma’s complaints, added to by Methodist teachings and pressured by the local Kirtland Temperance Society.

Chapter 6 - The Endowment

Was the temple endowment really a revelation from God or could it have another origin?

This chapter covers the origin of Freemasonry, founders of the Church and their Masonic membership, and similarities between Masonic temple ceremonies and the LDS temple endowment.

- **Origin of Freemasonry**

"The earliest Masonic texts each contain some sort of a history of the craft, or mystery, of masonry. The oldest known work of this type, The Halliwell Manuscript, dating from between 1390 and 1425." (Grand Lodge of British Columbia, The Halliwell Manuscript. <http://freemasonry.bcy.ca/texts/regius.html>)

"Some are also told that King Solomon ruled over Masonic lodges as grand master. The stories they weave around the building of the temple are obviously not literal or historical facts but a dramatic means of explaining the principles of Freemasonry. Freemasonry neither originated nor existed in Solomon's time. The general agreement amongst serious Masonic historians and researchers is that Freemasonry has arisen, either directly or indirectly, from the medieval stonemasons who built great cathedrals and castles. Those who favor the direct descent from operative masonry say there were three stages to the evolution of Freemasonry. The stonemasons gathered in huts (lodges) to rest and eat. These lodges gradually became not the hut but the grouping together of stonemasons to regulate their craft. In time, and in common with other trades, they developed primitive initiation ceremonies for new apprentices."

"As stonemasons could easily travel all over the country from one building site to another, and as there were also no trade union cards or certificates of apprenticeship they began to adopt a private word which a traveling stonemason could use when he arrived at a new site, to prove that he was properly trained and had been a member of a lodge. It was, after all, easier to communicate a special word to prove that you knew what you were doing and were entitled to the wages it deserved than to spend hours carving a block of stone to demonstrate your skills."

"We know that in the early 1600s these operative lodges began to admit men who had no connection with the trade - accepted or gentlemen masons. Why this was done and what form of ceremony was used is not known. As the 1600s drew to a close more and more gentlemen began to join the lodges, gradually taking them over and turning them into lodges of free and accepted or speculative masons, no longer having any connection with the stonemasons' craft. This is based on evidence from Scotland. In England, the first evidence of a lodge completely made up of non-operative masons is found. English evidence through the 1600s points to Freemasonry existing apart from any actual or supposed organization of operative stonemasons. This was a period of great religious

and political turmoil and intolerance. Men were unable to meet together without differences of political and religious opinion leading to arguments. Opposing views split families and the English civil war of 1642-6 was the ultimate outcome. As their central idea was one of building a better society they borrowed their forms and symbols from the operative builders craft and took their central allegory from the Bible, the common source book known to all, in which the only building described in any detail is King Solomon's Temple. Stonemasons tools also provided them with a multiplicity of emblems to illustrate the principles they were putting forward. The formation of the premier Grand Lodge in 1717 had been followed, around 1725, by the Grand Lodge of Ireland and, in 1736; the Grand Lodge of Scotland. These three Grand Lodges did much to spread Freemasonry throughout the world, to the extent that all regular Grand Lodges throughout the world, whatever the immediate means of their formation, ultimately trace their origins back to one, or a combination, of the Grand Lodges within the British Isles."

(<http://www.mastermason.com/jjcrowder/history/history.html>)

Church leaders claim that the connection between Masons and Mormons date back to the stonemasons who built Solomon's temple in the Old Testament.

"Modern Masonry is a fragmentary presentation of the ancient order established by King Solomon. From whom it is said to have been handed down through the centuries. "that he was not sorry there was such a similarity, because of the fact that the ordinances and rites revealed to Joseph Smith constituted a reintroduction upon the earth of the divine plan inaugurated in the Temple of Solomon in ancient days. "Masonry is an apostasy from the ancient early order, just as so-called Christianity is an apostasy from the true Church of Christ" (Elder Melvin J. Ballard, *The Salt Lake Herald*, December 29, 1919)

"We have the true Masonry. The Masonry of today is received from the apostasy which took place in the days of Solomon, and David. They have now and then a thing that is correct, but we have the real thing." (Heber C. Kimball, *Heber C. Kimball and Family, The Nauvoo Years*. Brigham Young University Studies. 1975, p.458)

Unfortunately for the Church, Freemason historians cite its origins to the late 14th to early 15th century in Scotland as a trade guild; not 950 BC in Jerusalem. President Kimball and Elder Ballard appear to be mistaken about the origins of masonry and thus the Church's historical connection for the endowment ceremony.

- **LDS Masons**

Joseph's family and several of the first members of the Church were Masons. Joseph Smith Sr. was a documented member in upstate New York. He was raised to the degree of Master Mason May 7, 1818 in Ontario Lodge No. 23 of Canandaigua, New York. His older brother Hyrum was a member of Mount Moriah Lodge No. 112 at Palmyra, New York. Other prominent members include: Joseph's other brothers Samuel and William Smith, Brigham Young (2nd president of the Church), John Taylor (3rd president), Wilford Woodruff (4th president), Lorenzo Snow (5th president), Sidney Rigdon (first presidency), William Law (first presidency), John C. Bennett (first presidency), Newell K. Whitney (Presiding Bishop), Heber C. Kimball (first presidency), Orson Pratt (apostle), Parley P. Pratt (apostle), Orson Hyde (apostle), Lyman Johnson, (apostle), William Clayton (Joseph's secretary), Porter Rockwell (Joseph's bodyguard) and many more.

As soon as the saints erected the Nauvoo lodge, Joseph Smith also sought membership in the fraternity.

"15 March 1842 Tuesday - I officiated as grand chaplain at the installation of the Nauvoo Lodge of Free Masons, at the Grove near the Temple. Grand Master Jonas, of Columbus, being present, a large number of people assembled on the occasion. The day was exceedingly fine; all things were done in order, and universal satisfaction was manifested. In the evening I received the first degree in Free Masonry in the Nauvoo Lodge, assembled in my general business office." (Joseph Smith, *Journal Dec. 1841-Dec. 1842*. Also in *History of the Church*, vol.4, pp.550)


Just seven weeks after his initiation as a first-degree mason, on April 4, 1842, Joseph introduces the endowment ceremony in the upper room of his red brick store; the same room where his Masonic initiation took place. Present were Hyrum Smith, Brigham Young, William Law, Heber C. Kimball and others.

• Similarities between Masonic rituals and LDS Temple Ceremony

What exactly was Joseph exposed to during this initiation and is it possible that any of it made its way into the endowment ceremony? Two expository books on Masonry written by William M. Morgan and Jabez Richardson disclose various temple ceremonies. (*Illustrations of Masonry by One of the Fraternity*, 1827) and (*Monitor of Free-Masonry*. www.themasonictrowel.com/ebooks/freemasonry/eb0348.pdf) They show that the words, actions and symbols used in Masonic rituals are nearly identical to LDS temple ordinances. Below is a small collection of those that bare most striking resemblance.

1. Compass and Square

- Masonic compass and square: *"The candidate then enters, the Senior Deacon at the same time pressing his left breast with the point of the compass..." "As he enters, the angle of the square is pressed against his right breast..."*



- LDS sign of the compass and square: On our garments we also have the sign of the compass on the left and the square on the right.

2. Washing and Anointing

- Masonic washing ceremony: *"Master orders the basin of the perfumed water and a clean napkin to be brought to him, and directs candidate to wash his hands, which he does...Master takes a box of perfumed ointment and anoints candidate on his head, eyes, mouth, heart, the tip of his right ear, hand, foot."*
- LDS initiatory: We also have a ceremony where preparatory washing and anointing takes place.

3. New Name


- Masonic presentation of a new name: *"I also present you with a new name; it is _____."*
- LDS new name: *"I give you a new name which is never to be divulged to anyone except at a certain place and time shown you. The name I shall give you is _____."*

4. Tokens

- Masonic Entered Apprentice grip vs. First Token of the Aaronic priesthood:



- Masonic real grip of a Fellowcraft vs. Second token of the Aaronic priesthood:



5. Signs

- Masonic sign of the Master Mason:
The sign is given by raising both hands and arms to the elbows, perpendicular, one each side of the head, the elbows forming a square.
- LDS sign of 2nd token of the Melchizedek priesthood:
The sign is made by bringing both hands to the square, palms to the front...(former). The sign is made by raising the hands high above the head...(current).



6. Embrace and Wording Through the Veil (note the aprons)

- Masonic embrace and wording:
The Master and candidate holding each other by the grip, as before described, the Master says...
Q. What is this?
A. A grip.
Q. A grip of what?
A. The grip of an Entered Apprentice Mason.
Q. Has it a name?
A. It has.
Q. Will you give it to me?
A. I did not so receive it, neither can I so impart it.



- LDS embrace (same) and wording :

Present him at the veil and his request shall be granted...

Q. What is that?

A. The second token of the Melchizedek priesthood.

Q. Has it a name?

A. It has.

Q. Will you give it to me?

A. I cannot, for I have not yet received it.

7. Penalties (removed from LDS temple endowment in April, 1990. Replaced with stern warning).

- Masonic penalty sign: *"Made from the due-guard by dropping the left hand carelessly; at the same time raise the right arm and draw the hand, still open, across the throat, thumb next [to] the throat, and drop the hand perpendicular by the side."*

Former LDS penalty sign: *"In executing the sign of the penalty, the right hand, palm downward, is drawn sharply across the throat, then dropped from the square to the side."*

- Masonic penalty oath: *"Binding myself under no less penalty than to have my throat cut across, my tongue torn out by the roots."*

Former LDS penalty oath: *"We and each of us, covenant and promise that we will not reveal any secrets of this... Should we do so, we agree that our throats be cut from ear to ear and our tongues torn out by their roots."*

- Masonic Penalty of the fellow craft: *"Having my left breast torn open, my heart plucked out, and given to the wild beasts of the field and the fowls of the air."*

Mormon penalty of the second token of the Aaronic Priesthood: *"We agree to have our breasts cut open and our hearts and vitals torn from our bodies and given to the birds of the air and the beasts of the field."*

- Masonic Penalty of the master mason: *"To have my body cut in two, my bowels removed and burned to ashes which are then to be scattered to the four winds of heaven."*




Mormon penalty of the first token of the Melchizedek Priesthood: *"Should we do so, we agree that our bodies be cut asunder in the midst and all our bowels gush out."*

8. Masonic Symbol Use on Early Temples


- o Bees and the Beehive

"A bee has in all Ages and Nations been the Grand Hieroglyphick of Masonry, because it excels all other living Creatures in the Contrivance and Commodiousness of its Habitation or combe; ... nay Masonry or Building seems to be of the very Essence or Nature of the Bee, for her Building not the ordinary Way of all other living Creatures, is the Generative Cause which produces the Young ones. (The Early Masonic Catechisms, 1724)

"We must assume that the Bee Hive became an important symbol in Freemasonry the way the other symbols entered it. It symbolized what the cathedral builders did and the way they did it. The bee definitely is industrious."
(The Craft and Its Symbols, A.E. Roberts, p. 74)





- o Masonic All Seeing Eye on Salt Lake Temple (located atop each of the center towers)



- o Masonic Order of the Eastern Star on Nauvoo Temple



- Masonic use of Sun, Moon and Stars also on Nauvoo Temple representing Celestial, Terrestrial and Telestial kingdoms.



I found it interesting that it was not until *after* Joseph Smith's exposure to Masonry that he introduces the endowment ceremony. Just seven weeks separated Joseph's Masonic initiation and the instructions for the first endowment.

- March 15, 1842 - Joseph Smith became a Mason in his general business office. (*History of the Church*, vol.4, p551)
- May 4, 1842 - Joseph instructed the other leaders on the washings, anointing, signs and tokens. (*History of the Church*, vol.5, p2)

Every LDS temple ceremony has a nearly identical Masonic ceremony and the corresponding symbols are unmistakable. This brings up the question - Who is the real author of the endowment? Parallels between Masonry and the endowment seem to be problematic. Could Joseph Smith have simply borrowed this "revelation"?

Chapter 7 - Polygamy

What kind of motivations could a man have to wed multiple women? Joseph Smith married up to 65 women from a growing group of people that intensely admired him and that he held great influence over. For unspecified reasons his polygamy is never addressed in the Church education system. Problems appear when we look at the timeline that Joseph began this practice, the secretive nature with subsequent denial, and the types of women married.

- **Timeline**

An issue arises when we compare the date of the revelation to begin polygamy and the dates of Joseph's marriages.

Doctrine and Covenants, (Heading) Section 132

*Revelation given through Joseph Smith the Prophet, at Nauvoo, Illinois, recorded **July 12, 1843**, relating to the new and everlasting covenant, including the eternity of the marriage covenant and the principle of plural marriage.* (The Church has since amended this heading to say, "evidence indicates that some of the principles involved in this revelation were known by the Prophet as early as 1831." What they fail to clarify is that "some of the principles" referred to was an 1831 Ohio Star publication where Joseph Smith instructs male members to wed Native American women in an effort to make their posterity whiter in an effort to fulfill Book of Mormon prophecy.)

In 1833 Fanny Alger became Joseph's first marriage after Emma - ten years before the official revelation. At the time, Fanny was living in the Smith home, helping Emma with housework and the children. A family friend, one of Brigham Young's wives recalls:

"Mrs. Smith had an adopted daughter, a very pretty, pleasing young girl, about seventeen years old. She was extremely fond of her; no mother could be more devoted, and their affection for each other was a constant object of remark, so absorbing and genuine did it seem. Consequently it was with a shocked surprise that the people heard that sister Emma had turned Fanny out of the house in the night...By degrees it became whispered about that Joseph's love for his adopted daughter was by no means a paternal affection, and his wife discovering the fact, at once took measures to place the girl beyond his reach. Since Emma refused decidedly to allow her to remain in her house...my mother offered to take her until she could be sent to her relatives..." (Ann Eliza Webb, Wife No. 19, 1875)

Oliver Cowdery also addresses this situation when he notes his extreme displeasure with Joseph's conduct with Fanny Alger while married to Emma. In a letter to his brother Warren A. Cowdery, Oliver wrote:

"We had some conversation in which in every instance I did not fail to affirm that what I had said was strictly true. A dirty, nasty, filthy affair of his and Fanny Alger's was talked over in which I strictly declared that I had never deserted from the truth in that matter and as I supposed was admitted by himself." (Oliver Cowdery, Far West, Missouri, January 21, 1839)

Even with Oliver's important role in the formation of the Church, Joseph could not allow his affairs to become public. The issue of polygamy divided Oliver and Joseph so wide that Joseph turned Oliver out of the Church after his unceasing disapproval of the practice.

- **Secrets and Denial**

Additional marriages were kept secret; when word began to spread he turned to outright denial.

1. Emily and Eliza Partridge

Emily and Eliza were the daughters of the first bishop of the Church, Edward Partridge. When he passed away, his daughters Emily (16) and Eliza (20) sought work as maids to help support their family. Emily recalls:

*"The first door that opened for us was to go to Smith's, which we accepted." "[I was] a nurse girl, for they had a young baby...Joseph and Emma were very kind to us; they were almost like a father and mother, and I loved Emma and the children." "...the Prophet Joseph and his wife Emma offered us a home in their family,... We had been there about a year when the principle of plural marriage was made known to us, and **I was married to Joseph Smith** on the 4th of March 1843, Elder Heber C. Kimball performing the ceremony. My sister **Eliza was also married to Joseph** a few days later. **This was done without the knowledge of Emma Smith.** Two months afterward she consented to give her husband two wives, providing he would give her the privilege of choosing them. She accordingly chose my sister Eliza and myself, and **to save family trouble Brother Joseph thought it best to have another ceremony performed.** Accordingly on the 11th of May, 1843, we were sealed to Joseph Smith a second time, in Emma's presence,... From that very hour, however, Emma was our bitter enemy. We remained in the family several months after this, but things went from bad to worse until we were obligated to leave the house and find another home."* (Emily Partridge's journal)

2. Letter from Joseph Smith to Sarah Ann Whitney:

*"...my feelings are so strong for you since what has passed lately between us...it seems, as if I could not live long in this way; and if you three would come and see me...it would afford me great relief...I know it is the will of God that you should comfort me now in this time of affliction...**the only thing to be careful of; is to find out when Emma comes then you cannot be safe, but when she is not here, there is the most perfect safety...burn this letter as soon as you read it;** keep all locked up in your breasts...You will pardon me for my earnestness on this subject when you consider how lonesome I must be...I think Emma wont come tonight if she don't fail to come tonight..."* (Joseph Smith, George Albert Smith Family Papers, Early Smith Documents, 1731-1849, Folder 18, in the Special Collections, Western Americana, Marriott Library, University of Utah)

3. 1835 Statement on Marriage

While still before the official revelation on plural marriage in 1843, an early edition of the Doctrine and Covenants (Sec 109:4) reprints a statement by Joseph addressing the public's concern with his illegal practice of polygamy:

"Statement on Marriage. August 17, 1835. Inasmuch as this Church of Christ has been reproached with the crime of fornication, and polygamy: we declare that we believe, that one man should have one wife; and one woman, but one husband, except in case of death, when either is at liberty to marry again. (<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paper-summary/doctrine-and-covenants-1844?p=441>) This passage has since been removed from later editions of the D&C.

Why would Joseph mention that the Church was accused of the crime of polygamy in 1835 when supposedly God didn't reveal this practice until 1843? Probably because by the time that Section 132 of the Doctrine and Covenants was written, Joseph had wed 29 women by his own desire.

4. As late as 1844, Joseph continues to deny his involvement in polygamy, despite having well over 30 wives by this point.

"I had not been married scarcely five minutes, and made one proclamation of the Gospel, before it was reported that I had seven wives...I wish the grand jury would tell me who they are - whether it will be a curse or blessing to me. I am quite tired of the fools asking me...What a thing it is for a man to be accused of committing adultery, and having seven wives, when I can only find one. I am the same man, and as innocent as I was fourteen years ago; and I can prove them all perjurers." (Joseph Smith, Nauvoo, *History of the Church*, May 26 1844, vol.6, pp.410-411)

- **The Women**

Due to the secretive nature of Joseph's affairs, the actual total number of wives Joseph took is unclear. Written records, primary accounts and second hand accounts puts the number between 29-65 women. Most disturbing was the fact that many of these women were already married, were much younger and were related.

Status of Women at the Time They Were Sealed to Joseph Smith	#	%
Single with no prior marriage	17	59
Single and widowed	4	14
Married to a husband with good church standing	4	14
Married to a disaffected or nonmember husband	4	14

(Todd M. Compton, In Sacred Loneliness: The Plural Wives of Joseph Smith. Signature Books, 1997.

Maxwellinstitute.byu.edu/publications)

1. Married: Approximately 8-11 women were married to other men at the time Joseph took them for his wives. He sent several men on missions for the Church then married their wives, or married their wives in secret and then sent the husbands on missions afterwards.

- Marinda Nancy Johnson-Hyde-Smith

In September 1831, Joseph and Emma Smith moved in with the Johnson family while Joseph and Sidney Rigdon worked on translating the Bible. While staying with the Johnsons in March, 1832, Joseph Smith was dragged out by a mob and tarred and feathered. Marinda's brother Eli led the mob because he felt that Joseph had been too intimate with Marinda. Soon Marinda married apostle Orson Hyde. On April 6, 1840, Orson was sent on a 3 year mission to Jerusalem. Shortly after his departure, Joseph married his wife Nancy Marinda Johnson-Hyde while Orson was gone. In Joseph Smith's journal, in a list of marriages he wrote *"Apr 42 Marinda Johnson to Joseph Smith."* In 1858 Orson and Marinda separated.

- o Zina Diantha Huntington-Jacobs-Smith-Young

The Huntingtons moved from Quincy to Nauvoo. In Nauvoo, the Huntingtons came down with malaria and Zina's mother died. After her mother's death Zina went to live in the Smith's home. During her stay there she met her first husband, Henry Jacobs, a friend of Joseph Smith. Joseph was to officiate the wedding of Henry and Zina, but did not show up. John C. Bennett, the current mayor and bishop, performed the marriage. When asked why Joseph didn't show, he later told Zina that the Lord had made it known to him she was to be his celestial wife. During Henry and Zina's marriage, Joseph sent Henry on 4 missions. While Henry was away, Joseph sent Zina a message through her brother, Dimick, *"Tell Zina I have put it off and put it off until an angel with a drawn sword has stood before me and told me if I did not establish that principle and live it, I would lose my position and my life and the Church could progress no further."* Pressured with the responsibility for the life of the prophet, Zina finally accepted. (Brian C. Hales, Encouraging Joseph Smith to Practice Plural Marriage: The Accounts of the Angel with a Drawn Sword, *Mormon Historical Studies* 11, no. 2 (Fall 2010): 69-70.)

After Joseph Smith died in 1844, Brigham Young also took Zina for his wife while she was still married to Henry Jacobs (Brigham and Zina had a daughter). Brigham called Henry to serve a mission in England and told him to find another wife. While Henry was in England, Zina began living at the Young house with her children. Zina never returned to living with Henry Jacobs after his mission.

- o Vilate Kimball

"During the summer of 1841, shortly after Heber's return from England, he was introduced to the doctrine of plural marriage directly through a startling test – a sacrifice that shook his very being and challenged his faith to the ultimate. He had already sacrificed homes, possessions, friends, relatives, all worldly rewards, peace, and tranquility for the Restoration. Nothing was left to place on the altar save his life, his children, and his wife. Then came the Abrahamic test. Joseph demanded for himself what to Heber was the unthinkable, his Vilate. Totally crushed spiritually and emotionally, Heber touched neither food nor water for three

days and three nights and continually sought confirmation and comfort from God. On the evening of the third day, some kind of assurance came, and Heber took Vilate to the upper room of Joseph's store on Water Street. The Prophet wept at this act of faith, devotion, and obedience. Joseph had never intended to take Vilate. It was all a test." (Heber C. Kimball, *Mormon Patriarch and Pioneer* by Stanley B. Kimball, p.93)

2. Teenagers: Seven of Joseph's wives were teenagers while he was in his late 30's.

- o Helen Mar Kimball-Smith

Instead of taking Heber C. Kimball's wife, Vilate, as Joseph had done with others, he married Heber's 14 year old daughter Helen in May 1843, while Joseph was 37 years old.

"The youngest was Helen Mar Kimball, daughter of Joseph's close friends Heber C. and Vilate Murray Kimball, who was sealed to Joseph several months before her 15th birthday." (Plural Marriage in Kirtland and Nauvoo, LDS.org, Oct. 2014)

In a letter written by Helen Kimball, her father had asked her if she would be willing to be sealed to Joseph Smith, Joseph himself came to her and said,

"If you will take this step, it will ensure your eternal salvation and exaltation & that of your father's household & all of your kindred." She talks of her mother's hidden grief *"to see her child, who had scarcely seen her fifteenth summer, following in the same thorny path [of polygamy]."* *"I would never have been sealed to Joseph had I known it was anything more than ceremony. I was young, and they deceived me, by saying the salvation of our whole family depended on it."*

(Helen Mar Kimball, *Mormon Polygamy: A History*, by LDS Historian Richard S. Van Wagoner, p.53)

Joseph told a reluctant Helen Mar Kimball that if she married him it would ensure her salvation and the salvation of all her family. Putting the burden of a 14 year old girl's entire family's eternal salvation on her can come across as high-pressure and manipulative.

- o Nancy Winchester-Smith

Helen was not the only 14 year old that Joseph married. In the same year Nancy Winchester became Joseph's second 14 year old wife. Just to be clear, marriage at this age was not common at all.

"In 1890, when the U.S. Census Bureau started collecting marriage data, it was recorded that the average age of a first marriage for men was 26 years, and the average age of marriage for women was 22 years." (<http://classroom.synonym.com/age-marriage-us-1800s-23174.html>)

3. Mothers and Daughters

- o Patty Bartlett Sessions (Mother - married to David Sessions)

Sylvia Sessions Lyon (Daughter - married to Windsor Lyon).

Sylvia bore children with both husbands. Three children with Windsor in 1838, 1840 and 1842 and one with Joseph (Josephine February 8, 1844).

4. Pairs of Sisters

- o Emily Dow Partridge and Eliza Maria Partridge.
- o Sara Lawrence and Maria Lawrence.
- o Zina Huntington Jacobs and Presidia Huntington Buell.

• **The Outcome**

Polygamy upset many of the early leaders of the Church such as 2ND Councilor in the First Presidency, William Law. Elder Law was excommunicated for disagreeing with Joseph's actions. This disagreement came after finding out that Joseph asked William's wife, Jane, to be sealed to him.

"Smith made his visit to his wife in the middle of the night, when he knew her husband to be absent."..."Joseph had asked her to give him half her love; she was at liberty to keep the other half for her husband." (Ann Eliza Young, *Wife No. 19*, 1876, p.61)

After his excommunication William spoke out against Joseph's practice of taking secret wives, while Joseph continued to publically imply that he had only one wife. William Law

then started a newspaper called the Nauvoo Expositor. In print, he spoke of the polygamous affairs of Joseph Smith. That led to an emergency session of the Nauvoo city council; of which Joseph was mayor, where he issued an order to destroy the printing press.

"To the Marshal of said City, greeting.

You are here commanded to destroy the printing press from whence issues the Nauvoo Expositor, and pi the type of said printing establishment in the street, and burn all the Expositors and libelous handbills found in said establishment; and if resistance be offered to your execution of this order by the owners or others, demolish the house; and if anyone threatens you or the Mayor or the officers of the city, arrest those who threaten you, and fail not to execute this order without delay, and make due return hereon.

By order of the City Council,

Joseph Smith, Mayor"

(Joseph Smith, History of the Church, vol.6, p.448)

In his book, *Carthage Conspiracy*, Elder Dallin H. Oaks recounts the events of Joseph's executive order.

"Joseph Smith, acting as mayor, ordered the city marshal to destroy the newspaper and press without delay and instructed the major general of the Nauvoo legion to have the militia assist. (Dallin H. Oaks, Carthage Conspiracy, p.15)

At 8pm that night the Nauvoo militia burned the Nauvoo Expositor to the ground.

"[Governor] Ford wrote Smith on the next day, denouncing the city's proceedings as unlawful and demanding that those involved in the move against the 'Expositor' submit to the processes of the law at Carthage." (Dallin H. Oaks, Carthage Conspiracy, p.16)

Members have been taught that the times Joseph spent incarcerated in jails were because of Satan stirring up the hearts of men to falsely imprison him. Nothing is ever said of actual crimes committed by Joseph and his followers. Joseph's increasingly public acts of illegal polygamy, combined with the destruction of the Nauvoo Expositor and rising tensions between the Nauvoo militia and the state of Illinois, cause his last incarceration.

Chapter 8 – Blacks and the Church

For over 150 years the Church has taught and sustained racially prejudiced doctrines; while attributing these teachings to God's will. These teachings include a ban on black members from holding the priesthood and participating in the temple, and went as far as preaching death as a consequence for inter-race marriage. Recently, the Church has tried to clean up this part of its image by disavowing these past teachings.

- **Racist Doctrines**

1. President Brigham Young

- *"Shall I tell you the law of God in regard to the African race? If the white man belongs to the chosen seed mixes his blood with the seed of Cain, the penalty, under the law of God, is death on the spot. This will always be so."* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.10, p.110)
- *"How long is that race to endure the dreadful curse that is upon them? That curse will remain upon them, and they never can hold the Priesthood or share in it until all the other descendants of Adam have received the promises and enjoyed the blessings of the Priesthood and the keys thereof. Until the last ones of the residue of Adam's children are brought up to that favourable position, the children of Cain cannot receive the first ordinances of the Priesthood. They were the first that were cursed, and they will be the last from whom the curse will be removed."* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.7, pp.290)
- *"You may inquire of the intelligent of the world whether they can tell why the aborigines of this country are dark, loathsome, ignorant, and sunken into the depths of degradation ...When the Lord has a people, he makes covenants with them and gives unto them promises: then, if they transgress his law, change his ordinances, and break his covenants he has made with them, he will put a mark upon them, as in the case of the Lamanites and other portions of the house of Israel; but by-and-by they will become a white and delightsome people." (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.7, pp.335-338)*
- *"You see some classes of the human family that are black, uncouth, uncomely, disagreeable and low in their habits, wild, and seemingly deprived of nearly all the blessings of the intelligence that is generally bestowed upon mankind."* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.7, pp.282-291)

2. President George Albert Smith

- *"The negro is an unfortunate man. He has been given a black skin. But that is as nothing compared with that greater handicap that he is not permitted to receive the Priesthood and the ordinances of the temple, necessary to prepare men and women to enter into and enjoy a fullness of glory in the celestial kingdom. What is the reason for this condition, we ask, and I find it to my satisfaction to think that as spirit children of our Eternal Father they were not valiant in the fight." (George Albert Smith, General Conference, April 1939)*
- *"The attitude of the Church with reference to Negroes remains as it has always stood. It is not a matter of the declaration of a policy but of direct commandment from the Lord, on which is founded the doctrine of the Church from the days of its organization, to the effect that Negroes may become members of the Church but that they are not entitled to the priesthood at the present time... The position of the Church regarding the Negro may be understood when another doctrine of the church is kept in mind, namely, that the conduct of spirits in the pre-mortal existence has some determining effect upon the conditions and circumstances under which these spirits take on mortality." (George Albert Smith, Statement by the First Presidency of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints on the Negro Question, August 17, 1949)*

3. President Joseph Fielding Smith

- *"That negro race, for instance, have been placed under restrictions because of their attitude in the world of spirits, few will doubt. It cannot be looked upon as just that they should be deprived of the power of the Priesthood without it being a punishment for some act, or acts, performed before they were born." (Joseph Fielding Smith, *The Way to Perfection*, 1984, p.43)*
- *"Not only was Cain called upon to suffer, but because of his wickedness he became the father of an inferior race. A curse was placed upon him and that curse has been continued through his lineage and must do so while time endures. Millions of souls have come into this world cursed with a black skin and have been denied the privilege of Priesthood and the fullness of the blessing of the Gospel. These are the descendants of Cain." (Joseph Fielding Smith, *The Way to Perfection*, 1984, p.101-2)*

4. Elder Bruce R. McConkie

- *“Negroes in this life are denied the Priesthood; under no circumstances can they hold this delegation of authority from the Almighty. The gospel message of salvation is not carried affirmatively to them...Negroes are not equal with other races where the receipt of certain spiritual blessings are concerned, particularly the priesthood and the temple blessings that flow there from, but this inequality is not of man’s origin. It is the Lord’s doing, is based on his eternal laws of justice, and grows out of the lack of Spiritual valiance of those concerned in their first estate.”* (Bruce R. McConkie, *Mormon Doctrine*, 1966, pp.527-528)

5. President David O. McKay

- *“The seeming discrimination by the Church toward the Negro is not something which originated with man; but goes back into the beginning with God... Revelation assures us that this plan antedates man’s mortal existence, extending back to man’s preexistent state.* (Pres. David O. McKay, Hugh B. Brown, N. Eldon Tanner. Letter of the First Presidency Clarifies Church’s Position on the Negro – Dec. 15, 1969, *The Improvement Era*, Feb. 1970, p.71)

6. Civil Rights

- As a church claiming to be led by Jesus Christ himself, I would expect it to be on the front line fighting for equal rights for all people; instead the Church did nothing. In fact, they actually tried to prevent it.

In January 1964, member of the Quorum of the 12 Apostles, Delbert L. Stapley, wrote to Michigan Governor George Romney. Governor Romney would later campaign to be President of the United States, as did his son, Mit Romney. In his letter, Elder Stapley urged Governor Romney not to support the Civil Rights Act as it would bring the integration of blacks into society.

“I am sure you know that the Prophet Joseph Smith, in connection with the Negro problem of this country, proposed to Congress that they sell public lands and buy up the Negro slaves and transport them back to Africa from whence they came. I am sure the Prophet, with his vision and understanding, foresaw the problems we are faced with today with this race, which caused him to promote this program.”

“When I reflect upon the Prophet’s statements and remember what happened to three of our nation’s presidents who were very active in the Negro cause, I

am sobered by their demise. They went contrary to the teachings of the Prophet Joseph Smith unwittingly, no doubt, but nevertheless, the prophecy of Joseph Smith, "... those who are determined to pursue a course, which shows an opposition, and a feverish restlessness against the decrees of the Lord, will learn, when perhaps it is too late for their own good, that God can do His own work, without the aid of those who are not dictated by His counsel," has and will continue to be fulfilled." (Delbert L. Stapley, Letter to Gov. George Romney, January 23, 1964. https://archive.org/stream/DelbertStapleyLetter/delbert_stapley_Letter#page/n0/mode/2up)

In this letter Elder Stapley warned Governor Romney that support for civil rights was against the will of the Lord as revealed through Joseph Smith. Stapley further cautioned that punishments are in store for those who seek equality in such ways. As evidence for such dire warnings, Stapley wrote that three U. S. Presidents who had fought for equality and civil rights met an untimely death; Lincoln and Kennedy by assassination and Grant by cancer.

In February of 1964, Congress passed the Civil Rights Act prohibiting discrimination according to race, religion, or sex. Four years later, Dr. Martin Luther King was martyred for his role as a leader in the movement. After his death, black preachers continued to call for full integration of blacks into white restrooms, buses, schools, jobs, and neighborhoods. A full ten years passed after King's death until the priesthood, eternal marriage, and temple endowments were offered to the few black church members.

"Think of the Negro, cursed as to the priesthood.... This negro, who, in the pre-existence lived the type of life which justified the Lord in sending him to the earth in the lineage of Cain with a black skin, and possibly being born in darkest Africa—if that negro is willing when he hears the gospel to accept it, he may have many of the blessings of the gospel. In spite of all he did in the pre-existent life, the Lord is willing, if the Negro accepts the gospel with real, sincere faith, and is really converted, to give him the blessings of baptism and the gift of the Holy Ghost. If that Negro is faithful all his days, he can and will enter the celestial kingdom. He will go there as a servant, but he will get celestial glory." (Elder Mark E. Peterson, lecture at BYU, 1954)

Prior to 1978, blacks could only be servants in the Celestial kingdom.

- President Ezra Taft Benson gave a talk in general conference after the passing of the Civil Rights Act and before the Church changed its stance on the issue:

“There is no doubt that the so-called civil rights movement as it exists today is used as a Communist program for revolution in America.” (President Ezra Taft Benson, Trust Not in the Arm of Flesh, General Conference, *Ensign*, October 1967)

The Civil Rights Act brought equal treatment for all people in this country and effectively ended legal segregation. To refer to it as *“the so-called civil rights movement”* is to take away the importance of the issues. Remember, this was at the height of the Cold War between the U.S. and Russia and to refer to someone or something as communist during this time was a very derogatory statement. President Benson does not sound like the Lord’s prophet bringing a message of love.

It seems that the basis of these prejudice doctrines may have began with the culture of racism held by early general authorities and perpetuated by the following leaders until the direction the nation was moving forced the hand of the Church.

- **Distancing Itself From the Past**

Published in 2013 on LDS.org is an article named, *Race and the Priesthood*.

*“Today, **the Church disavows the theories advanced in the past** that black skin is a sign of divine disfavor or curse, or that it reflects actions in a premortal life; that mixed-race marriages are a sin; or that blacks or people of any other race or ethnicity are inferior in any way to anyone else.”* (Race and the Priesthood, December 6, 2013. <https://www.lds.org/topics/race-and-the-priesthood?lang=eng>)

This latest manifesto is shocking for the rejection of teachings by past prophets. Contained in this statement, the Church blatantly contradicts itself when disavowing:

1. *“...that black skin was a sign of disfavor or curse...”*

“A curse was placed upon him and that curse has been continued through his lineage and must do so while time endures. Millions of souls have come into this world cursed with a black skin and have been denied the privilege of Priesthood and the fullness of the blessing of the Gospel. These are the descendants of Cain.” (President Joseph Fielding Smith)

2. "...that it reflects actions in a premortal life..."

"The position of the Church regarding the Negro may be understood when another doctrine of the church is kept in mind, namely, that the conduct of spirits in the premortal existence has some determining effect upon the conditions and circumstances under which these spirits take on mortality."

(President George Albert Smith)

3. "... that mixed-race marriages are a sin..."

"If the white man belongs to the chosen seed mixes his blood with the seed of Cain, the penalty, under the of God, is death on the spot."

(President Brigham Young)

4. "...that blacks...are inferior in any way to anyone else..."

"...some classes of the human family that are black, uncouth, uncomely, disagreeable and low in their habits, wild, and seemingly deprived of nearly all the blessings of the intelligence that is generally bestowed upon mankind."

(President Brigham Young)

Time and time again the Church reminds us to give strict obedience to the prophet for the sole reason that he speaks for God and is incapable of misconduct.

1. *"The Lord will never permit me or any other man who stands as President of this Church to lead you astray. It is not in the programme. It is not in the mind of God. If I were to attempt that, the Lord would remove me out of my place, and so He will any other man who attempts to lead the children of men astray from the oracles of God and from their duty."* (President Wilford Woodruff, General Conference, October 1890)
2. *"Keep your eye on the Prophet, for the Lord will never permit his Prophet to lead this Church astray."* (President Ezra Taft Benson, General Conference, October 1966)
3. *"Follow your leaders who have been duly ordained and have been publicly sustained, and you will not be led astray."* (Elder Boyd K. Packer, General Conference, October 1992)
4. *"Follow the prophet, follow the prophet, Follow the prophet; don't go astray. Follow the prophet, follow the prophet, Follow the prophet; he knows the way."* (Children's Songbook)

Yet now we are told that statements made in the name of the Lord by presidents of the Church are just considered “theories”? Applying the idea that the priesthood ban on blacks was just a theory also doesn’t make much sense when considering what Pres. David O. McKay stated, “...*discrimination by the Church toward the Negro is not something which originated with man; but goes back into the beginning with God.*” Now it appears that the Church is trying to have the best of both worlds by disavowing its racist past while simultaneously insisting that leaders will never lead the Church astray and hoping no one notices this rather large contradiction.

If prophets claim to speak Heavenly Father’s will when their words can be later disavowed, then how was it that they were prophets at all? This completely undermines the *prophet will never lead us astray* principal. What doctrines currently attributed to God by today’s prophet can be discredited as just “theories” by future general authorities?

Chapter 9 - Blood Atonement

"Joseph Smith taught that there were certain sins so grievous that man may commit, that they will place the transgressors beyond the power of the atonement of Christ. If these offenses are committed, then the blood of Christ will not cleanse them from their sins even though they repent. Therefore their only hope is to have their blood shed to atone, as far as possible, in their behalf. This is scriptural doctrine, and is taught in all the standard works of the Church." (President Joseph Fielding Smith, *Doctrines of Salvation*, 1954, vol. 1, pp. 135-136)

Brigham Young took the doctrine of blood atonement even further. While Joseph might have emphasized the practice of blood atonement against sinful Mormons, Brigham inspired his followers to murder in God's name, both Mormons and non-Mormons alike.

1. Brigham Young

- *"Suppose you found your brother in bed with your wife, and put a javelin through both of them. You would be justified, and they would atone for their sins, and be received into the Kingdom of God. I would at once do so, in such a case; and under the circumstances, I have no wife whom I love so well that I would not put a javelin through her heart, and I would do it with clean hands.... There is not a man or woman, who violates the covenants made with their God, that will not be required to pay the debt. The blood of Christ will never wipe that out, your own blood must atone for it."* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.1, pp.108-109)
- *"All mankind love themselves, and let these principles be known by an individual, and he would be glad to have his blood shed. That would be loving themselves, even unto an eternal exaltation. Will you love your brothers and sisters likewise, when they have committed a sin that cannot be atoned for without the shedding of their blood? Will you love that man or woman well enough to shed their blood? I could refer you to plenty of instances where men have been righteously slain, in order to atone for their sins."* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol. 4, pp.219-20)
Take special note of the underlined.
- *"There are sins that men commit for which they cannot receive forgiveness in this world, or in that which is to come, and if they had their eyes open to see their true condition, they would be perfectly willing to have their blood spilt upon the ground, that the smoke thereof might ascend to heaven as an offering for their sins; and the smoking incense would atone for their sins, whereas, if such is not the case, they will stick to them and remain upon them in the spirit world...I know, when you hear my brethren telling about cutting people off from the earth, that you consider it is strong doctrine; but it is to save them, not to destroy them."* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.4, p.53)

- *“This is loving your neighbor as ourselves; if he needs help, help him; and if he wants salvation and it is necessary to spill his blood on the earth in order that he may be saved, spill it.”* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.4, p.220)

This is horrifying. I couldn't even imagine living under such conditions. President Young acknowledges that elders in the church have murdered, in the name of God, those assumed to have sinned. Imagine if Thomas S. Monson were to preach this at general conference and tasked local authorities to follow through with this council.

2. Heber C. Kimball

- *“If men turn traitors to God and His servants, their blood will surely be shed, or else they will be damned, and that too according to their covenants.”* (Heber C. Kimball, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.4, p.375)
- *“Judas lost that saving principle, and they took him and killed him. It is said in the Bible that his bowels gushed out; but they actually kicked him until his bowels came out.... Judas was like salt that had lost its saving principles—good for nothing but to be cast out and trodden under foot of men.... It is so with you, ye Elders of Israel, when you forfeit your covenants.... I know the day is right at hand when men will forfeit their Priesthood and turn against us and against the covenants they have made, and they will be destroyed as Judas was.”* (Heber C. Kimball, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.6, pp.125-26)
- *“These are my views, and the Lord knows that I believe in the principles of sanctification; and when I am guilty of seducing any man's wife, or any woman in God's world, I say, sever my head from my body.”* (Heber C. Kimball, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.7, p.20)

3. Jebediah M. Grant

- *“I say, there are men and women that I would advise to go to the Presidency immediately, and ask him to appoint a committee to attend to their care; and then let a place be selected, and let that committee shed their blood. We have amongst us that are full of all manner of abominations, those who need to have their blood shed, for water will not do, their sins are too deep a dye... I believe that there are a great many; and if they are covenant breakers we need a place designated, where we can shed their blood... Brethren and sisters, we want you to repent and forsake your sins. And you who have committed sins that cannot be forgiven through baptism, let your blood be shed, and let the smoke ascend, that the incense thereof may come up before God as an atonement for your sins, and that the sinners in Zion may be afraid.”* (Apostle Jebediah M. Grant, 2nd counselor to Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.4, pp.49-51)

4. Joseph Fielding Smith

- *“Joseph Smith taught that there were certain sins so grievous that man may commit, that they will place the transgressors beyond the power of the atonement of Christ. If these offenses are committed, then the blood of Christ will not cleanse them from their sins even though they repent. Therefore their only hope is to have their blood shed to atone, as far as possible, in their behalf. This is scriptural doctrine, and is taught in all the standard works of the Church.”* (Joseph Fielding Smith, Doctrines of Salvation, 1954, vol.1, pp.135-136)

To recall the words of Dallin H. Oaks, *“...our policies are determined by the truths God has declared to be unchangeable.”* Many terrorist organizations around the world commit terrible acts in the name of God. Should they get a free pass for their actions? Should our Church? It is obvious why the Church would not want this part of the history to become common knowledge. Does the Church acknowledge that this practice was truly taught by inspired prophets?

Chapter 10 – Prophecies

While reading through *History of the Church*, *Journal of Discourses*, books by past prophets and historical Mormon periodicals, I would occasionally come across prophecies that didn't quite make sense.


1. Joseph Smith


- Reflecting on a revelation given to him in Sec. 130 of the Doctrine and Covenants:

"There are those of the rising generation who shall not taste death till Christ comes... I was once praying earnestly upon this subject, and a voice said unto me, "My son, if thou livest until thou art eighty-five years of age, thou shalt see the face of the Son of Man." I prophesy in the name of the Lord God, and let it be written—the Son of Man will not come in the clouds of heaven till I am eighty-five years old. Then read the 14th chapter of Revelation, 6th and 7th verses—"And I saw another angel fly in the midst of heaven, having the everlasting gospel to preach unto them that dwell on the earth, and to every nation, and kindred, and tongue, and people, saying with a loud voice, Fear God and give glory to Him, for the hour of His judgment is come." And Hosea, 6th chapter, After two days, etc.,—2,520 years; which brings it to 1890." (Joseph Smith, *History of the Church*, vol.5, p.336)

Joseph's prophecy was mistaken in two ways: he did not live to be 85 years old and Jesus did not return in 1890.

- Prominent early saint, Elder Oliver B. Huntington, lived with Joseph Smith in Kirtland, OH and served four missions for the Church. He wrote an article for *The Young Woman's Journal*, the official magazine for the Young Ladies Mutual Improvement Association of the Church, recounting a teaching by Joseph Smith.





"Nearly all the discoveries of men in the last half century have... contributed to prove Joseph Smith to be a prophet. As far back as 1837, I know that he said the moon was inhabited by men and women the same as this earth, and that they live to a greater age than we do - that they live generally to near the age of a 1,000 years. He described the men as averaging near six feet in height, and dressing quite uniformly in something near the Quaker style." (Joseph Smith - *The Young Woman's Journal*, vol. 03, no.6, March 1892. <http://contentdm.lib.byu.edu/cdm/ref/collection/YWJ/id/11651>)

2. Brigham Young

- "So it is with regard to the inhabitants of the sun. Do you think it is inhabited? I rather think it is. Do you think there is any life there? No question of it; it was not made in vain. It was made to give light to those who dwell upon it, and to other planets' and so will this earth when it is celestialized."* (Brigham Young, *Journal of Discourses*, vol.13, p.271)

3. Joseph Fielding Smith

- "We will never get a man into space. This earth is man's sphere and it was never intended that he should get away from it. The moon is a superior planet to the earth and it was never intended that man should go there. You can write it down in your books that this will never happen."* (Joseph Fielding Smith, *Doctrines of Salvation*, 1954, vol.3, p.203)

On July 20, 1969 U.S. Astronauts are the first men to walk on the moon.

PART 2: THE BOOK OF MORMON

Chapter 11 - DNA

The Book of Mormon story begins with Lehi, an Israelite from Jerusalem, who fled for the Promised Land with his family. Landing somewhere in Mesoamerica, Lehi's descendents grew into two large populations, the dark-skinned Lamanites and the white-skinned Nephites. By 385-AD all the Nephites had been wiped out by the Lamanites; who then grew to populate the entire Americas. This unique history of the American natives lends itself perfectly to biological testing. Studies have been performed on the genetic history of Native Americans and the resulting information reveals inconsistencies in The Book of Mormon narrative. Covered in this chapter: the Church's original claim, DNA studies, statements by LDS scientists, and the Church's attempt to distance itself from the original position.

- **Original Claim**


Over the years, prophets, apostles, and missionaries have preached an ancestral link between the ancient Hebrews and Native Americans.

- *"As I look into your faces, I think of Father Lehi, whose sons and daughters you are. I think he must be shedding tears today, tears of love and gratitude.... This is but the beginning of the work in Peru."* (Gordon B. Hinckley, *God's Holy Work in Peru*, *Ensign*, February 1997, p.73)
- *"We also bare testimony that the Indians of North and South America are a remnant of the tribes of Israel; as is now made manifest by the discovery and revelation of their ancient oracles and records."* (Proclamation of the Twelve Apostles of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, April 1845)
- *"Central America, or Guat[e]mala, is situated north of the Isthmus of Darien and once embraced by several hundred miles of territory from north to south. The city of Zarahemla, burnt at the crucifixion of the Savior, and rebuilt afterwards, stood up on this land as will be seen from the following words from the Book of Alma: 'And now it was only the distance of a day and a half's journey for a Nephite, on the line Bountiful, and the land Desolation, from the east to the west sea; and thus the land of Nephi, and the land of Zarahemla was nearly surrounded by water: there being a small neck of land between the land northward and the land southward.'" (Joseph Smith, *Times and Seasons*, October 1, 1842, vol.3, no.23)*

- *"...the history of ancient America is unfolded, from its first settlement by a colony that came from the Tower of Babel...The principal nation of the second race [first: Jaredites, second: Nephites/Lamanites] fell in battle towards the close of the fourth century. The remnant are the Indians that now inhabit this country."* (Joseph Smith, *The Wentworth Letter*, Ensign July 2002) Elder B. H. Roberts of the First Council of the Seventy: "The letter is one of the choicest documents in our church literature.")

• DNA Evidence

The international *Human Genome Project* (1990 - 2003) contributed to our insight of human migratory patterns based on identifiable gene markers contained within DNA. This was of particular interest to the Church for its insight into the origin of Native Americans.



Virtually all mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) lineages found throughout the world can be grouped into less than twenty-five major family groups represented by letters A, B, C and so on. In the case of the American Indians, essentially all of their lineages fall into one of five major families: A, B, C, D and X.

Current genetic and paleontological evidence indicates Natives Americans arrived from Asia. Various groups of early travelers used the Bering Strait land bridge, between modern day Russia and Alaska during the last ice age, to descend into the Americas between 15-35,000 years ago.

"Lying at the intersection of what is today Russia, Mongolia, China and Kazakhstan, the region known as the Altai. The Altai region has played a critical role in the peopling of northern Asia as an entry point into Siberia and a possible homeland for ancestral Native Americans. It has an old and rich history because humans have inhabited this area since the Paleolithic. To untangle Altaian genetic histories, we analyzed mtDNA and Y

chromosome variation in northern and southern Altaian populations. Based on these data, we noted differences in the origin and population history of Altaian ethnic groups. Moreover, high-resolution analysis of Y chromosome haplogroup Q has allowed us to reshape the phylogeny of this branch, making connections between populations of the New World and Old World more apparent and demonstrating that southern Altaians and Native Americans share a recent common ancestor. These results greatly enhance our understanding of the peopling of Siberia and the Americas". (The American Journal of Human Genetics, 10 February 2012, vol.90, issue 2, pp.229-246, in association with the University of Pennsylvania and the American Society of Human Genetics, Matthew C. Dulik, et al.)

"A comparison of Native Americans, Siberians and Asians reveals that the same mtDNA lineages in all groups share mutations in the control region that are specific to the haplogroups. The simplest explanation is that the control region mutations arose in Asia in the founding mtDNA lineages and were carried to the New World by the ancestral Native Americans." (Theodore G. Schurr, Mitochondrial DNA and the Peopling of the New World, American Scientist -The Scientific Research Society, May-June 2000)

DNA samples from every known tribe of Native Americans indicate an Asiatic, rather than Middle Eastern origin.

- **LDS Scientists**

1. Simon G. Southerton, a senior molecular biologist with the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization in Australia and former bishop in the Church, said genetic research allowed him to test his religious views against his scientific training. Southerton examined studies of DNA lineages among Polynesians and indigenous peoples in North, Central and South America. He mapped maternal DNA lines from 7,300 Native Americans from 175 tribes. Southerton found no trace of Middle Eastern DNA in the genetic strands of today's American Indians and Pacific Islanders. In *Losing a Lost Tribe*, published in 2004, Southerton concluded that the LDS church, his faith for 30 years, needed to be reevaluated in the face of these facts.

"The problem is that the Church cannot acknowledge any factual errors in the Book of Mormon because the prophet Joseph Smith proclaimed it the "most correct of any book on Earth," Southerton said in a Feb 2006 LA Times interview. "They can't admit that it's not historical. They would feel that there would be a loss of members and loss in confidence in Joseph Smith as a prophet."

2. Thomas W. Murphy, chair of the Department of Anthropology at Edmonds College in Washington, wrote:

"Now that quantitative scientific methods can indeed test for an Israelite genetic presence in ancient America, we learn instead that virtually all Native Americans can trace their lineages to the Asian migrations between 7,000 and 50,000 years ago. While molecular anthropologists have the technological capability to identify descendants of ancient Hebrews, no traces of such DNA markers have appeared in Central America or elsewhere among Native Americans. . . . From a scientific perspective, the Book of Mormon's origin is best situated in early nineteenth-century America, . . . The Book of Mormon emerged from an antebellum perspective, out of a frontier American people's struggle with their god, and not from an authentic American Indian perspective" (Thomas W. Murphy, *Lamanite Genesis, Genealogy, and Genetics*, in *American Apocrypha: Essays on the Book of Mormon*, 2002, p.68)

3. In a collaboration, Thomas W. Murphy and Simon Southerton, wrote:

"Investigation of mitochondrial DNA of more than 5,500 living Native Americans reveals that 99.4% can be traced back to Asia primarily via maternal lineages known as A, B, C, D and X. Only 0.6% came from Africa or Europe, most likely after 1492. Lineages A through D are only found in Asia. While the X lineage also is found in Europe and the Middle East, Asian and American lineages have distinctive markers that indicate an ancient separation long before the events described in the Book of Mormon. Similar results from nearly 1,000 paternal lineages substantiate a Northeast Asian origin of American Indians. Likewise, approximately 99% of the Polynesians surveyed to date can trace their maternal lineages back to Southeast Asia. The other 1% almost certainly came from Europe in the recent past. . . . Folk biological claims of an Israelite ancestry, a curse with a dark skin, and a whitening of dark-skinned Native American and Polynesian Mormons fail to stand up to scrutiny among scientifically literate Latter-day Saints." (Thomas W. Murphy and Simon Southerton, *Genetic Research a 'Galileo Event' for Mormons*, Anthropology News, February 2003, p.20)

Greater than 99.6% of the DNA lineages of Native Americans are only found among East Asians. The evidence suggests that they share a common ancestor and that American natives did not descend from Israeli lineage.

- **Distancing from Past Claims**

1. Due to DNA evidence disproving the Hebrew origins of the people of the Americas, the introduction to the Book of Mormon has been changed from the "*principle ancestors of the American Indians*" (1981 edition) to now say "*among the ancestors...*" (2006 edition). In light of scientific evidence, the Church has modified the claims of the Book of Mormon - that the Lamanites were ancestors of all the native people of the Americas.
2. Published January 31, 2014 on LDS.org, the article *Book of Mormon and DNA Studies* attempts to reconcile doctrine with scientific studies detailing the origins of the native people of the Americas.

- "*Although the primary purpose of the Book of Mormon is more spiritual than historical...*" (LDS.org/topics/book-of-mormon-and-dna-studies)

The purpose may be spiritual, but claims to its historical factualness have always been one of the foundations of the LDS Church.

- "*The Book of Mormon itself, however, does not claim that the peoples it describes were either the predominant or the exclusive inhabitants of the lands they occupied.*" (LDS.org/topics/book-of-mormon-and-dna-studies)

Yes it does.

- Ether 2:7

"And the Lord would not suffer that they [the Jaredites] should stop beyond the sea in the wilderness, but he would that they should come forth even unto the land of promise, which was choice above all other lands, which the Lord God had preserved for a righteous people."

- 2 Nephi 1:9

"Wherefore, I, Lehi, have obtained a promise, that inasmuch as those whom the Lord God shall bring out of the land of Jerusalem shall keep his commandments, they shall prosper upon the face of this land; and they shall be kept from all other nations, that they may possess this land unto themselves. And if it so be that they shall keep his commandments they shall be blessed upon the face of this land, and there shall be none to molest them, nor to take away the land of their inheritance; and they shall dwell safely forever."

- "...the history of ancient America is unfolded, from its first settlement by a colony that came from the Tower of Babel...The principal nation of the second race fell in battle towards the close of the fourth century. The remnant are the Indians that now inhabit this country." (Joseph Smith, The Went Worth Letter. www.lds.org/ensign/2002/07/the-wentworth-letter?lang=eng)
- *"Nothing is known about the DNA of Book of Mormon peoples..."* (LDS.org/topics/book-of-mormon-and-dna-studies)

Again, this incorrect. According to the Book of Mormon, the DNA of the people of the Book of Mormon is exactly known.

- 1Nephi 5:14
"And it came to pass that my father, Lehi, also found upon the plates of brass a genealogy of his fathers; wherefore he knew that he was a descendant of Joseph; yea, even that Joseph who was the son of Jacob, who was sold into Egypt, and who was preserved by the hand of the Lord, that he might preserve his father, Jacob, and all his household from perishing with famine."

Who was "Joseph who was sold into Egypt...son of Jacob"? He is the same Joseph who was the son of Jacob who was the son of Isaac who was the son of Abraham. In Jewish tradition, Abraham is called Avraham Avinu, "Our father Abraham," signifying that he is both the biological ancestor of the Jews and the father of Judaism; the first Jew. As Lehi, being descended from Joseph and Abraham, it indeed gives the Church exact knowledge of the DNA of the Nephites and Lamanites.

- *"...if it were known with a high degree of certainty that the emigrants described in the Book of Mormon had what might be considered typically Near Eastern DNA [Middle Eastern/Jewish], it is quite possible that their DNA markers did not survive the intervening centuries."* (LDS.org/topics/book-of-mormon-and-dna-studies)

According to Book of Mormon prophecy, the *Book of Mormon and DNA Studies* article is again mistaken.

- 1Nephi 13:30
"Nevertheless, thou beholdest that the Gentiles who have gone forth out of captivity, and have been lifted up by the power of God above all other nations,

upon the face of the land which is choice above all other lands, which is the land that the Lord God hath covenanted with thy father that his seed should have for the land of their inheritance; wherefore, thou seest that the Lord God will not suffer that the Gentiles will utterly destroy the mixture of thy seed, which are among thy brethren."

Prophecy aside, it is unlikely for this statement, *"it is quite possible that their DNA markers did not survive the intervening centuries,"* to be factual. A recent Icelandic population study shows genetic markings that have survived more than 1,000 years to present day. mtDNA from a single female native of present-day Newfoundland, Canada likely arrived in Iceland by Viking travelers in approx 1000AD. (<http://news.discovery.com/history/us-history/vikings-native-american-woman.htm>) If it is possible for modern people in Iceland to have genetic markers from a single Native American female that arrived in Iceland over 1,000 years ago, then it is impossible for the DNA of millions Lamanites to have somehow disappeared off the entire American continent; especially when the Church claims that all Native Americans are descended from Lamanites.

The Church presents a unique claim to the origins of the Native Americans; one that lends itself perfectly to simple DNA testing. Unfortunately, the resulting studies reveal that Israeli lineage of the natives to North, Central and South America is not the case. The Church's attempt to distance itself from the original position reveals a loss of confidence in its own claim.

Chapter 12 - Reformed Egyptian

The Salt Lake Temple visitors center depicts the prophet Mormon dressed as a Mayan native and shows stylized Mayan hieroglyphs on the walls and papers. Yet, the Church teaches that the civilizations of the Book of Mormon wrote in a language called “reformed Egyptian”. This writing system is completely unique to the Book of Mormon people. Issues surrounding the existence of reformed



Egyptian include the Church’s claims, examples of Native American writings, the Anthon transcript, and the lack of archeological evidence.

- **The Church’s Claims**

1. Mormon 9:32

“And now, behold, we have written this record according to our knowledge, in the characters which are called among us the reformed Egyptian, being handed down and altered by us, according to our manner of speech.”


2. *“Joseph Smith did translate the Book of Mormon from ancient plates of gold, which were written with engraved characters in the reformed Egyptian language.”* (James E. Faust, The Expanding Inheritance from Joseph Smith, October 1982 General Conference)

3. *“A Lamanite is a descendant of one Lehi who left Jerusalem six hundred years before Christ and with his family crossed the mighty deep and landed in America. And Lehi and his family became the ancestors of all of the Indian and Mestizo tribes in North and South and Central America and in the islands of the sea, for in the middle of their history there were those who left America in ships of their making and went to the islands of the sea.”* (Spencer W. Kimball, April, 1971)

Since President Spencer W. Kimball said the Lamanites became the ancestors of all natives in North and South and Central America and in the islands of the sea, we should expect to find reformed Egyptian spanning both continents and all of Polynesia

- **Native American Writing**

Indigenous groups of the New World used a rich array of languages. Before contact with European explorers and missionaries, formal writing systems did not exist in North America, South America and the Pacific Islands. North American natives used a series of symbols for crude communication, South American natives used a system of rope knotting only for accounting purposes, and Polynesians used a series of symbols in traditional tattooing. Mesoamericans cultures such as the Maya, Aztec and Olmec were the only civilizations to invent and use an extensive and detailed writing system.




The earliest Mesoamerican inscriptions date to 400 B.C. and continued in use until the Spanish conquest in the 16th and 17th centuries. Maya texts have survived on stone, bone, shell, pottery, stucco, wood, and paper. Since there existed only one formal writing system during the time of the Book of Mormon, Mayan hieroglyphs should be the “reformed Egyptian” written on the Gold Plates. Are there examples of reformed Egyptian to compare?



- **The Anthon Transcript**


Luckily, there exists 3 transcribed examples of reformed Egyptian.

1. The Anthon Transcript - Copied by Joseph Smith, this is the most extensive transcript of the Gold Plates, taken to Professor Charles Anthon by Martin Harris.




(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/bc-jsp/content/jsp/images/content/library/images/llls-D1-page-360-Caractors-Hicks-photo.jpg>)

2. Oliver Cowdery - While Oliver did not specify that the characters were derived from the gold plates, Frederick G. Williams, who made a copy of the same characters, identified them as "characters on the book of Mormon"



(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/appendix-2-document-2-characters-copied-by-oliver-cowdery-circa-1835-1836>)

3. Fredrick G. Williams - Inscribes the same "characters on the Book of Mormon" as Oliver Cowdery.





(Book of Mormon characters copied by Frederick G. Williams, with margin notes made by Ezra G. Williams. Photograph by Welden C. Andersen. Church History Library, Salt Lake City. <http://josephsmithpapers.org/media/photographs>)

Before Martin Harris was to mortgage his farm to finance the printing of the Book of Mormon, he made an attempt to verify its authenticity. Martin had Joseph transcribe some characters from the Book of Mormon and took it to visit Charles Anthon; professor of classical linguistics at Columbia University. Martin Harris claims to have received authentication (even though no record exists), but when Professor Anthon later learns of Martin's claim, he writes to newspaper editor, E.D. Howe stating the following,

"The whole story about my having pronounced the Mormonite inscription to be 'reformed Egyptian hieroglyphics' is perfectly false. ... The farmer [Martin Harris] added, that he had been requested to contribute a sum of money towards the publication of the 'golden book,'... So urgent had been these solicitations, that he intended selling his farm and handing over the amount received to those who wished to publish the plates. As a last precautionary step, however, he had resolved to come to New York, and obtain the opinion of the learned about the meaning of the paper which he brought with him, and which had been given him as a part of the contents of the book, although no translation had been furnished at the time by the young man with the spectacles. On hearing this odd story... I began to regard it as part of a scheme to cheat the farmer of his money, and I communicated my suspicions to him, warning him to beware of rogues. He requested an opinion from me in writing, which of course I declined giving, and he then took his leave carrying the paper with him." (Professor Charles Anthon, Letter to E.B. Howe, February 17, 1834)

The reformed Egyptian characters copied by Joseph Smith, Oliver Cowdery and Fredrick G. Williams do not appear to bear any resemblance to Mayan hieroglyphs. Of the approximately 145 reformed Egyptian characters, the Anthon transcript surprisingly contains the entire English alphabet and numeric system.



The idea of a reformed Egyptian writing system does not seem to hold up to scrutiny.

"Outside of Mormon scholars, there is not any recognition of or belief in a "reformed egyptian" script or language. The photos of so called "reformed Egyptian documents that I have seen do not resemble genuine Egyptian scripts of any kind from any period of Egypt's long pharaonic history including both hieroglyphic forms or the more cursive forms known as hieratic and demotic. They look like a modern person's attempt at making cryptogramatic symbols of a modernly invented secret code in that they resemble nonsense "letters" of an alphabet rather than pictorial symbols like hieroglyphs or even the much more varied and differently shaped ligatures of hieratic or demotic Egyptian cursive writing." (Peter Brand, Professor of Ancient History and Egyptology, PhD in Ancient Egyptian Language and Literature from the University of Toronto)

The Church claims that Lehi's family used a writing system called 'reformed Egyptian' and after the destruction of the Nephites, the Lamanites are supposed to have taken this language and spread throughout both American continents and the Pacific islands. Although the many indigenous cultures used rich languages, no formal writing system capable of taking detailed records existed anywhere but in Mesoamerica. Unfortunately, due to the transcriptions we have, reformed Egyptian characters do not resemble Mayan hieroglyphs, but actually more resemble stylized English characters.

Chapter 13 – Anachronisms

Anachronism - a·nach·ro·nism/*noun*: an act of attributing a custom, event, or object to a period to which it does not belong.

An anachronism is when an author takes something from their time period and inserts it in a past time period where it doesn't belong. For example, William Shakespeare wrote in his play, *Julius Caesar*, that Cassius states, "The clock has stricken three." The problem is that the plot takes place in 44 B.C., a time period where mechanical clocks had not yet been invented. Shakespeare took something familiar to him and placed it where it doesn't historically belong. Because the play is fictional, it is simply a mistake. However, if Shakespeare were to claim that he had found an ancient document from 44 B.C. that mentions the action of a mechanical clock, it would clearly be seen as a forgery because of the anachronism.



The Book of Mormon time period covers 2,200 B.C. – 400 A.D., and also contains similar anachronisms.

- **Non-Indigenous Animals**

1. Elephants

Ether 9:19

19 And they also had horses, and asses, and there were elephants and cureloms and cumoms;

Elephants, mastodons and mammoths evolved from a common ancestor about 10-20 millions years ago. While elephants stayed in Africa and Asia, mastodons and mammoths migrated into America across the Bering Strait during the last Ice Age. Mastodons and mammoths were hunted to extinction during the Pleistocene era, about 11 thousand years ago. While the fossil record shows contact early humans, these animals disappeared long before the Jaredite story begins.

2. Cattle, sheep, pigs, goats

Ether 9:18

18 And also all manner of cattle, of oxen, and cows, and of sheep, and of swine, and of goats, and also many other kinds of animals which were useful for the food of man.

Livestock are domesticated animals raised in an agricultural setting for food. The last known cattle species in the Americas went extinct around the same time as mastodons and mammoths in Pleistocene era. Although wild big horn sheep, mountain goats and boars are native to some areas in North America, there is no evidence of domesticated sheep, pigs and goats used as livestock anywhere in the Americas. Domestication began with the arrival of relatives of these animals with the Europeans in the 15th century.

3. Honey Bees

Ether 2:3

...did also carry with them deseret, which, by interpretation, is a honey bee; and thus they did carry with them swarms of bees...

There were no honeybees in the Americas before its introduction from Europe in the 15th century. Subspecies were also introduced from Italy in 1859, and later from Spain and Portugal.

4. Horses

3 Nephi 3:22

22 And it came to pass in the seventeenth year, in the latter end of the year, the proclamation of Lachoneus had gone forth throughout all the face of the land, and they had taken their horses, and their chariots...

Indigenous North American horses were also part of the great extinction of the Pleistocene era. Despite extensive archaeology, not a single bone or tooth from this animal has ever been discovered in the Mesoamerican region. Maya, Aztec and Olmec cultures depicted many native animals in art and ideology but have never depicted a horse on any temples, carvings or paintings.

It would be easy for New Englanders to assume that horses have always been part of the American landscape because the Native Americans they encountered rode horses. What was unknown to East coast Americans was that the Indians had domesticated the feral horses that ran away from the West coast Spaniards.

- **Technology**

1. Wheeled Transport Vehicles

Alma 20:6

Now when Lamoni had heard this he caused that his servants should make ready his horses and his chariots.

Used in conjunction with horses were chariots. Chariots have wheels, however the wheel as a technology was not found in ancient America. Like many new things, it was brought over with European settlers. To quote the curator of Ethnography at the American Museum of Natural History,

"We see that the prevailing mode of land transport in the New World was by human carrier. The wheel was unknown in pre-Columbian times." (Clark Wissler, *The American Indian: An Introduction to the Anthropology of the New World*. Oxford University Press)

The military and transport advantages would have spread the wheel through the native populations as it did through Europe and Asia.

If horses were actually domesticated and wheeled transport was used, then we would see artifacts being unearthed in Mesoamerica, such as this site in



Karanovo, Bulgaria of a chariot and horses dating back to the end of the second century A.D. However, there has never been a single horse, chariot or wheeled transport artifact discovered from pre-Columbian America.

- **Metallurgy**

1. Metal Working

2 Nephi 5:15

And I did teach my people to build buildings, and to work in all manner of wood, and of iron, and of copper, and of brass, and of steel, and of gold, and of silver, and of precious ores, which were in great abundance

Much like the invention of the wheel, the military and structural advantages of steel would have seen widespread adoption in the Americas. There is no record of steel or iron having ever been smelted in the New World. Furthermore, mass production of steel weaponry for such large-scale wars, as the Book of Mormon accounts, would have required iron and steel forges. These would have left evidence in slag, remnants of iron/steel weaponry, and the spreading of the technology as it provides a significant military and structural advantage. There is no evidence to suggest any of this existed.

2. Coins

"One possible purpose for the inclusion of the Nephite coinage in Alma 11 is to demonstrate the extent of the bribe Zeezrom offered if Amulek..." (Book of Mormon Student Manual, 2009, pp. 184-90)

"How Valuable Were the Nephite Pieces of Money? ...the relative value of silver and gold coins under the system set up by Mosiah" (Book of Mormon Student Manual, 1979, p.229)

Agricultural food crops were used as the common form of currency; especially cacao. Coinage was not present in the Americas prior to the May 11, 1535 decree of Doña Juana de Castilla, Queen of the Castilla region in Spain, authorizing the minting of silver and copper coins in Mexico. (Miguel Gomez, Monedas Dominicanas, *The Mexico Mint*, May 1985)

Coinage in the Western Hemisphere during the Book of Mormon time period was unknown. Coins were introduced more than a thousand years after the conclusion of the Book of Mormon. However, the problem does not lie in a lack of

any Nephite coin discoveries, rather, it lies in Joseph Smith's idea that such coins existed in the first place.

It is evident that the Church became aware of discrepancy between The Book of Mormon and the lack of evidence for coinage in Mesoamerica when it made these revisions with regards to the values of pieces of gold and silver:

1973 edition:

Alma Ch.11 - chapter
heading

*"Nephite coins and
measures..."*

1999 edition:

Alma Ch.11 - chapter
heading

*"Nephite coinage set
forth..."*

2015 LDS.org:

Alma Ch.11 - chapter
heading

*"The Nephite monetary
system is set forth..."*

European explorers brought to the New World new animals, plants, technology, theology, and diseases starting in 1492. If many of the items in the Book of Mormon did not exist in the Americas during its timeline, why does the Book of Mormon contain anachronisms? If Joseph had actually translated each word of the gold plates by the power of God, then there is no room for errors of logic, terminology, naming, or placement.

Chapter 14 – The Jaredites

The Book of Ether, contained in the Book of Mormon, tells the story of the Jaredites. They were a vast civilization of people who were completely wiped out before the arrival of Lehi and his family to the Promised Land. The Jaredites narrative contains two major issues: a final battle at the Hill Cumorah where millions of soldiers perished, and a year-long oceanic journey to the Americas.

- **Large Scale Military Casualties**

The Book of Mormon chronicles two major civilization-ending battles at the Hill Cumorah:

1. The Jaredites - Ether 15, chapter heading.

"Millions of the Jaredites are slain in battle—Shiz and Coriantumr assemble all the people to mortal combat—The Spirit of the Lord ceases to strive with them—The Jaredite nation is utterly destroyed..."

2. The Nephites - Mormon 6, chapter heading.

"The Nephites gather to the land of Cumorah for the final battles—Mormon hides the sacred records in the hill Cumorah—The Lamanites are victorious, and the Nephite nation is destroyed—Hundreds of thousands are slain with the sword. About A.D. 385."

General authorities confirm that both these battle took place on the Hill Cumorah, the same hill in upstate New York where Joseph retrieved the plates.

- "The great and last battle, in which several hundred thousand Nephites perished was on the hill Cumorah, the same hill from which the plates were taken by Joseph Smith, the boy about whom I spoke to you the other evening." (Orson Pratt, *Journal of Discourses*, February 11, 1872, vol. 14, p. 331)
- *"Both the Nephite and the Jaredite civilizations fought their final great wars of extinction at and near the Hill Cumorah or Ramah as the Jaredites termed it, which hill is located between Palmyra and Manchester in the western part of the state of New York ... Joseph Smith, Oliver Cowdery, and many early brethren, who were familiar with the circumstances attending the coming forth of the Book of Mormon in this dispensation, have left us pointed testimony as to the identity and location of Cumorah or Ramah."* (Bruce R. McConkie, *Mormon Doctrine*, p. 175)

The Hill Cumorah in Palmyra, New York occupies less than half a square mile. The last Nephite battle had casualties in the hundreds of thousands as recently as 385 A.D., only 1,630 years ago. But the largest battle, in Ether, recounts casualties of at least 2 million people. For perspective, that is roughly three to four times as many deaths than occurred during the entire American Civil War, which was spread across 26 states.

The Civil War's more than 5 thousand separate battles claimed the lives of over 600 thousand soldiers across half the country. Collected from these battles, and still being found to this day, are an enormous amount of skeletons, bullets, weapons, clothing, and various military paraphernalia. The Hill Cumorah, at only 110 feet tall and less than a half a mile in area, is supposed to contain the bodies of nearly 3 million casualties from less than 2,000 years ago, yet not a single Jaredite, Nephite or Lamanite remain has ever been found.

Dr. John E. Clark, professor of Archaeology at BYU, comments on the Book of Mormon's archaeological remains in the Hill Cumorah,

"If any place merits archaeological attention, it is Cumorah. The very word elicits a series of empirical questions that can only be addressed through archaeology. [...] When we pay attention to time and to cultural context, it becomes clear that the events described in the Book of Mormon did not occur in New York. [...] In accord with these general observations about New York and Pennsylvania, we come to our principal object—the Hill Cumorah. Archaeologically speaking, it is a clean hill. No artifacts, no walls, no trenches, no arrowheads. The area immediately surrounding the hill is similarly clean. Pre-Columbian people did not settle or build here. This is not the place of Mormon's last stand. We must look elsewhere for that hill." (John E. Clark, *Archaeology and Cumorah Questions*, Journal of Mormon Studies, 2004. <http://publications.mi.byu.edu/fullscreen/?pub=1412&index=15>)

- **Year-long Voyage by Sea**

From a logistical perspective, the transatlantic voyage taken by the Jaredites presents more problems. Let's look at the number of people, dimensions of the barges, and the basic necessities needed.

Ether 6:14-16

14 And Jared had four sons;

15 And the brother of Jared also begat sons and daughters.


16 And the friends of Jared and his brother were in number about twenty and two souls; and they also begat sons and daughters before they came to the promised land;

The plural "sons and daughters" means at least 2 of each. Jared + 4 sons + his brother + his sons and daughters (at least 4) + 22 souls + their families. 11 couples that had children before leaving on the trip; assume 4 per family. We are looking at approximately 74 people.

- **Dimensions of the Barges**

Ether 2:16-17,20

16 And the Lord said: Go to work and build, after the manner of barges which ye have hitherto built. And it came to pass that the brother of Jared did go to work, and also his brethren, and built barges after the manner in which they had



built, according to the instructions of the Lord. And they were small, and they were light upon the water, even like unto the lightness of a fowl upon the water.

17 And they were built after a manner that they were exceedingly tight, even that they would hold water like unto a dish; and the bottom thereof was tight like unto a dish; and the sides thereof were tight like unto a dish; and the ends thereof were peaked; and the top thereof was tight like unto a dish; and the length thereof was the length of a tree; and the door thereof, when it was shut, was tight like unto a dish.

20 And the Lord said unto the brother of Jared: Behold, thou shalt make a hole in the top, and also in the bottom; and when thou shalt suffer for air thou shalt unstop the hole and receive air. And if it be so that the water come in upon thee, behold, ye shall stop the hole, that ye may not perish in the flood.

- Not huge – “*And they were small...*”
- They floated – “*...like unto the lightness of a fowl upon the water.*”
- About the “*length of a tree*” – Ether begins in Babylon, Mesopotamia, or modern-day Hillah, Iraq. The largest indigenous tree in the region is the Date Palm tree (*Phoenix dactylifera*), which grows 70-75 ft in height.
- Water tight – The bottom, sides and top “*were tight like unto a dish.*”
- Air tight with holes on top and bottom so it would float when upside-down. – “*a hole in the top, and also in the bottom*”

- **Basic Necessities Needed**

Ether 3:1, 6:4,11

1 And it came to pass that the brother of Jared, now the number of the vessels which had been prepared was eight, went forth unto the mount...

4 And it came to pass that when they had prepared all manner of food, that thereby they might subsist upon the water, and also food for their flocks and herds, and whatsoever beast or animal or fowl that they should carry with them—and it came to pass that when they had done all these things they got aboard of their vessels or barges, and set forth into the sea, commending themselves unto the Lord their God.

11 And thus they were driven forth, three hundred and forty and four days upon the water.

1. Drinkable Water

How much water would 74+ people and animals need to survive for 344 days? Divided into 8 barges, that puts almost 10 people per barge. Humans need approx 1 gallon per person per day for consumption and sanitation ([www.ready.gov /water](http://www.ready.gov/water)). That's about 3,440 gallons per barge. They also brought flocks and herds. There are a minimum of 3 animals to a flock or heard, assume 1 flock and 1 heard per barge. Sheep drink about 1 gallon of water per day and cows drink about 10 gallons. (www.ag.ndsu.edu/pubs/ansci/livestoc/as1763.pdf) They would drink about 1,032 and 10,320 gallons during the trip. That comes to a total of about 14,792 gallons. Just for comparison's sake, here is a 15,000 gallon tank.



How would they store that much fresh water in a boat that was, at most, 75 feet long? Remember, this was 2,500 - 2,200 B.C. (LDS.org) and any tossing in the ocean would dump out any pottery, barrels, bowls or animal skinned bags used to contain the water. Any leaks would drown the passengers. That's just water.

2. Food storage, preservation and sanitation

- Storage - Adults need approx. 2,500 calories a day even for a sedentary lifestyle. (<http://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/219305.php>) Today's caloric dense convenient food covers those calories in relatively small portions, but in 2,200 B.C., only very basic foods existed: grains, vegetables, nuts and meat. Larger portions of these foods need to be consumed to meet the daily caloric requirements; about 4 lbs a day. (www.precisionnutrition.com/what-are-your-4-lbs) Ten people in each barge would require about 13,760 lbs of food for the 344 day voyage. Small sheep require about 2 lbs of feed a day and small cows require about 10 lbs of feed a day. (<http://www.ag.auburn.edu/~chibale/an16sheepfeeding.pdf>) and (<http://beef.unl.edu/cattleproduction/forageconsumed-day>) For 10 people, 3 sheep and 3 cows, that makes about 26,144 lbs of food in total. For comparison, that's about 2 adult African elephants. 1 train car worth of water + 2 elephants worth of food do not fit in one 75 ft (at most) barge, that also needed to float and was built with ancient materials and techniques.
- Preservation - Aside from the enormous space requirements, the lack of refrigeration or ability to make fires inside an enclosed wooden boat becomes the larger issue. Any grains, dried fruits and vegetables would quickly spoil in the dark, humid environment.
- Sanitation - If additional animals were brought along for meat consumption, a year's worth of butchery without adequate sanitation facilities would guarantee fecal contamination spreading harmful bacteria and parasites.

The Jaredites were never part of any mass-extinction on the Hill Cumorah, and ancient trans-oceanic travel by barge as described in the Book of Mormon was impossible. Both pieces of evidence point to the conclusion that the Jaredite story could not have occurred.

Chapter 15 – Source Material

Was Joseph Smith capable of writing the Book of Mormon himself? Could there be other sources for its themes and characters? President of the Quorum of the Seventy, Brigham H. Roberts, spoke about what Joseph's incredible mind was capable of.

"In light of this evidence, there can be no doubt as to the possession of a vividly strong, creative imagination by Joseph Smith the Prophet, an imagination, it could with reason be urged, which, given the suggestions that are found in the 'common knowledge' of accepted American antiquities of the times, supplemented by such a work as Ethan Smith's View of the Hebrews [published in Palmyra in 1825], it would make it possible for him to create a book such as the Book of Mormon is." (B.H. Roberts, Studies of the Book of Mormon, p. 250)

Elder Roberts says that there is "no doubt" that Joseph could have written the Book of Mormon with influences from a book called, *View of the Hebrews*, among other contemporary sources. What sources might Joseph have used to influence the stories of the Book of Mormon? This chapter examines his father's dream of The Tree of Life, Ethan Smith's *View of the Hebrews*, G.J. Hunt's *The Late War*, Benjamin Paddock's Palmyra revival meeting, Michael Linning's *The First Book of Napoleon* and the travels of Captain Kidd.

- **The Tree of Life**

"In Lehi's vision of the tree of life, Lehi was shown, among other things, "a tree, whose fruit was desirable to make one happy." He also saw several obstacles on the strait and narrow path to the tree, including a river of water, mists of darkness that led seekers astray, and a great and spacious building filled with people who mocked those who partook of the fruit. But Lehi also saw a rod of iron leading to the tree that acted as a sure guide to those who would grasp hold of it and follow where it led. Lehi's son Nephi saw the same vision and recorded the interpretations told him by an angel of the vision's symbolism)."

(www.LDS.org/ensign/1996/06/the-tree-of-life-art-depicting-lehis-dream-and-the-tree-of-life?lang=eng&clang=ase)



This is a story that every member is very familiar with. Since childhood, members are taught that a man named Lehi had a dream and his son Nephi recorded it on the gold plates. What members were never taught was that Joseph Smith's father was the originator of this dream and that his family was familiar with its story.

Shortly after his death, Joseph Smith's mother, Lucy Mack Smith, wrote her family's story concentrating on her son Joseph Jr. Here, she recounts the dream her husband experienced that was so profound he had to share it with his family.

"In the meantime we had a son, whom we called Joseph, after his father; he was born December 23, 1805. In 1811, we moved from Royalton, Vermont, to the town of Lebanon, New Hampshire. Soon after arriving here, my husband [Joseph Smith Sr.] received another very singular vision, which I will relate:

*"I thought," said he, "I was traveling in an open, **desolate field, which appeared to be very barren.** As I was thus traveling, the thought suddenly came into my mind that I had better stop and reflect upon what I was doing, before I went any further. So I asked myself, 'What motive can I have in traveling here, and what place can this be?' **My guide, who was by my side, as before, said, 'This is the desolate world;** but travel on.' The road was so broad and barren that I wondered why I should travel in it; for, said I to myself, 'Broad is the road, and wide is the gate that leads to death, and many there be that walk therein; but narrow is the way, and straight is the gate that leads to everlasting' life, and few there be that go in thereat.'*

*"Traveling a short distance farther, I came to a narrow path. This path I entered, and, when I had traveled a little way in it, **I beheld a beautiful stream of water, which ran from the east to the west. Of this stream I could see neither the source nor yet the termination;** but as far as my eyes could extend I could see a **rope running along the bank of it,** about as high as a man could reach, and beyond me was a low, but very pleasant valley, in **which stood a tree such as I had never seen before.** It was exceedingly handsome, insomuch that I looked upon it with wonder and admiration. Its beautiful branches spread themselves somewhat like an umbrella, and it bore **a kind of fruit,** in shape much like a chestnut bur, and **as white as snow, or, if possible whiter.** I gazed upon the same with considerable interest, and as I was doing so the burs or shells commenced opening and shedding their particles, or the fruit which they contained, which was of dazzling whiteness. I drew near and began to eat of it, and I found it **delicious beyond description.** As I was eating, I said in my heart, **'I can not eat this alone, I must bring my wife and children, that they may partake with me.'** Accordingly, I went and brought my family, which consisted of a wife and seven children, and we all commenced eating, and praising God for this*

blessing. **We were exceedingly happy**, insomuch that our joy could not easily be expressed."

"While thus engaged, I **beheld a spacious building standing opposite the valley** which we were in, and it appeared to reach to the very heavens. It was full of doors and windows, and they **were filled with people, who were very finely dressed**. When these people observed us in the low valley, under the tree, **they pointed the finger of scorn at us**, and treated us with all manner of disrespect and contempt. But their contumely we utterly disregarded. I presently turned to my guide, and inquired of him **the meaning of the fruit that was so delicious. He told me it was the pure love of God**, shed abroad in the hearts of all those who love him, and keep his commandments. **He then commanded me to go and bring the rest of my children. I told him that we were all there. 'No,' he replied, 'look yonder, you have two more**, and you must bring them also.' Upon raising my eyes, I saw two small children, standing some distance off. I immediately went to them, and brought them to the tree; upon which they commenced eating with the rest, and we all rejoiced together. The more we ate, the more we seemed to desire, until we even got down upon our knees, and scooped it up, eating it by double handfuls. After feasting in this manner a short time, I asked my guide what was the meaning of the spacious building which I saw. He replied, 'It is Babylon, it is Babylon, and it must fall. The people in the doors and windows are the inhabitants thereof, who scorn and despise the Saints of God because of their humility.' I soon awoke, clapping my hands together for joy.

(Lucy Mack Smith, *Biographical Sketches of Joseph Smith the Prophet, and His Progenitors for Many Generations*, Harold B. Lee Library, BYU, March 2004)

Joseph Smith Sr.'s dream is nearly identical with Lehi's vision of the Tree of Life.

Nephi 8: 5,9-13,19,20,26,27

5 And it came to pass that I saw a man, and he was dressed in a white robe; and he came and stood before me.

9 And it came to pass after I had prayed unto the Lord I beheld a large and spacious field.

10 And it came to pass that I beheld a tree, whose fruit was desirable to make one happy.

11 And it came to pass that I did go forth and partake of the fruit thereof; and I beheld that it was most sweet, above all that I ever before tasted. Yea, and I beheld that the fruit thereof was white, to exceed all the whiteness that I had ever seen.

12 And as I partook of the fruit thereof it filled my soul with exceedingly great joy; wherefore, I began to be desirous that my family should partake of it also; for I knew that it was desirable above all other fruit.

13 And as I cast my eyes round about, that perhaps I might discover my family also, I beheld a river of water; and it ran along, and it was near the tree of which I was partaking the fruit.

19 And I beheld a rod of iron, and it extended along the bank of the river, and led to the tree by which I stood.

20 And I also beheld a strait and narrow path, which came along by the rod of iron,

26 And I also cast my eyes round about, and beheld, on the other side of the river of water, a great and spacious building; and it stood as it were in the air, high above the earth.

27 And it was filled with people, both old and young, both male and female; and their manner of dress was exceedingly fine; and they were in the attitude of mocking and pointing their fingers towards those who had come at and were partaking of the fruit.


Growing up hearing this story made Joseph very familiar with it. All it would take to turn his father's story into his own would be the replacing the rope for an iron rod.

- **View of the Hebrews**

In 1823, Rev. Ethan Smith, working as a pastor in Poultney, Vermont, published his book *View of the Hebrews*. At the time it was first published, Oliver Cowdery was not only living in Poultney, Vermont, but was also a member of Ethan's Congregation.

Later, as Editor in Chief of the *Times and Seasons*, Joseph Smith reprinted selections from *View of the Hebrews*, given to him by Oliver.

"In order to this, we shall here make an extract from an able work: written exclusively on the subject of the Ten Tribes having come from Asia by the way of Bherings Strait, by the Rev. Ethan Smith, Pultney, Vt." "... an old Indian informed him, that his fathers in this country had not long since, been in the possession of a book, which they had for a long time, carried with them, but having lost the knowledge of reading it, they buried it with an Indian chief." (Joseph Smith, Times and Seasons, vol. 3, 1842, p.813. Quoting View of the Hebrews, p.223)



View of the Hebrews became a very popular book in the New England area. It teaches that Native Americans are descended from Hebrews that traveled to America. Once here, they separated into two factions, one civilized and one savage and bloodthirsty, with many wars between them. The book begins with the destruction of Jerusalem, quotes heavily from Isaiah, and ends with the savage group completely wiping out the civilized group.

The popularity of this book led President of the Quorum of the Seventy and the Church's first official Historian, Elder Brigham H. Roberts, to study the connection between the *Book of Mormon* and *View of the Hebrews*. The results of his research were published in *Studies of the Book of Mormon*.

1. Origin of the Inhabitants of North and South America

"It is often represented by Mormon speakers and writers, that the Book of Mormon was first to represent the American Indians as descendants of the Hebrews, holding that the Book of Mormon is unique in this. The claim is sometimes still ignorantly made." (B.H. Roberts, *Studies of the Book of Mormon*, p. 323)

Prophets and apostles have claimed that the Book of Mormon is unique in presenting the true history of the American Indians as descendants of Hebrews. Elder Roberts notes that *View of the Hebrews* promoted this claim when it was published in 1823, 7 years before the Book of Mormon.

2. Destruction of Jerusalem

Roberts notes that the entire first chapter of *View of the Hebrews* describes the siege of Jerusalem by the Romans in A.D. 70. He compares this to the first chapters of the Book of Mormon, in which Lehi prophesies of the destruction of Jerusalem prior to their leaving the area of the city around 600 B.C.

3. The Hebrews Split into Two Nations: Civilized and Savages

"Israel brought into this new continent a considerable degree of civilization; and the better part of them long laboured to maintain it. But others fell into the hunting and consequently savage state; whose barbarous hordes invaded their more civilized brethren, and eventually annihilated most of them, and all in these northern regions!" (Ethan Smith, *View of the Hebrews*, 1825, p. 184)

The Book of Mormon story also begins with Lehi and his family arrived in the New World from Jerusalem and splitting into a civilized Nephite nation and a savage Lamanite nation, with the Lamanites eventually wiping out the Nephites.

4. Stories of a Lost Book

An account is given in *View of the Hebrews* in which *"an old Indian"* stated that his ancestors *"had a book which they had for a long time preserved,"* and that eventually *"they buried it with an Indian chief."* Elder Roberts parallels this with the passing down of the gold plates that were finally buried by Moroni.

5. Quetzalcoatl

Ethan Smith discusses the Mexican legend of the *"bearded white god"* Quetzalcoatl. Elder Roberts questioned,

"The legitimate query: did this character spoken of in the "View of the Hebrews," published five years before the Book of Mormon, furnish the suggestion of the "Christ" on the "Western Continent?" (Studies of the Book of Mormon)

Elder Roberts goes on to note additional parallels, including:

- The peopling of America from Israel crossing "many waters."
- Division into two classes. One civil and the other barbaric.
- Civilized people develop a culture of mechanic arts; of written language; of the knowledge and use of iron and other metals; and of navigation.
- The description of extensive military fortifications.
- Long and dismal wars break out between the civilized and barbarous divisions of people.
- The preaching of the gospel in ancient America by prophets.
- Emphasizes and uses much of the material from the prophecies of Isaiah, including whole chapters.
- The future gathering of Israel and restoration of the Ten Lost Tribes.
- Both maintain that the purpose of America in the last days is to gather up the remnants of Israel, bringing them into Christianity, and bringing forth the millennium.
- And many more.

Elder Roberts compared the content of the Book of Mormon with Joseph's likely environment and upbringing. In doing so, he considered factors like *View of the Hebrews*, Joseph Smith Sr.'s dream of the Tree of Life, the King James Bible, the Catholic Apocrypha and other contemporary influences available to Joseph and his friends before publication of the Book of Mormon.

"For years such materials as were then found and discussed, theories as to the origin of the American Indians, including "the ten lost tribes" theory of Hebrew infusion into the American race, together with frequent mention of cultural traits favorable to this supposed Hebrew infusion-all this was matter of common speculation in the literature of America, before the publication of either Priest's *American Antiquities* or the Book of Mormon." (B.H. Roberts, *Studies of the Book of Mormon*, p.152)


Elder Roberts concluded:

"Did Ethan Smith's *View of the Hebrews* furnish structural material for Joseph Smith's Book of Mormon? It has been pointed out in these pages that there are many things in the former book that might well have suggested many major things in the other. Not a few things merely, one or two, or half a dozen, but many; and it is this fact that many things of similarity and the cumulative force of them that makes it so serious a menace to Joseph Smith's story of the Book of Mormon's origins." (B.H. Roberts, *Studies of the Book of Mormon*, p.240)

- **The Late War Between the United States and Great Britain**

Joseph likely grew up reading the book *The Late War Between the United States and Great Britain*, by Gilbert J. Hunt; published in New York in 1816. Much like Ethan Smith's *View of the Hebrews*, evidence shows unmistakable similarities.

The Late War was used by schools throughout the United States under the title, *The Historical Reader*. Joseph would have been very familiar with this book as he was closely connected to at least 4 teachers. His father, Joseph Smith Sr. was a teacher during the



off-season, his wife, Emma, and brother Hyrum were also teachers, and Oliver Cowdery, the Book of Mormon's principle scribe was a teacher.

In October 2013, the Word Tree Foundation conducted an analysis comparing over 100,000 books from the pre-1830's era. They discovered a striking connection between *The Late War*, a scriptural style account of the War of 1812, and *The Book of Mormon*. They note that there are over 100 rare phrases that bind *The Book of Mormon* to *The Late War*; phrases that do not show up in other contemporary books. In addition, the two books use very similar language while detailing shared events and themes such as battles at forts and rivers, weapons of curious workmanship, 2,000 stripling soldiers, bands of robbers, martyrs burned, righteous vs. savage natives, cataclysmic events, Christopher Columbus, false prophets, fighting for the cause of liberty, freemen vs. men of the king, and silver plates and engravings in brass, among many others. Summary of findings:

	The Late War		Book of Mormon	
	Location	Quotation	Quotation	Location
4th of July ...	26:1 	the fourth day of the seventh month , which is the birth day of Columbian Liberty and Independence,	the fourth day of this seventh month , which is in the tenth year of the reign of the judges.	Alma 10:6
Teancum ...	27-28	... near Moravian Town ... And it came to pass ... the army ... were under ... a chief warrior, whom they called Tecumseh [...] smote their chief warrior [Tecumseh], and slew him ... he fell to the earth people of Morianton ... And it came to pass ... the army ... was led by a man whose name was Teancum [...] they did pursue Teancum , and slew him ... he was dead, and had gone the way of all the earth .	Alma 50:33,35, Alma 62:36-37
Striplings ...	35:5-6	two thousand hardy men , who ... fought freely for their country ... Now the men of war ... were ... men of dauntless courage .	two thousand of those young men ... to defend their country they took their weapons of war , ... were all young men , and they were exceeding valiant for courage , ...	Alma 53:18-20
Americas ...	20:11-16	... the land ... most plentiful ... yielding gold and silver , and ... all manner of creatures which are used for food , And ... the huge mammoth that once moved on the borders ... It is more wonderful than the elephant ;	... the land , ... exceeding rich, ... of gold, and of silver , and ... all manner of ... animals which were useful for the food of man. And ... cureloms and cumoms ; ... and more especially the elephants ...	Ether 9:17-19
Forts ...	29:20-23	[men] were prepared ... and they let loose their weapons of war ... and smote ... with great slaughter . And the deep ditch that surrounded the fort was strewn with their slain and their wounded .	[men] were prepared , with their swords and their slings , to smite ... with an immense slaughter ... ditches ...filled up in a measure with their dead and wounded .	Alma 49:20-25

	The Late War		Book of Mormon	
	Location	Quotation	Quotation	Location
More Forts ...	51	it came to pass ... on the tenth day of the eighth month ... the people began to fortify ... and entrench the high places round about the city. And ... build their strong holds ...	it came to pass ... on the tenth day of the month ... the Nephites had dug a ridge of earth ... so high [...] round about ... the city ... And ... built a strong hold ...	Alma 49,52
Casualties ...	23:24	... fought ... and there were many slain and wounded on both sides	... fought ... and there were many slain on both sides	Alma 52:35
Standard ...	6-7	sent forth a Proclamation, ... abroad ... And it came to pass, that a great multitude flocked to the ... standard of Columbia...they came in battle array against the ...	sent a proclamation throughout ... the land; ... And it came to pass that thousands did flock unto his standard [of liberty] ... they ... went down with their armies ... against the ...	Alma 61-62
Cataclysms ...	19:37-44	...thunders: ... as the mighty earthquake, which overturneth cities. And the whole face of the earth ... overshadowed with black smoke; so that, for a time, one man saw not another: ... sharp rocks had fallen upon them:	...thunder, ... did shake the whole earth ... cities were sunk, and ... the face of the whole earth... could feel the vapor of darkness ... so that ... for the space of three days, that there was no light seen; ... great destruction had come upon them.	3 Nephi 8
Liahona ...	50:24	made partly of brass ... with curious works, like unto a clock; and as it were a large ball.	a round ball of curious workmanship; and it was of fine brass. And within the ball were two spindles	1 Nephi 16:10
Weapons ...	19:13	And ... weapons of war were of curious workmanship	And ... weapons of war ... of exceedingly curious workmanship	Ether 10:27
	51:3-10	it came to pass that the husbandmen ... gathered together, and pitched their tents, [and] assembled together ... And the people shouted with a loud voice, ...	it came to pass that ... the people gathered themselves together ... And ... pitched their tents ... ye should assemble yourselves together ... And they all cried with one voice, ...	Mosiah 2-4
	53:4	... it came to pass, that they gathered together their army ... their navy ... on the borders of the ... land of Columbia it came to pass that they gathered together all their people ... their flocks ... near the borders of the ... land of Zarahemla	Alma 27:13-15
	13:20	Now when Carden heard these words, his heart leaped with joy;	Now when he had said these words, his heart was swollen ... with joy;	Alma 17:29
	35:34	And the chief warriors gave up their instruments of destruction, and laid them at the feet of Jackson...	And ... their chief captains, ... threw down their weapons of war at the feet of Moroni...	Alma 52:38
	3:29	... people to rise up one against another, and ... their own children.	... people to rise up in rebellion against their brethren.	Helaman 1:7
	44:21	... go with all our might against their chief city	... go forth with all our might against the Lamanites, who were in the city	Alma 58:13
	34:10	it came to pass, in the same year, that the people of Columbia were revenged of the evil:	it came to pass that in the same year that the people of Nephi had peace restored unto them,	Alma 50:37

The War of 1812 concluded only 15 years before the publishing of the Book of Mormon. It was such a prominent and recent event that it made *The Late War* studied and discussed at great length during Joseph Smith's life.

- **Revival Meetings**

The story of King Benjamin in the Book of Mormon closely resembles a spiritual revival meeting held in Palmyra, New York on June 7, 1826, just as Joseph Smith was about to begin his work on the Book of Mormon.



Revivals in early America started becoming popular in the early 1800s; this sensation became known as the Second Great Awakening. Camp-in revivals were organized by every denomination in the New England area and regularly drew thousands of attendants per meeting. These revival camps typically gave farmers, like the Smiths, the opportunity to take a break from their normal schedule and meet with friends and family for a few days of worship. Large wooden platforms were built so speakers could be seen and heard in the large crowds. *"I attended their several meetings as often as occasion would permit. In process of time my mind became somewhat partial to the Methodist sect, and I felt some desire to be united with them"* (Joseph Smith, Pearl of Great Price, Joseph Smith History ch.1 v8)

Reverend Benjamin G. Paddock recorded the events in his memoir. The closing speaker at this meeting near Joseph's home was an aging, well-loved Methodist bishop who was about to give his final sermon. The attendants pitched their tents in a semi-circle facing the tower. The congregation of more than 10,000 heard the ailing Bishop express his love for them and their need of a Savior.

"The venerable Bishop M'Kendree then visited us for the last time. He was too feeble to preside, and occupied the chair only once or twice, (...) [he] stated with tearful emotion that he still had great affection for its members, and that to take his final leave of them, so far, at least, as earth was concerned, was the special object of his visit. (...) The spirit of the meeting was admirable. Conversions were numerous and powerful" (Benjamin G. Paddock, *Memoir of Rev. Benjamin G. Paddock*, 1875, p177-179)

King Benjamin's speech in the Book of Mormon seems to bear a resemblance to popular revival meetings that the Smith family regularly attended, especially the one recorded by Rev. Benjamin Paddock.

Mosiah ch.2

6 And they pitched their tents round about the temple, every man having his tent with the door thereof towards the temple, that thereby they might remain in their tents and hear the words which king Benjamin should speak unto them;

7 For the multitude being so great that king Benjamin could not teach them all within the walls of the temple, therefore he caused a tower to be erected, that thereby his people might hear the words which he should speak unto them.

11 But I am like as yourselves, subject to all manner of infirmities in body and mind;

16 Behold, I say unto you that because I said unto you that I had spent my days in your service, I do not desire to boast, for I have only been in the service of God.

26 And I, even I, whom ye call your king, am no better than ye yourselves are; for I am also of the dust. And ye behold that I am old, and am about to yield up this mortal frame to its mother earth.

Could King Benjamin be named after Reverend Benjamin, the host of this revival in Palmyra, New York? Could the circumstances and content of the ailing bishop's final sermon have influenced the events of King Benjamin's speech?

- **The First Book of Napoleon**


Author, Michael Linning, a Scottish writer for Her Majesty's Signet, published a popular book titled *The First Book of Napoleon* in 1809, a pseudo-biblical work, under the pen name Eliakim the Scribe. *The First Book of Napoleon* is also strikingly similar to *The Book of Mormon*.

Chapter 1:1-3

1. *And behold it came to pass, in these latter days, that an evil spirit arose on the face of the earth, and greatly troubled the sons of men.*

2. *And this spirit seized upon, and spread amongst the people who dwell in the land of Gaul.*

3. *Now, in this people the fear of the Lord had not been for many generations, and they had become a corrupt and perverse people; and their chief priests, and the nobles of the land, and the learned men thereof, had become wicked in the imagines of their hearts, and in the practices of their lives.*



The First Book of Napoleon, published only two decades before Book of Mormon, contains much of the same language, structure, characters and themes.

- **Tales of Captain Kidd**

Captain William Kidd was a famous British pirate that spent considerable time living in New York; as such children in the New England area grew up on the stories of his many adventures. In 1690 Kidd was living in colonial New York when war between England and France began. Kidd began privateering for Britain (a government sanctioned form of piracy against ships of enemy countries).



Later Captain Kidd sailed to the Indian Ocean seizing booty from French and Indian trade ships. Kidd and his crew spent considerable time on the Comoros Islands off the coast of Madagascar. Captain Kidd buried caches of gold and valuables wherever he stopped to keep it safe. In 1701 he was arrested for the crime of piracy. A small quantity of booty was found off the coast of Long Island, New York, where it was sent to England to be used as evidence against Kidd. He was later found guilty and hanged.

Captain Kidd's buried treasures contributed considerably to his popularity in Colonial America. For centuries, treasure hunters and historians have tried to locate Captain Kidd's sunken ships and buried treasures. In 2000, his ship *Adventure* was found off the coast of Madagascar. In 2007, another of his ships, the *Quedagh Merchant*, was discovered off the coast of the Dominican Republic. Charles Beeker, the director of Academic Diving and Underwater Science Programs at Indiana University, was one of the experts leading the diving team. He said that it was *"remarkable that the wreck has remained undiscovered all these years given its location."* Captain Kidd's cannon, an artifact from the shipwreck, was added to a permanent exhibit at The Children's Museum of Indianapolis in 2011.

The fact that William Kidd spent considerable time in the capital city of Moroni on the Grand Comoro Island is incredibly significant. Having been raised in New York, Joseph was familiar with the tales of Captain Kidd, as all children were. Joseph Smith knew the names Moroni and Cumorah, before he ever wrote the Book of Mormon or penned his First Vision. The printer for the first edition of Book of Mormon, Pomroy Tucker, mentions that Joseph *"had a library copy of Arabian Nights, stories of Captain Kidd, and a few novels."* (Pomeroy Tucker, Origin, Rise, and Progress of Mormonism, 1867)



A Palmyra native and one-time governor of the Utah Territory, Stephen S. Harding, recalled that a "*Golden Bible*" had been discovered by "*Joe Smith, who had spent his time for several years in telling fortunes and digging for hidden treasures, and especially for pots and iron chests of money, supposed to have been buried by Captain Kidd.*" (Stephen S. Harding, *Dictated letter of Stephen S. Harding to Thomas Gregg, February 1882, in Thomas Gregg, The Prophet of Palmyra, 1890. Cited in Early Mormon Documents, 3:153-4*)

Today the spelling of the Comoros Islands and the main island, The Grand Comoro, has been standardized, but this has not always been the case. This 1808 map of Africa refers to these Islands as Camora.



Joseph Smith and his father were already well-known treasure hunters in Palmyra. Is it possible that the Smith family named the hill near their home Cumorah and its guardian Moroni, because as treasure hunters, they were already familiar with the names?

Is it possible that a combination of Joseph's study of the *Bible*, *A View of the Hebrews*, *The Late War*, *The First Book of Napoleon*, his father's childhood stories, Methodist revival meeting attendance, and excellent imagination could create a book like the Book of Mormon without any supernatural means?

Chapter 16 - Expert Views

LDS and non-LDS scholars agree on the difficulties of Book of Mormon archaeology. Collected below are the views from experts in the fields of Mesoamerican archaeology and anthropology. They include the National Geographic Society, the Smithsonian Institution, university professors, and LDS scholars.

- **National Geographic Society**

National Geographic Society, Aug. 12, 1998

The Book of Mormon is clearly a work of great spiritual power; millions have read and revered its words, first published by Joseph Smith in 1830. Yet Smith's narration is not generally taken as a scientific source for history of the Americas. Archeologists and other scholars have long probed the hemisphere's past, and the Society does not know of anything found so far that has substantiated the Book of Mormon.

In fact, students of prehistoric Americas by and large conclude that the New World's earliest inhabitants arrived from Asia via the Bearing Land Bridge. Lower sea levels during ice ages exposed the continental shelf beneath Bering Strait, allowing generations of ancient Siberians to migrate east. National Geographic carried "The First Americans" in its September 1979 issue.

Julie Crain
Research Correspondence
National Geographic Society

- **Smithsonian Institution**

National Museum of Natural History
Statement Regarding the Book of Mormon

The Smithsonian Institution has never used the Book of Mormon in any way as a scientific guide. Smithsonian archeologists see no direct connection between the archeology of the New World and the subject matter of the Book.

The physical type of the American Indian is basically Mongoloid, being most closely related to that of the peoples of eastern, central and northeast Asia. Archeological evidence indicates that the ancestors of the present Indians came into the New World - probably over a land bridge known to have existed in the Bering Strait region during the last Ice Age - in a continuing series of small migrations beginning from about 25,000 to 30,000 years ago.

Present evidence indicates that the first people to reach this continent from the East were the Norsemen who briefly visited the northeastern part of North America

(modern day Canada) around AD 1,000 and then settled in Greenland. There is nothing to show that they reached Mexico or Central America.

One of the main lines of evidence supporting the scientific finding that contacts with the Old World civilizations, if indeed they occurred at all, were of very little significance for the development of American Indian civilizations, is the fact that none of the principal Old World domesticated food plants or animals occurred in the New World in pre-Colombian times. American Indians had no wheat, barley, oats, millet, rice, cattle, pigs, chickens, horses, donkeys, camels before 1492. (Camels and a type of early horse were in the Americas, along with bison, mammoth and mastodon, but all these animals became extinct around 10,000 BC at the time when the early big game hunters spread across the Americas.)

Iron, steel, glass and silk were not used in the New World before 1492. Native copper was worked in various location in pre-Columbian times, but true metallurgy was limited to southern Mexico and the Andean region, where its occurrence in the late prehistoric times involved gold, silver, copper and their alloys, but not iron.

No reputable Egyptologist or other Specialist on Old World archeology, and no expert on New World prehistory, has discovered or confirmed any relationship between archeological remains in Mexico and archeological remains in Egypt.

Reports of findings of ancient Egyptian, Hebrew, and other Old World writings in the New World in pre-Columbian contexts have frequently appeared in newspapers, magazines, and sensational books. None of these claims has stood up to examination by reputable scholars. No inscriptions using Old World forms of writing have been shown to have occurred in any part of the Americas before 1492 except for a few Norse rune stones which have been found in Greenland.

Anthropology Outreach Office
Department of Anthropology
National Museum of National History MRC 112
Smithsonian Institution
Washington, DC 20560

- **Dr. Michael Coe**

Dr. Coe is the foremost authority on New World archaeology; earning his PhD in anthropology from Harvard University, he is chair emeritus of Anthropology at Yale University, he is Curator Emeritus of the Anthropology collection in the Peabody Museum of Natural History, and has authored over 20 major publications on Mesoamerica.

Dr. Coe was invited to publish his thoughts on Book of Mormon archeology in the LDS scholarly journal, *Dialogue: A Journal of Mormon Thought*. He stated:

"Mormon archaeologists over the years have almost unanimously accepted the Book of Mormon as an accurate, historical account of the New World peoples.... Let me now state uncategorically that as far as I know there is not one professionally trained archaeologist, who is not a Mormon, who sees any scientific justification for believing the foregoing to be true, and I would like to state that there are quite a few Mormon archaeologists who join this group. [...] The bare facts of the matter are that nothing, absolutely nothing, has even shown up in any New World excavation which would suggest to a dispassionate observer that the Book of Mormon, as claimed by Joseph Smith, is a historical document relating to the history of early migrants to our hemisphere." (Dr. Michael Coe, *Archaeology and the Book of Mormon*, *Dialogue: A Journal of Mormon Thought*, Summer 1973)

• **University Professors**

In 2013, an LDS member interested in the historical claims of the Book of Mormon and Book of Abraham, contacted a number of university professors, experts in their respective fields, and asked them to enlighten him on some of the historical claims of the LDS Church. Here is an excerpt from his letter:

Upon research, I found your name as an expert of Mesoamerica [or Egyptology]. This is why I hope to get a response from you, because you come highly recommended and acclaimed. [...] My question to you would be: What is your opinion is on the historical authenticity of the Book of Mormon, the Book of Abraham, and the language called "Reformed Egyptian"? I hope you do not feel uncomfortable answering because it relates to religious matters. I ask this question in pure curiosity and with a hope to learn.

Some claims from the Book of Mormon are as follows:

- *In 600 B.C. a family from Jerusalem sailed to modern day Central America and began a civilization there. This civilization split into two main groups, the Nephites and Lamanites. And after centuries of war, the Lamanites were the last surviving.*
- *Lamanites are the principle ancestors of the Mayas, Incas, Olmecs, Aztecs and Native Americans.*
- *Nephites and Lamanites initially spoke Hebrew in Mesoamerica. Over approximately 1,000 years the linguistics evolved into what the Native Americans speak today.*
-

Some professors gave permission to publicly attach their names and positions to their statements given about the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints and the historical claims of the Book of Mormon and Book of Abraham. The responses regarding the Book of Mormon are listed below.

1. Louise Burkhart - Professor in the Anthropology Department at the University at Albany, PhD in Anthropology from Yale University

Dear Zachary,

It is true that I am a busy woman, but I can answer your question. I hope that I am not belittling your religion if I say that all historical and archaeological evidence contradicts the Book of Mormon's stories of the peopling of the Americas and the early history of Native American societies. The Book of Mormon is a work of faith, and naturally has credibility to the faithful, but it has no historical basis, any more than the Book of Genesis is a historically or scientifically accurate version of the origin of the earth and human beings, however much it, or the Book of Mormon, may serve as a moral guide to believers. And I'm sure you can understand why people might be uncomfortable directly contradicting the teachings of what has become a very well-established religious movement.

The Americas were peopled by immigrants from Asia probably 15,000 years ago (give or take some thousands; archaeologists still haven't quite figured this out). This is born out by archaeology as well as genetic evidence (DNA, blood types, and other factors). Their descendants settled throughout the entire New World. Complex, urban civilizations developed in Mexico and Central America in isolation from the rest of the world, out of the accumulated knowledge of people who lived there for thousands of years, domesticated corn and other food crops, and learned very well how to live in those environments. Languages of the New World bear no relationship to Hebrew. Ever since Europeans became aware of Native Americans, there have been various attempts to identify them with the so-called "Ten Lost Tribes of Israel" mentioned in the Old Testament. So we might say that Joseph Smith's version was in some degree a variation on this old theme.

Hang on to that inquisitive mind of yours. The archaeology and history of the Americas are fascinating. I hope you will have the opportunity to pursue further studies, in college or on your own.

Best regards,

Louise M. Burkhart

Professor of Anthropology

University at Albany, SUNY

2. David Carrasco - Professor of the Study of Latin America at Harvard Divinity School, PhD in the History of Religions from the University of Chicago

Dear Zachary

I see you are deeply interested in the history of Mexico as it relates to the Book of Mormon. I respect the Mormon religion and have been to Salt Lake City to see the Mormon monuments and displays.

The Book of Mormon is a book of faith and storytelling and not history. Historically it is inaccurate. If we go on archaeological evidence, there is no basis for what the Book of Mormon teaches, as you summarize it below. There is no record of the arrival of anyone from Jerusalem. Here's another point. People of faith believe what they want to believe about the authenticity of their own religion. Some Catholics believed that St. Tomas, one of Jesus Christ's disciples migrated to Mexico after the crucifixion and preached in Mexico. This is because they found some parallels between Aztec and Maya religion and the Bible. But there is not one single fact, datum, object, word that supports either the Mormon view or the Catholic view.

My book Religions of Mesoamerica is coming out again in paperback in September and I address these claims in the first chapter.

3. Geoffrey Conrad - Professor Emeritus of Anthropology at Indiana University, PhD in Anthropology from Harvard University

Thank you for your message. I should begin by saying that while I've written about Mesoamerican archaeology and taught about it for years, it isn't my primary area of specialization. I did most of my own fieldwork in South America and the Caribbean. When I wrote about Mesoamerica, I was collaborating with my colleague Prof. Arthur Demarest (now at Vanderbilt University), whose primary area of expertise is indeed Mesoamerica. When I taught about Mesoamerica, it was always in a course on comparative ancient civilizations. Also, I've never read anything more than snippets of the Book of Mormon and can only discuss the specific claims you've listed below.

After saying all of that, I think I do have enough knowledge to assess the claims you've listed. Insofar as I can tell, they are not supported by any archaeological, linguistic, or genetic evidence. Some specific points of disagreement are:

1) Complex societies ("civilizations," for short) began to emerge in Mesoamerica well before 600 B.C.--nearly 1,000 years earlier, in fact. All of the archeological evidence indicates that those complex societies were developed by the native peoples of Mesoamerica out of their own longstanding cultural traditions.

2) The native peoples of Mesoamerica are American Indians. All archaeological and genetic evidence indicates that their ancestors came from northeast Asia (Siberia) and entered what is today the Americas sometime before 13,000 years ago.

3) There were probably several thousand native languages spoken in the Americas when Columbus arrived. They belonged to a number of language families, but none of the ones we know anything about belonged to the Semitic language family, which includes Hebrew. None of the known native languages of the Americas show any evidence of being derived from Hebrew.

4) With the single exception of Norse settlement in what is today Newfoundland, Canada, there is no evidence of any people from Europe or Southwest Asia in the Americas before Columbus. A small number of Norse colonists from Greenland settled in Newfoundland around A.D. 1000. The colony was short-lived, however, and was abandoned within a century.

I hope this information is useful to you. I do have two colleagues in the Department of Anthropology at Indiana University who are specialists in Mesoamerican archaeology. Both are out of the country this summer, but if they were here, I'm certain they wouldn't say anything different from what I've said.

Geoff Conrad

Geoffrey W. Conrad

Professor Emeritus of Anthropology

Director Emeritus, Mathers Museum of World Cultures

Indiana University Bloomington

4. John Henderson - Professor of Anthropology at Cornell University, PhD in Anthropology from Yale University

I haven't seen convincing evidence that a group of Hebrew speakers arrived in Mesoamerica. I see the Book of Mormon claim as one version of the general issue of transoceanic contact. It's really hard to find good evidence of that kind of contact. It's really unlikely that we would find an initial settlement, so the case usually comes down to looking at patterns of similarity between the origin and destination with evidence drawn from big chunks of time. Most archaeologists are hostile to the idea that people arrived from the Old World, so they don't think about the possibility. I'm not opposed to the idea myself, but I just haven't seen evidence that strikes me as tempting. Language is one area that seems to indicate a negative. Mesoamerican languages clump into a couple of large groupings, but those groupings are very different from one another, and none of them seems related to Hebrew; linguists are sure that there wouldn't be nearly enough time between 600 BC and the Spanish invasion to produce that much variation and obscure a Hebrew ancestry. Of course, I haven't seen a lot of the publications of

the LDS church (claims of archaeological confirmation of the Book of Mormon don't show up in standard archaeological publications).

Hope this helps.
John Henderson

5. Arthur Joyce - Professor of Anthropology at the University of Colorado, Boulder, PhD in Anthropology from Rutgers University

I don't see evidence of a major migration of outsiders into Mesoamerica around 600 B.C. Archaeologists are able to trace Native American populations back more than 10,000 years ago. There are no indications of Hebrew roots in Native American languages.

Best,
Art Joyce

6. William Saturno - Assistant Professor of Archaeology at Boston University's College of Arts and Sciences, PhD in Anthropology from Harvard University

Dear Zach,

Any attempt to scientifically verify the events of largely religious documents, whether that be Noah's flood or the travels of Lamanites and Nephites is unlikely to produce a result that will appeal to anyone beyond the faithful. That is to say that people will believe what they are most comfortable believing regardless of what scientists illustrate to be the most likely scenario, take global warming as an example.

In direct reference to the existence of evidence of Hebrew speaking/descendant populations in the Mesoamerica prior to the arrival of Columbus, there is none. That is to say that following more than a century of dedicated research, not a single shred of evidence (potsherd, seed, or residue) of old world origin has ever been found. This is the case even though some Mormon scholars themselves have been searching for that evidence.

7. Michael Smith - Professor of Anthropology at Arizona State University, PhD in Anthropology from the University of Illinois

You are asking about religious interpretations, not scientific interpretations. From a scientific viewpoint, the Book of Mormon has no standing as an accurate or even a relevant source about ancient Mesoamerican history or archaeology. There is no reason to believe that Joseph Smith knew anything about the Mesoamerican past, or to believe that he heard historical truth from God. So I have no opinion about this.

It is often impossible to “test” historical religious accounts against archaeological evidence. The reason is that many people bring strong pre-dispositions to believe the accounts (or to not believe them), and this colors their interpretations. Consider the archaeology of the Old Testament. Did David exist? Did he rule an empire, or build a big palace and temple? Have we found evidence for these things? There is endless argument about this, and the situation will probably never be settled.

I assume that you know about the New World Archaeological Foundation. It is funded by the LDS church for carrying out archaeology in Mesoamerica. The archaeology they do is rigorous and very good. Their technical reports say nothing about the Book of Mormon. But at some level in the church, people are probably interpreting the archaeological results with reference to the Book of Mormon.

*Dr. Michael E. Smith
Professor of Anthropology
School of Human Evolution & Social Change Affiliated Faculty
Arizona State University*

8. Brian Stross - Professor in the Department of Anthropology at the University of Texas at Austin, PhD in Anthropology from the University of California, Berkeley

There is little if any support to the historicity claim for the Book of Mormon, even including scholarly Mormon investigators, who, like Thomas Lee and John Clark have advanced Mesoamerican archaeology (without, however, advancing support of historical propositions in the Book of Mormon.) The Book of Mormon therefore doesn't carry credible historical weight except to persons who take it on faith that it is a sacred book with historical import. The key is that, as with most religions, faith rather than scientific evidence, is what props up beliefs and information given to and propagated by the faithful.

- **LDS Scholars**

1. Dr. Dee F. Green - Editor of the *University Archaeological Society Newsletter*, at Brigham Young University

*“The **first myth we need to eliminate is that Book of Mormon archaeology exists**.... If one is to study Book of Mormon archaeology, then one must have a corpus of data with which to deal. We do not. The Book of Mormon is really there so one can have Book of Mormon studies, and archaeology is really there so one can study archaeology, but the two are not wed. At least they are not wed in reality since **no Book of Mormon location is known with reference to modern topography**. Biblical archaeology can be studied because we do know where*

*Jerusalem and Jericho were and are, but **we do not know where Zarahemla and Bountiful** (nor any other location for that matter) **were or are**. It would seem then that a concentration on geography should be the first order of business, but we have already seen that twenty years of such an approach has left us empty-handed."* (Dialogue: A Journal of Mormon Thought, 1969, pp. 77-78)

2. Thomas Stuart Ferguson - Founded the *New World Archaeological Foundation* (NWAf). The NWAf was organized in 1952 for the purpose of supporting archaeological research into pre-Columbian cultures of Mesoamerica. Ferguson convinced then BYU president, Howard S. McDonald, to establish the archeology (now anthropology) department at BYU.

While the Church funded the NWAf organization, Thomas Stuart Ferguson organized archaeological fieldwork in the area of Mesoamerica. Towards the end of his career he concluded that the archaeological evidence did not substantiate the Book of Mormon. Ferguson admitted that although the foundation made important contributions to New World archaeology, all his work with regard to the Book of Mormon was in vain.

On March 12, 1975 Ferguson participated in a symposium on Book of Mormon geography. He details "*the big weak spots*" involved in archaeologically authenticating the Book of Mormon. To Ferguson the four most exacting tests were the existence of appropriate plant life, animal life, metals, and scripts.

"Evidence of the foregoing animals has not appeared in any form -- ceramic representations, bones or skeletal remains, mural art, sculptured art or any other form. The zero score presents a problem that will not go away with the ignoring of it. The absence of such evidence...is distressing and significant, in my view. [...] I'm afraid that up to this point, I must agree with Dee Green, who has told us that to date there is no Book of Mormon geography." (Thomas S. Ferguson, *Written Symposium on Book-of-Mormon Geography: Response of Thomas S. Ferguson*)

"...you can't set Book of Mormon geography down anywhere because it is fictional and will never meet the requirements of the dirt-archeology." (Thomas Stuart Ferguson, letter to Mr. & Mrs. H.W. Lawrence, February 20, 1976)

3. Dr. Ray T. Matheny - Professor of Anthropology at BYU

After working in Mesoamerican archaeology for 22 years, Dr. Matheny admitted that he has a difficult time reconciling New World evidence with the Book of Mormon. :

*"If I were doing this cold like John Carlson is here, I would say in evaluating the Book of Mormon that it had no place in the New World whatsoever. I would have to look for the place of the Book of Mormon events to have taken place in the Old World. It just doesn't seem to fit anything that he has been taught in his discipline, nor I in my discipline in anthropology, history; there seems to be no place for it. It seems misplaced. **It seems like there are anachronisms. It seems like the items are out of time and place, and trying to put them into the New World.** And I think there's a great difficulty here for we Mormons in understanding what this book is all about."* (Prof. Ray T. Matheny, *Book of Mormon Archeology*, Sunstone Symposium, August 25, 1984)

Why do archaeologists take such a poor view of the Book of Mormon? Perhaps because the book's historical claims have not withstood the scrutiny of archaeology, biology, history and linguistics.

The context in which the Book of Mormon was produced points to its folk magic roots. It seems that, much like other religious texts, the Book of Mormon should be thought of more a spiritual guide than a factual account of history; contrary to the Church's teachings.

PART 3: THE BOOK OF ABRAHAM

Origin of The Book of Abraham

"On 3 July 1835 a man named Michael Chandler brought four Egyptian mummies and several papyrus scrolls of ancient Egyptian writings to Kirtland, Ohio. The mummies and papyri had been discovered [excavated] in Egypt several years earlier by Antonio Lebolo. Kirtland was one of many stops in the eastern United States for Chandler's mummy exhibition. Chandler was offering the mummies and rolls of papyrus for sale and, at the urging of the Prophet Joseph Smith, several members of the Church donated money to purchase them. In a statement dated 5 July 1835, Joseph Smith, declaring the importance of these ancient Egyptian writings, recorded: "I commenced the translation of some of the characters or hieroglyphics, and much to our joy found that one of the rolls contained the writings of Abraham." (Doctrine and Church History Seminary Teacher Manual, 2013, Lesson 152: The Coming Forth of the Pearl of Great Price)

After Joseph Smith's death, the Egyptian artifacts were passed from Joseph's mother to Emma Smith and son, Joseph Smith III. The mummies and papyri were sold to the St. Louis Museum. The museum closed in 1863 and its collection moved to the Chicago Museum, which was destroyed in The Great Chicago Fire of 1871. Many recovered artifacts were dispersed throughout the country. Remaining papyri made their way to the New York Metropolitan Museum of Art; and in 1966 were discovered in the museum archives. After being contacted, the Church purchased these pieces back from the Metropolitan. The Church now possesses original papyri labeled "Facsimile 1" and "Joseph Smith Papyri 2-8."

The Book of Abraham is the result of Joseph Smith's interpretation of the hieroglyphics contained on these papyri. It is regarded as a book of scripture written by the hand of Abraham about his life and visions about the pre-mortal life and creation. The book also contains 3 facsimiles drawn by Abraham and Joseph Smith's interpretation of their imagery.

These papyri have been studied by modern Egyptologists and determined to be a collection of documents known as "the Book of the Dead," which are traditionally placed with the deceased to aid in their transition into the afterlife. The hieroglyphics identify the deceased as Hor; likely one of the mummies sold to Joseph Smith. Presented here are several issues regarding each facsimile and the overall translation of the hieroglyphics.

Chapter 17 - Facsimile #1

Facsimile #1 has two major issues: first, Joseph incorrectly filled-in the missing portion of papyrus, and second, Joseph's interpretation of the image appears to be incorrect.

- **Missing Portion of Papyrus**



(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/egyptian-papyri>)

This image at left shows the actual papyrus from josephsmithpapers.org, which Joseph glued onto a piece of paper and penciled in the missing image. The image at right shows Joseph's filled-in image from the Book of Abraham and is known as Facsimile #1. Shown below are similar Egyptian pictographs containing the missing head.



Common burial artwork depicts Anubis, the jackal-headed god of the after life, preparing those recently deceased for their journey to the afterlife. Joseph appears to have incorrectly filled-in the missing portion of the papyri he purchased from Mr. Chandler.

• Mistranslations

Joseph's translation claims the four jars underneath the table are various idolatrous gods, however similar jars have been found to contain the organs of the deceased from the embalming procedure.

Lastly, compared to modern Egyptologists' translation of the image, Joseph's interpretation of each section contains errors.



Joseph Smith translation found in the Book of Abraham in the Pearl of Great Price.


Modern Egyptologists translations.

1. The Angel of the Lord.
2. Abraham fastened upon an altar.
3. The idolatrous priest of Elkenah attempting to offer up Abraham as a sacrifice.
4. The altar for sacrifice by the idolatrous priests, standing before the gods of Elkenah, Libnah, Mahmackrah, Korash, and Pharaoh.
5. The idolatrous god of Elkenah.
6. The idolatrous god of Libnah.
7. The idolatrous god of Mahmackrah.
8. The idolatrous god of Korash.
9. The idolatrous god of Pharaoh.
10. Abraham in Egypt.
11. Designed to represent the pillars of heaven, as understood by the Egyptians.
12. Raukeeyang, signifying expanse, or the firmament over our heads; but in this case, in relation to this subject, the Egyptians meant it to signify Shaumau, to be high, or the heavens, answering to the Hebrew word, Shaumahyeem.


1. Egyptians recognize this figure as the "ba" of Hôr (the deceased priest).
2. This is the deceased, with whom this papyrus was found. His name is Hôr.
3. Anubis, the god of embalming, and the god who helps the deceased along in the afterlife.
4. A "lion couch." A simply a funeral bier seen in many funeral scenes in ancient Egyptian art. Human sacrifice was never practiced in Egypt.
- 5,6,7,8. There are no gods named "Elkenah," "Libnah," "Mahmackrah," or "Korash" in Egypt's recorded history.
9. The god Sobek is often portrayed in the form of a crocodile.
10. A libation platform bearing wines, oils and a papyrus plant. In Egyptian art, it is found in almost all drawings of major god figures.
11. Egyptians would have seen this as a palace facade.
12. none of these words are Egyptian. They are all Hebrew transliterations. The strokes represent water in which the crocodile swims.

Chapter 18 - Facsimile #2

While the original was likely destroyed, the image at left shows the earliest copy of the papyrus image known as Facsimile #2, drawn by Elder Willard Richards. As with #1, the original papyrus for Facsimile #2 was damaged and has been filled-in to make the image appear complete. At right shows Joseph's filled-in image in the Book of Abraham.



(<http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperSummary/explanation-of-facsimile-of-papyrus-drawing-early-1842>)



A portion of the central figure was missing and Joseph used the head and shoulders from the figure directly above it to fill it in. Normally, intact hypocephali depict a four ram-headed god in this location.



Regarding this central figure in hypocephali burial documents, Egyptologist Dr. Samuel A. B. Mercer stated, "It represents the four-headed ram-god, a form of the united spirits of the four elements."



These hypocephali are housed in The British Museum. Notice the depiction of the ram-headed god at center with baboons surrounding it. Facsimile #2 contains the same image, yet the missing portion appears to be incorrectly filled-in.

Joseph used other parts of the papyri to fill-in the rest of the missing portion of Facsimile #2. The boat came from Papyri IV and the missing circular text from Papyri VI.



Chapter 19 - Facsimile #3

As with Facsimiles 1 & 2, Facsimile #3 is commonly found as part of a collection of documents referred to as "the Book of the Dead." Evidence suggests that Joseph Smith's interpretation of the characters appear to be incorrect.



Joseph Smith Translation

Egyptologist Translation

Fig 1. Abraham upon Pharaoh's throne
 Fig 2. King Pharaoh
 Fig 3. Signifies Abraham in Egypt
 Fig 4. Prince of Pharaoh, King of Egypt
 Fig 5. Shulem, one of the King's waiters
 Fig 6. Olimla, a slave

Fig 1. This is Osiris
 Fig 2. Isis the Great, the God's Mother
 Fig 3. Libation table (oils, wine, etc)
 Fig 4. Maat, mistress of the Gods
 Fig 5. The Osiris Hor, Justified forever
 Fig 6. Anubis, guide of the dead

In the Book of Abraham, Joseph interprets Facsimile #3 as Abraham sitting on Pharaoh's throne teaching the court the principles of astronomy. He labels figure 1 as Abraham and figure 2 as Pharaoh. Actually this is Osiris, God of the Underworld, and his goddess wife Isis. The hieroglyphics above each of their heads identify them as such.



Chapter 20 - The Rosetta Stone


The Rosetta Stone is a large ancient Egyptian tablet inscribed with a decree issued by King Ptolemy V at Memphis, Egypt in 196 BC. The same decree appears in three different scripts: Egyptian hieroglyphs, Demotic, and Ancient Greek. After its discovery in 1799, the Rosetta Stone provided the key to decode ancient Egyptian text.

- **Background**

During the Napoleonic expedition to Egypt in 1799, French soldier Pierre-François Bouchard discovered the stone near Rosetta, Egypt in the Nile delta. As the first Ancient Egyptian multilingual text recovered in modern time, the Rosetta Stone had potential to decipher, up to this point, an indecipherable ancient language. In 1822, Scholar and founder of Egyptology, Jean-François Champollion, announced the complete translation of the Egyptian hieroglyphics.

- **Access to the Translation**

Before it became illegal, Egyptian antiquities excavator Antonio Lebolo removed eleven mummies with accompanying papyri from Thebes, Egypt. The mummies made their way to Michael Chandler in New York where they became the first Egyptian artifacts in America. Chandler traveled to Philadelphia before Kirtland, Ohio looking for buyers, when he met Joseph Smith in 1835. Joseph urged the Kirtland members of the Church to donate for the purchase of Chandler's remaining unsold artifacts. Joseph didn't know that a translation of Ancient Egyptian hieroglyphics was completed only years earlier in France when he set out to decipher the papyri himself. The Church has published Joseph's notebooks that contain his attempt to translate the papyri. They are labeled *The Kirkland Egyptian Papers*, 1-10.



Chapter 21 – The Translation

The Church has consistently taught that the original author of the Book of Abraham is Abraham himself. For many years Egyptologists have refuted this claim stating that the actual translation of the papyri does not match the printed text. Covered in this chapter: the original claim, statement by Egyptologist, statement by LDS scholar, and the recent statement by the Church regarding the Book of Abraham.

- **The Original Claim**

For nearly 180 years the Church has taught that the Book of Abraham is the result of Joseph Smith's translation of hieroglyphics written by the hand of Abraham.

1. The Book of Abraham - Heading

The writings of Abraham while he was in Egypt, called the Book of Abraham, **written by his own hand**, upon papyrus.

2. Abraham 2:2

*And it came to pass that **I, Abraham**, took Sarai to wife, and Nahor, my brother, took Milcah to wife, who was the daughter of Haran.*

3. Abraham 2:12

*And it came to pass that the priests laid violence upon me, that they might slay me also, as they did those virgins upon this altar; and that you may have a knowledge of this altar, **I will refer you to the representation at the commencement of this record.** [in reference to Facsimile #1]*

4. Facsimile #1

*2. **Abraham** fastened upon an altar.*

*3. The idolatrous priest of Elkenah attempting to offer up **Abraham** as a sacrifice.*

*10. **Abraham** in Egypt.*

5. Facsimile #2

*2. [...] as revealed from God to **Abraham** [...]*

*3. [...] as also to Seth, Noah, Melchizedek, **Abraham**, and all to whom the Priesthood was revealed.*

6. Facsimile #3

1. **Abraham** sitting upon Pharaoh's throne [...]
 3. Signifies **Abraham** in Egypt [...]
7. "In a statement dated 5 July 1835, Joseph Smith, declaring the importance of these ancient Egyptian writings, recorded: "I commenced the translation of some of the characters or hieroglyphics, and much to our joy found that one of the rolls **contained the writings of Abraham.**" (LDS.org, Pearl of Great Price Student Manual)
 8. "The prophet took them and repaired to his room and inquired of the Lord concerning them. The Lord told him they were sacred records, containing the inspired **writings of Abraham** when he was in Egypt, and also those of Joseph, while he was in Egypt." (Orson Pratt, Journal of Discourses, vol. 26.)
 9. "Joseph the seer has presented us some of the Book of Abraham which was **written by his own hand** but hid from the knowledge of man for the last four thousand years but has now come to light through the mercy of God." (Diary of Wilford Woodruff, February 19, 1842. *The Saga of the Book of Abraham*, Deseret Book, 1969, p. 221)
 10. "The Pearl of Great Price presently contains a selection from the revelations, translations, and narrations of Joseph Smith, which includes: First, eight chapters known as the book of Moses. Second is the book of Abraham, which consists of five chapters. **These were the writings of Abraham** and also of Joseph of Egypt. They were translated by Joseph Smith from two rolls of papyrus that were found in coffins with four mummies and were discovered in the catacombs of Egypt..." (Elder Henry D. Taylor, *Search the Scriptures*, October General Conference, 1976)

- **Statement by Egyptologist**

After the Church made the papyri available for public study, Egyptologists have refuted the accuracy of Joseph's translation. Dr. Robert K. Ritner, Professor of Egyptology at the University of Chicago and at Yale University:

Text of 4 horizontal columns (Smith's Figs. 12, 13, 14 and 15). The beginning of each line is lost and has been restored wrongly in later editions by the insertion of hieratic text from the Breathing Permit of Hor and the Book of the Dead of Ta-sherit-Min, copied upside down and backwards to the direction of the hypocephalus text.

- **Statement by LDS Scholar**

Michael Dennis Rhodes is an associate professor of ancient scripture at Brigham Young University. Rhodes is an Egyptologist who has published a translation of some of the Joseph Smith papyri. In his book, *The Hor Book of Breathings: A Translation and Commentary*, Rhodes writes:

"The Hor Book of Breathings is a part of eleven papyri fragments in the New York Metropolitan Museum of Art that came to the attention of Dr. Aziz Atiyah in 1966. These fragments, subsequently donated to the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints in 1967, came from three separate papyri rolls. Joseph Smith Papyri I, X, and XI are from the Book of Breathings belonging to Hor (Ór), the son of Usiwer (Wsir-wr), which is the subject of this book."

Prof. Rhodes is one of the Mormon scholars that the Church is referring to when it stated,

"Mormon and non-Mormon Egyptologists agree that the characters on the fragments do not match the translation given in the book of Abraham."

"Scholars have identified the papyrus fragments as parts of standard funerary texts that were deposited with mummified bodies." (LDS.org, *Translation and Historicity of the Book of Abraham*, 2014)

The very same papyri that Joseph possessed have been studied by LDS and non-LDS Egyptologists. Both agree that the papyri are *The Book of Breathings of Hor*, and that the translations make no mention of Abraham.

- **Recent Statement by the Church**

In light of a growing body of contradictory evidence, the Church has acknowledged that the original claim to the Book of Abraham is untrue. On July 8, 2014, published on LDS.org, the article, *Translation and Historicity of the Book of Abraham*, confirms that the Book of Abraham is, in fact, not a translation of the Egyptian papyri.

"Some evidence suggests that Joseph studied the characters on the Egyptian papyri and attempted to learn the Egyptian language. His history reports that, in July 1835, he was "continually engaged in translating an alphabet to the Book of Abraham, and arranging a grammar of the Egyptian language as practiced by the ancients." This "grammar," as it was called, consisted of columns of hieroglyphic characters followed by

English translations recorded in a large notebook by Joseph's scribe, William W. Phelps. Another manuscript, written by Joseph Smith and Oliver Cowdery, has Egyptian characters followed by explanations. [...] Neither the rules nor the translations in the grammar book correspond to those recognized by Egyptologists today."

"None of the characters on the papyrus fragments mentioned Abraham's name or any of the events recorded in the book of Abraham. Mormon and non-Mormon Egyptologists agree that the characters on the fragments do not match the translation given in the book of Abraham."

"Scholars have identified the papyrus fragments as parts of standard funerary texts that were deposited with mummified bodies. These fragments date to between the third century B.C.E. and the first century C.E., long after Abraham lived."

(Translation and Historicity of the Book of Abraham, July 8, 2014. www.lds.org/topics/translation-and-historicity-of-the-book-of-abraham?lang=eng)

- **Abraham and the Papyri**

Since Joseph Smith could not and did not translate hieroglyphics, where did the inspiration for the Book of Abraham come from? In an effort to increase sales, Michael Chandler, told the saints in Kirtland that the mummies were the bodies of Abraham and Joseph who was sold into Egypt.

"The public mind has been excited of late, by reports which have been circulated concerning certain Egyptian mummies and ancient records which were purchased by certain gentlemen of Kirtland, last July. It has been said that the purchasers of these antiquities pretend they have the bodies of Abraham, Abimelech the king of the Philistines, Joseph who was sold into Egypty, &c., &c., for the purpose of attracting the attention of the multitude, and gulling the unwary..." (The Latter Day Saints' Millennial Star, no.34, vol. XV. Saturday August 20, 1853. pp. 549)

At the time of publication of the Book of Abraham, America still had not yet received the Rosetta Stone's verified translation of ancient Egyptian. Joseph's interpretation was unable to be verified at the time, and no one could argue its accuracy. The modern Church has known for decades that Joseph Smith's translations were not correct, yet has only now admitted to it. What else is being kept from the members at this time?

Chapter 22 - Expert Views

Collected below are the views from experts in the fields of Egyptology regarding the general claims of the Book of Abraham.

- **University Professors**

Continued from Chapter 16, the remaining responses from university professors regarding the historical claims of the Book of Abraham.

1. Peter Brand- Professor of Ancient History and Egyptology, PhD in Ancient Egyptian Language and Literature from the University of Toronto

Hi Zachary,

Its good to hear from you and I'm happy to help you out. Just as background I am an Egyptologist and I am also someone who has a close friend and colleague (a historian) who is Mormon but who does not necessarily believe everything the elders tell him. As it happens I suspect that I know, too, who the "notable PhD's" the members of your church are referring to. I am not an expert on LDS but I do understand that there is a longstanding connection with Egyptology based on a papyrus the Church holds. There are two Egyptologists from Brigham Young University who are also members of the church and who often act to promote and defend the Church's teachings in the academic realm, but with little success in changing minds I suspect.

I am not myself a believer and I am highly skeptical of the Book of Mormon and the Book of Abraham. I would also agree with the mainstream Egyptological view that the Joseph Smith Papyrus is simply a Roman era set of Egyptian papyri with various Ancient Egyptian texts including sections of a "Book of the Dead" and another known as the "book of breathing". There is no connection between these texts and any aspect of Christianity or Judaism. Outside of Mormon scholars, there is not any recognition of or belief in a "reformed egyptian" script or language. The photos of so called "reformed Egyptian" documents that I have seen do not resemble genuine Egyptian scripts of any kind from any period of Egypt's long pharaonic history including both hieroglyphic forms or the more cursive forms known as hieratic and demotic. They look like a modern person's attempt at making cryptogramatic symbols of a modernly invented secret code in that they resemble nonsense "letters" of an alphabet rather than pictorial symbols like hieroglyphs or even the much more varied and differently shaped ligatures of hieratic or demotic Egyptian cursive writing.

There is simply no evidence that the Smith Papyri are anything more than mainstream Egyptologists have identified them as being-- namely typical pharaonic funerary papyri from the last centuries of pagan civilization in Egypt. Despite years of study at BYU, no one has duplicated Smith's "readings" of the papyri as the "book of Abraham" which strongly suggests it is a fictional invention of his imagination. This is not necessarily to say that he didn't believe himself what he told to others. Who knows? People are as capable of deceiving themselves as they are of others.

A good rule of thumb in judging such matters is that "Extraordinary claims should have extraordinary evidence" so, when they haul up the actual body or capture a live plesiosaurus in Loch Ness in Scotland then I will believe in the Lock Ness Monster. I desperately wanted to believe in it when I was 12 but after reading a 300 page book on it from the library with some fuzzy pictures and invented drawings i came to realize that there was no evidence for such a creature.

Sale of antiquities was very common in the 19th century and the first half of the 20th century. Given that-- unless you believe it to be a "miracle"-- how likely is it that someone like Smith who knew nothing about Egyptology per se, would just happen to buy the "right" papyri that happened to have this "lost book" of scripture? It strikes anyone who does not already believe the truth of it, or who wants to believe the truth of it, as being highly unlikely. Of course, people often want to believe the highly improbable and can be easily swayed to believe in fantastic or miraculous claims. This is often how new religions spread. Simply by being old, religions can often become accepted as being "fact" or "true" in part because so many people have believed them for so long. I hope this helps. Let me know if you have any further questions.

*Best wishes,
Peter Brand*

2. Salima Ikram- Professor of Egyptology at the American University in Cairo, PhD in Egyptology and Museum Studies from the University of Cambridge

"The Book of Abraham and Reformed Egyptian: In 1835 Joseph Smith purchased Egyptian papyri from a traveling mummy exhibit and revealed that they were the writings of the prophet of Abraham. Today, these facsimiles have caused considerable controversy. Many Egyptologists have noted that the facsimiles have no bearing on Joseph Smith's translations and are instead common Egyptian funerary texts from the first century B.C."

I echo the sentiments of the Egyptologists.

*Salima Ikram
Professor of Egyptology
Egyptology Unit Head
American University in Cairo*

3. Peter Der Manuelian, Professor of Egyptology and Director, Harvard Semitic Museum, Harvard University. PhD in Egyptology from the University of Chicago

Dear Zachary,

Thank you for this email. I am one of those who believe that the facsimiles have no bearing on Joseph Smith's translations and are instead common Egyptian funerary texts. I am not personally aware of any professional Egyptologists who feel differently.

*With best wishes,
Peter Manuelian*

- **Additional Egyptologists**

There are many more experts of Egyptology who have studied the authenticity of the Book of Abraham.

1. Dr. Arthur Mace, Assistant Curator for the Department of Egyptian Art of the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York

"The Book of Abraham, it is hardly necessary to say, is a pure fabrication. Cuts 1 and 3 are inaccurate copies of well known scenes on funeral papyri, and cut 2 is a copy of one of the magical discs which in the late Egyptian period were placed under the heads of mummies. There were about forty of these latter known in museums and they are all very similar in character. Joseph Smith's interpretation of these cuts is a farrago of nonsense from beginning to end. Egyptian characters can now be read almost as easily as Greek, and five minutes' study in an Egyptian gallery of any museum should be enough to convince any educated man.

2. Dr. A. H. Sayce from Oxford, England

It is difficult to deal seriously with Joseph Smith's impudent fraud. The facsimile from the Book of Abraham No. 2 is an ordinary hypocephalus, but the hieroglyphics upon it have been copied so ignorantly that hardly one of them is correct. I need scarcely say that Kolob, &c., are unknown to the Egyptian language. Smith has turned the goddess into a king and Osiris into Abraham.

3. Dr. Flinders Petrie of London University

They are copies of Egyptian subjects of which I have seen dozens of examples. They are centuries later than Abraham. The attempts to guess a meaning for them in the professed explanations are too absurd to be noticed. It may be safely said that there is not one single word that is true in these explanations.

4. Dr. James H. Breasted of the Haskell Oriental Museum, University of Chicago

It will be seen, then, that if Joseph Smith could read ancient Egyptian writing, his ability to do so had no connection with the decipherment of hieroglyphics by European scholars. The three facsimiles in question represent equipment which will be and has been found in unnumbered thousands of Egyptian graves. The point, then, is that in publishing these facsimiles of Egyptian documents as part of an unique revelation to Abraham, Joseph Smith was attributing to Abraham not three unique documents of which no other copies exist, but was attributing to Abraham a series of documents which were the common property of a whole nation of people who employed them in every human burial, which they prepared.

The "problem" is if I accept that the Book of Abraham merely "originated" with the scrolls and is not an actual translation then I also have to try and accept that God and Joseph decided to fool me into believing a true scripture by telling me it was a translation when it was not a translation. I stewed in that "God is an occasional liar for my benefit" paradigm for a few years. Certainly, many LDS folks go through a similar process.

The ultimate cognitive dissonance here is that either God lies to convince me his scripture is true or Joseph lies. I decided that Joseph lied, even if the gospel he promoted is "good," even if the Church has a good influence on peoples lives, I was not going to believe in a God that lies to me to bolster his claims; therefore, I conclude that Joseph was a fraud.

I hope the true believers in the Church understand that folks like me are not against them. I sincerely hope the brethren find a way to navigate this human disaster that maintains many of the positive, unique aspects of LDS culture. They should've started dealing honestly with this issues a hundred years ago. Instead, we received "lying for the lord" for 100 years and now we receive obfuscating for the lord. I suppose I'll call that an improvement, but we deserve better.

5. Prof. C. S. A. B. Mercer, custodian of the Hibbard collection of Egyptian reproductions at the Western Theological Seminary

None of these, either human or divine, who helped in Joseph Smith's translation, had any conception of the most commonplace Egyptian Characters.

PART 4: THE MODERN CHURCH

Chapter 23 – Tithing

The definition and requirements of tithing have varied at different periods in the Church. This chapter discusses tithing in the Old Testament, tithing in the early Church, its initial requirement and intended purpose, and the modern interpretation of what it means to pay a “full tithe.”

- **Tithing in the Old Testament**

Today sacrament meetings and General Conferences continually preach that promised blessings come from faithfully paying our tithing, but is this Old Testament law really applicable today?

The scriptural basis for this teaching comes from the book of Malachi in the Old Testament.

Malachi 3:8,10

Will a man rob God? Yet ye have robbed me. But ye say, Wherein have we robbed thee? In tithes and offerings. ...prove me now herewith, saith the Lord of hosts, if I will not open you the windows of heaven, and pour you out a blessing, that there shall not be room enough to receive it.

The previous chapters reveal that Malachi wasn't talking to the general membership of the Church when he asked, “*Will a man rob God?*” but was rebuking the priests who had been collecting money and food to give to the poor, but were keeping it for themselves.

Malachi 2-3

1 And now, O ye priests, this commandment is for you.

8 But ye are departed out of the way; ye have caused many to stumble at the law; ye have corrupted the covenant of Levi, saith the Lord of hosts.

9 ... ye have not kept my ways, but have been partial in the law.

3 And [the Lord] shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold and silver

7 Even from the days of your fathers ye are gone away from mine ordinances, and have not kept them. Return unto me, and I will return unto you, saith the Lord of hosts.

8 Will a man rob God? Yet ye have robbed me. But ye say, Wherein have we robbed thee? In tithes and offerings.

9 Ye are cursed with a curse: for ye have robbed me, even this whole nation.

The tithes were already paid; the priests were simply stealing them. Malachi promises them that if they would stop hoarding the offerings and bring all those tithes into the storehouse to be properly distributed among the needy, God would open the windows of heaven and pour out a blessing so abundant they might never have to fear shortages in the storehouses again.

One thing to consider when the Church applies Old Testament laws to modern standards is that Old Testament laws were never taught by Jesus Christ and did not apply to New Testament Christianity.

Some examples of the Law of Moses

1. Acceptable to murder a non-virgin - Deuteronomy 22:13-21 *If any man take a wife, and go in unto her, and hate her. Then shall the father of the damsel, and her mother, take and bring forth the tokens of the damsel's virginity unto the elders of the city in the gate... But if this thing be true, and the tokens of virginity be not found for the damsel... Then they shall bring out the damsel to the door of her father's house, and the men of her city shall stone her with stones that she die.*
2. Acceptable to beat your slave to death - Exodus 21:20-21 *When a man strikes his slave, male or female, with a rod and the slave dies under his hand, he shall be avenged. But if the slave survives a day or two, he is not to be avenged, for the slave is his money.*
3. Animal sacrifices - Samuel 7:9 *And Samuel took a sucking lamb, and offered it for a burnt offering wholly unto the Lord.*
4. No eating pork - Leviticus 11:7 *And the swine, though he divide the hoof, and be clovenfooted, yet he cheweth not the cud; he is unclean to you.*
5. No eating shellfish - Leviticus 11:10-11 *And all that have not fins and scales in the seas, and in the rivers, of all that move in the waters, and of any living thing which is in the waters, they shall be an abomination unto you.*
6. No eating pawed-animals - Leviticus 11:27 *And whatsoever goeth upon his paws, among all manner of beasts that go on all four, those are unclean unto you.*
7. No mixed-plant gardens - Leviticus 19:19 *Ye shall keep my statutes... thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed.*
8. No mixed-fabric clothing - Leviticus 19:19 *Ye shall keep my statutes... neither shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee.*
9. Mandatory circumcision - Leviticus 12:2-3 *And on the eighth day the flesh of his foreskin shall be circumcised.*
10. Netting installed around your home - Deuteronomy 22:8 *When thou buildest a new house, then thou shalt make a battlement for thy roof, that thou bring not blood upon thine house, if any man fall from thence.*

Are members today required to follow the Law of Moses, like the Pharisees, to be approved for a temple recommend? Latter-Day Saints, like all modern Christians, are taught by Christ to not be bound by these archaic rules but instead to love your fellow man. Paying tithes to the sons of Levi at the temple was also an Old Testament law.

Then why is tithing a modern practice? It turns out that the Catholic Church reinstituted the law of tithing centuries after the completion of the Bible.

"As the Church expanded and various institutions arose, it became necessary to make laws which would insure the proper and permanent support of the clergy. The payment of tithes was adopted from the Old Law... The earliest positive legislation on the subject seems to be contained in the letter of the bishops assembled at Tours in 567 and the [canons] of the Council of Macon in 585." (The Catholic Encyclopedia)

Since Catholic clergy are forbidden to marry, they did not have families to support and could devote all of their time to religious studies and managing the affairs of the church. It then *"became necessary to make laws which would insure the proper and permanent support of the clergy."* However, Jesus Christ taught to give directly to those in need, not any religious institution.

Matthew 25:35,36,40

I was hungry and you gave me meat; I was thirsty, and you gave me drink; I was a stranger, and you took me in; naked, and you clothed me; I was sick, and you visited me; I was in prison and you came unto me. Inasmuch as you have done it unto the least of these my brethren, you have done it unto me.

- **Tithing in the Early Church**

On December 7, 1836, Bishop Edward Partridge officially instituted tithing and defined it as 2% of the net worth of each member after deducting debts. This money was to help cover the operating expenses of the Church. It appears to have been adequate for a time. Two years later, when the Church was eight years old, some 15,000 converts had already emigrated from their homes and gathered in Missouri. Joseph Smith and Sidney Rigdon were spending all their time dealing with this large flow of immigrants, to the point of not being able to provide for their own families. On May 12th they took the matter before the high council of the Church. Joseph Smith states,

"Saturday, 12.—President Rigdon and myself attended the High Council for the purpose of presenting for their consideration some business relating to our pecuniary concerns.

We stated to the Council our situation, as to maintaining our families, and the relation we now stand in to the Church, spending as we have for eight years, our time, talents, and property, in the service of the Church: and being reduced as it were to beggary, and being still detained in the business and service of the Church, it appears necessary that something should be done for the support of our families by the Church, or else we must do it by our own labors; and if the Church say to us, "Help yourselves," we will thank them and immediately do so; but if the Church say, "Serve us," some provision must be made for our sustenance." (History of the Church, vol.3 p.32)

What emerged was a guideline of how much members were to contribute money to help maintain the operations of the Church. In July of 1838, Joseph recorded what would later become section 119 of the Doctrine and Covenants – The Law of Tithing.

Doctrine and Covenants Section 119

*1 Verily, thus saith the Lord, I require all their **surplus** property to be put into the hands of the bishop of my church in Zion,*

2 For the building of mine house, and for the laying of the foundation of Zion and for the priesthood, and for the debts of the Presidency of my Church.

3 And this shall be the beginning of the tithing of my people.

*4 And after that, those who have thus been tithed shall pay one-tenth of all their **interest** annually; and this shall be a standing law unto them forever, for my holy priesthood, saith the Lord.*

*5 Verily I say unto you, it shall come to pass that all those who gather unto the land of Zion shall be tithed of their **surplus** properties, and shall observe this law, or they shall not be found worthy to abide among you.*

6 And I say unto you, if my people observe not this law, to keep it holy, and by this law sanctify the land of Zion unto me, that my statutes and my judgments may be kept thereon, that it may be most holy, behold, verily I say unto you, it shall not be a land of Zion unto you.

7 And this shall be an ensample unto all the stakes of Zion. Even so. Amen.

The law of tithing, as presented to the membership of the Church, addresses two major points: 1. How much was required to donate. 2. Where the money was to be spent.

1. Required donation – *"I require all their **surplus** property..."* and *"one-tenth of all their **interest**..."* After giving of one's initial surplus, verse four says that 10% should only be paid from *interest*. The definitions of the word *interest*, in Webster's 1828 Dictionary, is a *"share; portion; part; any surplus advantage."* The word *interest* means *surplus*. Surplus is defined as, *"an amount that is more than the amount that is needed."* (Webster's Dictionary)

According to Joseph Smith Translation Genesis 14:39, *"Abram paid unto him tithes of all that he had, of all the riches which he possessed, which God had given him more than that which he had need."* Abraham gave only a tenth of his **surplus**; or a tenth from his amount that was more than the amount he needed. It seems that a full-tithe constitutes less than we are currently led to believe.

2. Where the money was to be spent – Verse 2 states that tithing funds are to be spent on the following:

- For the building of the Lord's house.
- For the laying of the foundation of Zion and for the priesthood.
- For the debts of the Presidency of the Church.

Notice there is nothing stating that tithing is for the helping of the poor or for humanitarian aid. That's because members are also commanded to contribute fast offerings; which are charitable donations in addition to tithing. If fast offerings are for humanitarian aid, then the purpose of tithing is to pay for the costs of managing the Church's affairs. Members are expected to contribute their share of the operational costs of the Church.

As with the chickens and eggs a family would not be hard-shipped to give, the law of tithing made it easy to part with property or money. Paying it was not intended to place a large burden on anyone. In the scriptures, God never required his people to pay him first, or to give to the Church before meeting the temporal needs of their families. Then where did this modern idea come from?

- **Post-Joseph Smith Tithing**

Immediately following Joseph Smith's death, many men struggled for control of the Church. Before Brigham Young was finally appointed over Sidney Rigdon as "president of the first presidency", the quorum of the Twelve issued a statement declaring that tithing was no longer to be paid from surplus. Instead, *"let them continue to pay a tenth of their income from that time forth."* (History of the Church, August 15, 1844, vol.7 p.358) This statement was never to be added to the Book of Commandments (Doctrine & Covenants) as revelation, instead it would replace the old law as a direct policy change. In addition, two weeks later, the Twelve voted to exempt themselves and the two general bishops, Newel K. Whitney and George Miller from any future obligation to pay tithing. (Heber C. Kimball Diary, 29 Jan. 1845, in *Stanley B. Kimball: On the Potter's Wheel: The Diaries of Heber C. Kimball*, 1987, p.94)

Tithing donations began to plummet soon after the new requirement to give 10% of all one's possessions at baptism, an additional 10% upon arriving in Utah, and a perpetual 10% of total income every year thereafter. The Edmunds-Tucker Act of 1887 effectively made polygamy illegal. Furthermore, if the Church did not stop the practice, the Act authorized seizure of all property not specifically used for religious purposes valued above \$50,000. According to BYU professor and assistant to the Church historian, Michael Quinn, at this time there were also *"massive losses in the Church's interlocked mining, sugar, real estate, banking, and investment firms."* On top of that, educational and civic responsibilities were also draining the budget. During 1893 the Church began borrowing from financial institutions to meet its obligations. The Church was on the brink of bankruptcy. President Lorenzo Snow yielded to another tithing reformation. He cancelled the requirement to give 10% of one's property at baptism and another 10% upon arrival into Salt Lake. From that point on tithing would be limited to 10% of one's annual income according to their ability to pay. (Journal of Mormon History, vol.20. Utah State University. <http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/mormonhistory/vol20/iss1/1>)

- **Modern Interpretation**

After the reformation, tithing donations again began to rise. There was a time when the Church needed additional income to meet its financial demands. Currently, that situation is far behind the Church. How has tithing been reinterpreted for this modern era? It seems that modern teachings about tithing contradict its original intent.

1. Early teachings

- *"If a man has not the means to pay tithing and would if he could, I can fellowship him just as well as if he did."* (Brigham Young, March 13 1860. Mar.13 1860, Office Journal: Book D, p. 54, Josephsmithpapers.org)
- *"Here is one of the great purposes for which the law of tithing is instituted in the Church. It is intended that the widows shall be looked after when they are in need, and that the fatherless and the orphans shall be provided for from the funds of the Church; that they shall be clothed and fed, and shall have opportunity for education, the same as other children who have parents to look after them. When a child is fatherless and motherless the Church becomes the parent of that child, and it is obligatory upon the Church to take care of it, and to see that it has opportunities equal with the other children in the Church. This is a great responsibility."* (Joseph F. Smith, October 1899 General Conference. <http://archive.org/details/conferencereport1899sa>)

2. Current teachings:

- *Is there a level of poverty so low that sacrifice should not be expected or a family so destitute that paying tithing should cease to be required? Like the widow, if a destitute family is faced with the decision of paying their tithing or eating, they should pay their tithing.* (Elder Lynn G. Robbins. *Tithing—a Commandment Even for the Destitute*, April 2005 General Conference)
- *If paying tithing means that you can't pay for water or electricity, pay tithing. If paying tithing means that you can't pay your rent, pay tithing. Even if paying tithing means that you don't have enough money to feed your family, pay tithing.* (Elder Aaron L. West, *Sacred Transformations*, December 2012, Ensign)

The difference between statements from early leaders and their sympathy for those in need, compared to modern leadership requiring payment at all costs brings tears to my eyes.

At the October 1899 General Conference, President Lorenzo Snow delivered a talk on tithing.

"I plead with you in the name of the Lord, and I pray that every man, woman and child who has means shall pay one tenth of their income as a tithing..." (Conference Report, October 1899, p.28. <http://archive.org/stream/conferencereport1899sa/conferencereport692chur#page/28/mode/2up>)

Again, the early brethren knew some were so burdened they had no means to pay. However, in a piece of disturbing information, an edited version of this statement was reprinted in the lesson manual *Teachings of the Presidents of the Church: Lorenzo Snow, ch.12: Tithing, a Law For Our Protection and Advancement*.

"I plead with you in the name of the Lord, and I pray that every man, woman and child ... shall pay one tenth of their income as a tithing" (Teachings of Lorenzo Snow manual, p.160. www.lds.org/manual/teachings-of-presidents-of-the-church-lorenzo-snow/chapter-12-tithing-a-law-for-our-protection-and-advancement?lang=eng)

The removal of the phrase "who has means" demonstrates that the modern Church is not above misrepresenting the truth to ensure being paid above the individual needs of members.

The Church taught that tithing was only payable on the surplus income after all other living expenses were covered. Also, if a person did not have the ability to pay they did not have to. This doctrine has been deliberately hidden from the members.


Chapter 24 - Church Spending

Today we are reminded in every meeting and conference to pay tithing, but while we know that a portion is used for the operational expenses of the Church, much of the donations make their way into business investments. According to a 2012 investigative report by the Reuters news agency, the Church receives about \$7 billion in tithing revenue each year and several billion from its for-profit business ventures. The lack of financial transparency by the LDS Church has put revenue estimates between \$10-20 billion annually. This chapter covers its non-religious business investments and charitable donations.

- **Non-Religious Business Investments**

What are the Church's for-profit business ventures? For a start, they own the largest cattle ranch in the United States, with other large industrial ranches and farms in Mexico, Brazil, Argentina, Australia and Great Britain. The Church also owns a small media empire, an investment fund, the City Creek Center, investment properties, and more.

1. City Creek Center



"City Creek Center, a three-block development by City Creek Reserve Inc., a development arm of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. City Creek Reserve is spending more than \$1 million a day on construction, and the project ultimately will cost around **\$3 billion**" (Deseret News, Salt Lake City High Rise is Ready for Occupancy on Main, 11/4/2009)

While chapels and temples represent the religious, tax-exempt division of the Church, the City Creek Center is a for-profit business. Even though City Creek generates substantial income, the Church has re-classified it as a 501(C)3:Charitable Organization. Is it fair to classify the City Creek as a charity organization to avoid paying taxes on property income it collects from residential, office space, and retail store leases (such as Nordstrom, Apple, Macy's & Tiffany's&Co)? See the City Creek Reserve Inc (CCRI) 2009 tax return here. (<http://irs990.charityblossom.org/990T/200912/208152281.pdf>)

2. Downtown Philadelphia, 1601 Vine St.

- "LDS Church to Develop 32-story Building in Downtown Philadelphia"

PHILADELPHIA – The LDS Church announced the construction of a 32-story City Creek-style mixed-use building in downtown Philadelphia for residential and commercial tenants. The 490,000 square-foot tower at 1601 Vine Street will include 271 market-rate apartments in the Center City district, a few blocks from the iconic Philadelphia City Hall. (KSL NBC 5 Utah News, 2/16/2014)

- "Center City Philadelphia 2014-2018 Developments"

Developer: Property Reserve, Inc.

[LDS Church subsidiary]

Location: 1601 Vine Street

Residential Units: 277

Investment: \$120 Million

Description: The mixed-use development includes a residential apartment tower located at 1601 Vine Street and a separate Meetinghouse for The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints at 17th and Vine Streets. The residential tower is a 32-story, new construction building of approximately 489,997sqft and will consist of 264 rental apartments, 13 rental town homes, two levels of below-grade parking providing 238 spaces and 12,000sqft of ground-floor retail. Residential amenities include a swimming pool, fitness center, clubroom and business center. Robert A.M. Stern Architects of New York is the project designer, with BLT Architects of Philadelphia serving as associate architect. (<https://issuu.com/ccdphila/docs/ccdevelopments2015/28>)



3. "111 Main Street Tower Has New Developer"

SALT LAKE CITY – There has been a major change in the development of downtown Salt Lake City's newest proposed office tower. City Creek Reserve, a real estate arm of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, has taken over as developer of the 111 Main Street project.

Hamilton, the commercial real estate firm based in Itasca, Ill., had developed 222 Main – the 22-story, \$125 million office building located just a block away on the west side of the street.

The ground floor of the project will feature retail space, while the upper floors will offer 440,000 rentable square feet of office area with the average floor size consisting of 21,000-square-foot customizable sections, column-free design, floor-to-ceiling glass, with 9-foot ceilings and 16-inch raised floors throughout. (DeseretNews, 2/27/2014)



4. Florida Land

- "Mormon Church Completes Huge Buy of Land, Now Owns 2 Percent of Florida"

The Mormon church through its subsidiaries now owns nearly 2 percent of Florida with the completion Thursday of a \$562 million purchase of more than 382,000 acres in North Florida's Panhandle region. The mega purchase of most of the timberland holdings of real-estate developer St. Joe Co. was announced in November. That property combined with Deseret Ranches in Central Florida leaves the Utah-based Church with 678,000 acres in Florida. AgReserves Inc., a



taxpaying company of the church, said when the deal was first made public that it will continue to use the North Florida land for timber and agriculture. Deseret Ranches has more than 40,000 cattle and is one of the nation's largest producers of calves. (Orlando Sentinel, 3/6/2014)

○ "Mormon Church Moves to Build a City in Florida"

The Mormon church is going to Disney World. The church-owned Deseret Ranches is going through the bureaucratic process in Florida to win approval to transform rural farmland, used for 65 years to raise cattle, into a metropolis of a half-million residents within a 133,000-acre corner of Osceola County, not far from Orange County, the home of the world-renowned Disney resort in Orlando. According to the Orlando Sentinel, the project would be the biggest development ever planned in Florida. (Salt Lake Tribune, 7/10/2015) Original purchase was only approved when the land was to be kept for farming and timber. After the acquisition, the Church now plans to develop land for "half a million residents."

5. "West Virginia Getting Legacy Complex"


Lake Park is a 600-acre business park being developed by Beneficial Development Co., a real estate subsidiary of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. It is built around a 27-hole golf course designed by Johnny Miller, a fact that Legacy Partners believes will be a major draw for "sophisticated tenants." (Deseret News, 9/14/1999)



6. "Riverton sees Mormon Church Daybreak-like project as 'crown jewel'"

City Council members voted unanimously for an agreement to develop about 550 acres of what is now open land owned by Suburban Land Reserve, a for-profit real estate arm of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

The plan, adopted after years of discussion, provides for transforming the Hamilton Farm property and adjacent acreage into a massive shopping center, office towers and business nodes as well as nearly 3,800 homes, condominiums and apartments. (Salt Lake Tribune, 2/17/2016)



7. "Tending the Flock"

As a full-time missionary for The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, Clair Huff shed the traditional dark suit, white shirt and tie. For more than two years, he and his wife, Beth, have been serving God in a most unusual way. They operate a private hunting preserve owned by the LDS Church. "Just like the farm derives revenue from harvesting crops, the preserve is designed to produce revenue when hunters harvest the wildlife here," Elder Huff said.



The preserve, known as Westlake Farm Commercial Hunting Area, is managed by the LDS Church's Farm Management Co. The for-profit farming and ranching (not intended for Church welfare use) is overseen by the church's Presiding Bishopric.

The church owns thousands of acres of farm and ranch land throughout the West, including the Deseret Land and Livestock Co., a private big-game hunting preserve scattered over 200,000 acres in northern Utah. Hunters from around the country vie for a limited number of elk and moose permits there that cost as much as \$8,500 each. (Deseret News, 7/8/2000)

8. "New Las Colinas Apartment Community Sells to Mormon Investment Company"

Apartment builder JPI sold its new Jefferson Las Colinas, 286 unit, six-acre apartment community to Utah-based Property Reserve Inc., a real estate investment and development company owned by the of Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, county deed records show.



The terms of the sale were not disclosed, but the property taxes value the community at almost \$45 million. The apartment community has been renamed Fountain Pointe Las Colinas on Las Colinas Blvd. just north of Northwest Highway. (Dallas News, 7/25/2016)

The list of the LDS Church’s for-profit businesses and investments is long. In addition to multiple real estate firms and extensive land holdings, the Church owns banking institutions, insurance companies, financial advising firms, newspapers, radio stations, cable channels, and many more. Every year billions of tithing dollars are funneled into these businesses for non-religious and non-humanitarian aid purposes.

Should a single mother struggling to feed her family be coerced to give 10% of her small income to be used to build shopping malls and high-rise towers? Not according to Joseph Smith and the early prophets. Threatening the loss of eternal life with our families, the modern Church never passes an opportunity to remind us that all members, no matter how financially destitute, must pay them first. Yet they seem to forget to mention that, in addition to covering the operational expenses of the Church, tithing funds are routed into multi-billion dollar investments.

• **Charitable Donations**

Where these funds are not being spent:
Between 1985-2011 the Church donated a total of \$1.4 billion on humanitarian aid. This includes international disasters, welfare service missionaries and bishops store houses. (www.lds.org/bc/content/shared/content/english/pdf/welfare/2011-welfare-services-factsheet.pdf)

The \$1.4B figure represents thousands of labor-hours donated to help many people and is something to be very proud of. But how does an organization that generates double-digit, billion-dollar revenue each year compare to other charitable organizations? In 2014, The American Red Cross received \$2.9 billion in donations and dispersed \$2.7 billion in aid and services; the balance covering administration and fundraising expenses. (www.charitynavigator.org/index.cfm?bay=search.summary&orgid=3277#.VxlWWSMrKPQ)

WELFARE SERVICES FACT SHEET—2011

50 East North Temple Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84150-0007
801-240-3001

2011 Statistics

Days of labor donated to Church welfare facilities	872,721
Employment and training placements	147,855
Total number of:	
Storehouses	143
Number of missionaries serving in Welfare Services	9,832
Number of major disaster assistance efforts (1985–2011)	202
Humanitarian assistance rendered (1985–2011)	\$1.4 billion

The Red Cross donates over 93 cents for every dollar received. In comparison, the Church donates between half and 1 penny for every dollar received.

There are only 5 separate organizations that operate many of the homeless shelters, health services, and nutrition centers for the needy in all of Utah. Here are statements from each of their websites:

1. Family Promise

"The need for our services continues to grow as more and more families in our community experience homelessness. Daily, our staff has to turn away parents and children who will end up sleeping in cars, tents, or unsafe conditions because we have no space for them. Without our dedicated network of congregations, Family Promise could not serve the families we do."

2. Rescue Mission

"So while these needs do not subside as the temperature gets hot, contributions to the Rescue Mission significantly decrease during June, July and August. We often refer to this as our "summer slump," when our financial ability to serve homeless men, women and children is put to the test."

3. Fourth Street Clinic

2011 donations = \$7 million. Of that only 18% (\$126,000) were from private donations. The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints was only 1 of 31 corporations that contributed to the Fourth Street Clinic's private donations.

4. The Road Home

"The Road Home partners with a variety of organizations to ensure families and individuals have the tools they need to get back on their feet." The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints is not on that list.

5. Utah Food Bank

"Utah Food Bank is fortunate to have incredibly generous community partners who help fulfill our mission to "Fight Hunger Statewide." The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints is not listed as a member.

These five relief organizations help the needy and homeless in the backyard of the LDS church headquarters and should never have to turn away parents and children because their finances are "put to the test." What if instead of investing billions of dollars a year into for-profit real estate, the Church built hospitals and homeless shelters, and actually tried to emulate the acts of kindness performed by Jesus for the sick and afflicted?

The brethren do not hesitate to remind us that they believe Jesus Christ himself is at the head the Church, yet we see such a contrast in His teachings compared to the Church's pursuit of money.

Luke 18:18-23

18And a certain ruler asked him, saying, Good Master, what shall I do to inherit eternal life?

20Thou knowest the commandments, Do not commit adultery, Do not kill, Do not steal, Do not bear false witness, Honour thy father and thy mother.

21And he said, All these have I kept from my youth up.

22Now when Jesus heard these things, he said unto him, Yet lackest thou one thing: sell all that thou hast, and distribute unto the poor, and thou shalt have treasure in heaven: and come, follow me.

23And when he heard this, he was very sorrowful: for he was very rich.

Perhaps members should choose instead to directly disburse their money where they feel it will do the most good.

Matthew 25:34-40

34Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world:

35for I was hungry, and ye gave me to eat; I was thirsty, and ye gave me drink; I was a stranger, and ye took me in;

36naked, and ye clothed me; I was sick, and ye visited me; I was in prison, and ye came unto me.

37Then shall the righteous answer him, saying, Lord, when saw we thee an hungred, and fed thee? or thirsty, and gave thee drink?

38When saw we thee a stranger, and took thee in? or naked, and clothed thee?

39Or when saw we thee sick, or in prison, and came unto thee?


40And the King shall answer and say unto them, Verily I say unto you, Inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto me.

That might mean that we should be giving directly to individuals in need or to organizations that use all their funds to assist those less fortunate, instead of charities who use less than 1% of their donations for charitable purposes.

Chapter 25 - Scientific Evidence

Many scientific disciplines, such as geology, astronomy, paleontology, and biology, have given us a great deal of information about the nature of the Earth. This chapter briefly discusses the Church's opposing views regarding the age of the Earth, evolution and the existence of death before Adam and Eve.

- **Age of the Earth and Evolution**



Fossils are mainly found in sedimentary rock, such as the layers of the Grand Canyon. Sedimentary rock is formed from layers of sediment are deposited on top of one another. Strata can range from less than an inch thick to hundreds of feet thick. The deepest layers were formed first and are the oldest and those on top formed more recently. Geologists use radiometric dating to determine the age of the rock layer in which fossils are found. Due to erosion or tectonic shifting, exposed strata can be seen on cliffs, mountains, or cutbanks. Each layer represents a certain time period in history. The fossils found in each layer are the plants and animals that lived during that period.

The oldest layers of rock we find on the Earth are about 4 billion years old and are void of any fossilized life. The oldest evidence of life are fossils of single-celled bacteria that are

found in rock dating 3.4 billion years old. For nearly the next billion years, fossils show no multicellular life at all. In rocks dating 580 million years old, beginning the Cambrian era, we finally find the first fossils of multicellular animals. We begin to see very simple organisms like sea sponges and anemones. Around 20 million years later we find simple mollusks and worms. Another 15 million years later the very first vertebrates appear, beginning with small worm-like animals and onto simple fish. In 410 million year old sediment, more familiar jawed fish begin to appear.

The Earth's sediments, up until about 400 million years ago, contain no land animals. The Devonian period marks the arrival the first evidence of insects. For the next 100 million years there are no reptiles, birds or mammals, only amphibians and insects. Plant life during this period only shows the existence of simple ferns, no seed-bearing plants. Finally during the Mesozoic era (Triassic, Jurassic and Cretaceous periods: 250-65 million years ago) fossils show the rise and fall of the dinosaurs.



After the large predators became extinct, the environment allowed for the remaining small animals to flourish. Animals resembling mammals begin to be found about 190 million years ago. The first trees begin to be found in rock layers dating to about 65 million years ago. During this time we also find the emergence of modern birds from small-feathered reptiles.



The “Homo” genus first appeared about 2.8 million years ago, marking the dawning of early humans. About 1.9 million year ago we find skeletal remains in eastern Africa showing fully upright features. Neanderthals began about 300,000 years ago, and by 200,000 years ago, we begin to find anatomically modern human skeletal remains. This marks the arrival of Homo s. sapiens, our current subspecies.



The Church’s stance is that life on Earth has not existed for millions of years, but less than 7,000 years. Section 77 of the Doctrine and Covenants states that the Earth will only exist for 7,000 years before the second coming of Christ.

1. Doctrine and Covenants 77: 6

6 Q. What are we to understand by the book which John saw, which was sealed on the back with seven seals?

A. We are to understand that it contains the revealed will, mysteries, and the works of God; the hidden things of his economy concerning this earth during the seven thousand years of its continuance, or its temporal [physical] existence.

2. *“I will state frankly and positively that I am opposed to the present biological theories and the doctrine that man has been of the earth for millions of years. I am opposed to the present teachings in relation to the age of the earth, which declare that the earth is millions of years old. Naturally, since I believe in modern revelation, I cannot accept these so-called scientific teachings, for I believe them to be in conflict with the simple and direct word of the Lord that has come to us by divine revelation.”* (Joseph Fielding Smith, Doctrines of Salvation, vol.1, pp101-103)

3. *“I say most emphatically, you cannot believe in this theory of the origin of man, and at the same time accept the plan of salvation as set forth by the Lord our God. You must choose the one and reject the other, for they are in direct conflict and there is a gulf separating them which is so great that it cannot be bridged, no matter how much one may try to do so.”* (Joseph Fielding Smith, Doctrines of Salvation, v.1, pp141-42)

• **Death Before Adam and Eve**

Beginning in the oldest layers of rocks and continuing up through newer layers, the sequence of fossilized remains shows a consistent pattern to the emerging levels of life. Therefore, life and death occurred on this planet long before human existence. These facts refute the doctrine that human sin brought about physical death to all living things.

1. *"If, for example, a student accepts the untrue theory that death has been present on the earth for scores of thousands or millions of years, he must reject the revealed truth that there was no death either for man or animals or plants or any form of life until some 6000 years ago when Adam fell."* (Bruce R. McConkie, Doctrinal New Testament Commentary 3:95-96; Mormon Doctrine, 2nd ed., 681)
2. *"There was no death in the world for him or for any form of life until after the Fall; that the Fall of Adam brought temporal and spiritual death into the world; that this temporal death passed upon all forms of life, upon man and animal and fish and fowl and plant life."* (Bruce R. McConkie, BYU, June 1, 1980)
3. *"From the revelations of the Lord we learn that there was no death in this world before the transgression of Adam and Eve."* (Joseph Fielding Smith, Doctrines of Salvation vol.1, p101)
4. *"Then Adam, and by that I mean the first man, was not capable of sin. He could not transgress, and by doing so bring death into the world; for, according to this theory [of evolution], death had always been in the world"* (Joseph Fielding Smith, Doctrines of Salvation, v.1, p142)

The prophets taught that no death existed until Adam and Eve left the Garden of Eden less than 7,000 years ago. If that doctrine is true we would see many layers of rock void of any fossils, then in a single layer of recent sediment we would find fossils of every stage of life all together; from dinosaurs to humans and dogs and cats. But we don't. Fossils of simpler organisms are encased in layers of rock that are very old and very deep. Gradually, more complex life is found in younger, shallower layers of rock. This sets the timeline for the evolution of life in stone...literally.

Why would the Church have taught something so easily disproved? Surely, a trip to a natural history museum gives more than enough evidence to see that this view of a "young Earth" is not even remotely true.

Chapter 26 - Spiritual Confirmation

We have been taught that despite contradicting evidence, a personal confirmation from the Spirit is the only way to know the gospel is true. However, this spiritual confirmation seems to have issues dealing with clarity across multiple religions.

- **Multiple Religions Claim Absolute Truth**

Thousands of different world religions believe they are God's one true church and every other religion is in error. All make the same claim: *"God has witnessed to me that my particular religion, prophet, book, and teachings are true."* The spiritual consequence for choosing the wrong religion can be severe. How would one know which is correct?

We are taught to "Ask God," but every religion asks the same question and gets a different answer. How does someone gain a testimony in the LDS Church?

1. Children

Many members form the foundation of their testimonies during their youth. Young children pattern their religious beliefs from their parents and other trusted adults. Often, children are taught that Joseph Smith was a true prophet at the same age they are also taught that Santa Claus will bring them presents if they're good. Members born in the Church spend the rest of their lives confirming the things they learned as children and ignoring anything that doesn't agree. If LDS children were raised Muslim, they would believe Muhammad was the true prophet just as much.

2. Adult Converts

Adults that were not raised in the Church must be instructed by full-time missionaries prior to joining. These investigators are encouraged to commit to baptism during the first visit after only hearing a short summary of basic beliefs. Missionaries instruct them to ask God about the validity of their lesson. Any good feelings are taught to be confirmation of their lessons, no discernable feelings mean investigators must keep asking, and any negative feelings should be ignored and to keep praying until a positive message is felt. This method is not 100% successful. God is not consistent.

We have been taught to rely on these promptings of the Spirit to confirm what we hear as true, unfortunately, many other faiths rely on the same promptings to confirm their own truthfulness of contradicting doctrine.

- **Examples of Non-LDS Testimonies**

Although they might phrase things somewhat differently, people of all faiths have similar testimonies of their own religions. Below are responses to the question, "Why did you convert?"

1. Catholic

"I also started praying a lot and praying consistently, probably for the first time ever. I realized I couldn't do this on my own, but I recognized the true gravity of this decision, and so I tried to clear my mind of all preconceptions and I honestly prayed on many occasions over months something like this:

"God, if any Christian sect holds truest to your truth, or if somehow they all do, or certain ones do, please show me so I know how to best worship you. I want your Truth—no matter the cost."

"And I tried to make sure that I meant it. Each time that I can remember praying that, I got an answer that was along the lines of a miracle. It always pointed towards Catholicism. I would always feel at peace after this was revealed to me, not because Catholicism is the most comforting choice at a glance or anything for that matter, but because the truth became clear to me, finally."

"This process took two years. We did not easily convert. Both of us at one point were spoken to by the Holy Spirit who told us, 'This is the truth. If you reject it, you are in danger. Do you accept my teaching? Will you say accept the Catholic Church or will you reject my teaching?' We accepted and in 2004, we were received into the Catholic Church. We love being Catholic! Based on history and theology, we believe that the Catholic Church is the Church that Jesus Christ founded and intended for human beings to be part of. We believe that all other Christian churches have a portion of the Gospel and a hope of heaven, but we pray that the Church might one day be ONE, as Jesus prayed in John 17."

2. Muslim

"I was a professor, pastor and missionary. I had just graduated with my Master's Degree of Divinity from an elite seminary five months before. It was after that time I met a lady who had worked in Saudi Arabia and had embraced Islam. I asked her questions relating to Allah and Muhammad. She informed me that she would take me to the Islamic Center where they would be better able to answer my questions. They gave me some books and told me if I had some questions they were available to answer. That night I read all of the books they gave me. But I did not embrace Islam at

that time because I did not believe it in my heart. I continued to pray, to read the Bible, to attend lectures at the Islamic Center. I was in earnest asking and seeking God's direction. It is not easy to change your religion. I did not want to loose my salvation if there was salvation to loose. Two months later after having once again prayed seeking God's direction, I felt something drop into my being! I sat up, and it was the first time I was to use the name of Allah, and I said, "Allah, I believe you are the One and Only True God." There was peace that descended upon me and from that day four years ago until now I have never regretted embracing Islam."

3. Hare Krishna

"A teacher once told me that he had a book called the, Bhagavad Gita, that has the secret to all life's questions. I said I wanted to see it. I was so happy to receive it and embraced into my heart. My teacher told me to pray to Srila Prabhupada, so that I would be able to understand the Bhagavad Gita. I cried, begged opening a photo of Srila Prabhupada. I said "Oh dear Srila Prabhupada, my teacher told me to pray to you for help. By your mercy I can understand this book." My prayers went on. "Please help. Please!" Then the tears started and I felt the power of prayers and Prabhupada's mercy. I prayed until I was satisfied. My teacher told me I had to pray and be very humble. I was reading alone in my house. Suddenly something happened. Light was there. It was in my heart and outside of my heart. That moment of light, that realization...time stopped. At that moment I understood. Oh my god, what a knowledge; everyone should know about this."

4. Jehovah's Witness

"The Jehovah's Witnesses came to my door one day when I was 15-years-old. They bought their literature and I let them come to my home for a weekly Bible study. One day I read something in their literature that gave me a burning in my soul and I immediately knew that Jehovah had sent the Jehovah's Witnesses to my door because I had been praying for this moment for years, even though I was only 15. And I became a Jehovah's Witness."

• Cultural Impact

What are the chances that I would be Muslim if I were born in Afghanistan? What are the chances that I would be Hindu if I were born in India, or that I would be Catholic if I were born in Mexico, or Jewish if I was born in Israel, or Christian if I were born in the American South? Shouldn't the truth be the same regardless of where I was born and raised? Why do people tend to adopt belief in the religion they were raised in? Does that have any bearing on the truthfulness of their belief?

Is it possible that being raised Muslim could inspire the exact same dedication and belief in Islam that being raised Christian could in Christianity? How is a Christian's conviction to their beliefs any different than a Muslim's conviction? Is it possible that the feelings one experiences about their religion are the same as the other? If the feelings/belief are similar, does that have any bearing on the truthfulness of that belief?

Since thousands of religions claim to be God's true church, an emotions-based method for determining truth proves completely unreliable. One must assume that as a loving parent, God would communicate clearly to all his children, yet we find that God seems to take every opportunity to create confusion, doubt, and frustration. Would a loving God really try to trick his children? To be an effective parent, we need to communicate clearly with our children to make sure they understand our message.

How can we, as Latter Day Saints, point to our spiritual experiences as proof of absolute truth, when members of other faiths point to their own spiritual experiences as proof that their religions are correct? Who are we to say that our spiritual confirmations are truer than others?

Conclusion

My dearest sweetheart, I love you with all my heart. I wrote you this letter because I need you to understand why I feel the way I do.

I know learning about the Church's issues can be difficult, especially when both of our families are LDS. Our LDS upbringing is part of how we were raised, our traditions, and our entire identity. I have no intentions to rip us away from our family, especially when some of our most loved ones have passed away. Our family relationships are and always will be the most important things in our lives. I know that the love and connection we share with our family is above anything else.

Growing up in the Church and serving a mission, I was taught and in-turn taught others the "plain and simple truths of the gospel." I believed in church doctrine, church history, church organization and culture. I believed Joseph's story of the First Vision and I discounted any information to the contrary. It was the only thing that made sense... until it didn't. Shortly after reading the journals of Joseph Smith and Brigham Young I began to come across original versions of events that were very different than what I was taught. These contradictions became quite troubling. Truthful information became my obsession. The real truth, accurate historical facts, not what appears nicely reworded in lesson manuals.

The moment I realized that the possibility that Joseph made it up was far greater than the possibility that his stories were true, was the moment it all finally made sense. We live in a world where our history is filled with people who make up fantastic stories, fabricate divine visitations, gain followers and profit in multiple ways. It's happened before, it's happening today and as long as people will fall for it again, it will continue to happen. The possibility that he might have made it up never occurred to me, until it did. Then it all made sense. The contradicting versions of his first vision, the treasure hunting, the source material, the Masonic copies, the affairs with women, the wrong history of the Native Americans, the impossible story of the Jaredites, the mistranslated Egyptian, all of it. It finally made more sense that it was made up for gain than it being part of God's plan.

While I understand there are some good aspects about the Church, the focus on charity and the sense of family and community are wonderful, I could no longer ignore that we were being misled. Instead of lessons on accurate Church history, we are taught to mistrust the outside world, to watch what we eat, drink, wear, say, see, read, hear and think. Are bare shoulders evil? Does drinking coffee make people bad? Are gay people ruining the world? I felt like we were being distracted with things that didn't really matter. The weight of the whole situation was intense.

I began to see how the Church profits by misrepresenting history in order to gain converts and retain members. This is wrong. Yet when I found fault with this practice and confided in the ones I trusted most, I was told that I am to blame for my situation. My bishop blamed me, my friends left me and the time when I needed you the most, I was faced with the option of divorce. I will never fully be able to communicate how painful this is.

During this time I still had to ask myself some difficult questions.

"How do I feel being misled by the Church I trusted?"

"Does it matter that my beliefs are true or that they make me feel comfortable?"

"If the Church isn't true, would I want to know?"

"With this information, would I believe in the Church if I wasn't raised in it?"

After answering these questions honestly, I became at peace with what I felt was the truth.

The outcome is certainly not one I expected from the outset. It is not an easy thing for a person to decide to re-examine their own beliefs or accept that they've been wrong. Yet, I remain grateful for the good things the Church brought me, the great people I've grown to know and the ways in which all this has helped me grow. My decision to leave the Church was the product of years of research, study, and prayer. This letter has become a comprehensive explanation for my decision, and now you have read it and thought deeply about what it reveals about the Church, I hope that you will join me by my side.

I love you